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Overview

OSEKturbo Design Tool for Deterministic Scheduling v.1.1 (DS-Design Tool) is the new product in family of OSEK software products from Metrowerks. DS-Design Tool provides the developer of OSEKturbo applications with powerful and reliable means of schedulability analysis of applications.

DS-Design Tool is integrated into OSEK Builder version 2.3 and is coupled with OSEKturbo Operating System.

DS-Design Tool provides the following features:

- A schedulability verdict of the application;
- The calculation of total utilization of CPU;
- The calculation of the actual values of the response times for the application deadlines;
- The response time structure (contribution of various activities to the actual response time).

The application designer inputs timing parameters of applications into DS-Design Tool tool by means of using OSEK Builder. DS-Design Tool provides the results of analysis in an easy to understand graphical format. Different views allow flexible and detailed insight of the application timing behavior. Based on the results of analysis the application designer makes the decision if changes in application timing behavior are needed in order to improve application scheduling.

DS-Design Tool should be used for real-time applications to verify that the deadlines are always met. The schedulability analysis is not the replacement of testing, nor it can be replaced by testing. Any testing may prove the correctness of the application behavior in the certain set of conditions, but it can not prove that the application meats deadlines in all possible conditions. Schedulability analysis can do that, because it uses sophisticated mathematical methods that evaluate the scheduling of application in all conditions, including worst-case scenarios, thus proving correctness of timing behavior of the application during application life time.
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DS-Design Tool should be considered as an integral part of application development process, and can be applied at different phases of the development.

DS-Design Tool is based on state-of-the-art methods developed specifically for this tool. The methods are derived from known ones, but they were significantly advanced in order to provide more accurate analysis. While these methods are rather general, they were refined and adopted for OSEKturbo Operating System to cover the particularities of Operating System architecture and features it provides.

DS-Design Tool is well documented and tested. In the User’s Manual architecture, features, particularities, and the scheduling analyzing techniques are described in detail with numerous examples.
Introduction

This chapter consists of the following sections:

- Technical Reference Structure
- Typographical Conventions
- References
- Definitions, Acronyms and Abbreviations
- Technical Support Information

Technical Reference Structure

This Technical Reference consists of the following sections:

“Overview” chapter describes what the DS-Design Tool is and highlights its basic features.

“Introduction” chapter contains a description of the Technical Reference structure, typographical conventions and the list of acronyms.

“Schedulability Analysis Basics” chapter explains the schedulability analysis concept and how it is related to OSEKturbo applications.

“Tool Architecture” chapter gives a high level description of DS-Design Tool architecture.

“Getting Started” chapter provides a quick introduction into the tool’s usage for simple example of user application.

“Analysis of BCC Applications” chapter describes the usage of the tool for schedulability analysis of the applications of OSEK/VDX OS Basic Conformance Classes.

“Analysis of ECC Applications” chapter describes particularities of analysis of the applications that belong to OSEK/VDX OS Extended Conformance Classes.
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“Advanced Features” chapter describes advanced features of the tool that are aimed to analyze sophisticated applications.

“Troubleshooting” chapter describes support provided to user to investigate problems that may arise when using the tool.

“Sample Application” appendix contains text, configuration, and explanation of analysis results for a sample customer application analyzed by means of using DS-Design Tool.

“Error Messages” appendix provides information about the tool’s error messages.

“OIL Language Quick Reference” appendix provides information about OIL attributes used to configure schedulability.

NOTE
The reader of this User’s Manual might find useful to learn OSEKturbo Operating System before or simultaneously with learning DS-Design Tool.

**Typographical Conventions**

This Technical Reference employs the following typographical conventions:

**boldface type**

Bold is used for important terms, notes and warnings.

*Italics*

Italics are used for all names of commands, constants, routines and variables.

*Courier font*

Courier typeface is used for code examples in the text.

*Courier Italic*

Courier Italic typeface is used for terms when these are first introduced.
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**Definitions, Acronyms and Abbreviations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>API</td>
<td>Application Program Interface (a set of data types and functions)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCC</td>
<td>Basic Conformance Class, a defined set of functionality in OSEK, for which the waiting state of tasks is not permitted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT</td>
<td>Basic task (task, which never has the waiting state)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPU</td>
<td>Central Processor Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLL</td>
<td>Dynamic Link Library</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMA</td>
<td>Deadline Monotonic Algorithm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS-D</td>
<td>Design Tool for Deterministic Scheduling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS-Design Tool</td>
<td>Design Tool for Deterministic Scheduling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS-V</td>
<td>Verification Tool for Deterministic Scheduling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECC</td>
<td>Extended Conformance Class, a defined set of functionality in OSEK, for which the waiting state of tasks is permitted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECU</td>
<td>Electronic Control Unit (similar to MCU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET</td>
<td>Extended Task (task, which may have the waiting state)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Identifier, an abstract identifier of a system object</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISR</td>
<td>Interrupt Service Routine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCU</td>
<td>Microcontroller Unit (Motorola’s microcontrollers)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OIL</td>
<td>OSEK Implementation Language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORTI</td>
<td>OSEK Run Time Interface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OS</td>
<td>Operating System, a part of the OSEK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSEK</td>
<td>Open systems and their corresponding interfaces for automotive electronics (in German)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAM</td>
<td>Random Access Memory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMA</td>
<td>Rate Monotonic Algorithm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Technical Support Information

To order Motorola/Metrowerks products or literature, consult your local sales representative.

For technical support please use:

• US
  Tel : +1 512 997 4700
  Fax : +1 512 997 4901
  Email : support@metrowerks.com

• Europe
  Tel : +41 61 69 07 505
  Fax : +41 61 69 07 501
  Email : support_europe@metrowerks.com

• Web : http://www.metrowerks.com/MW/Support
• Download updates at http://www.metrowerks.com/MW/Support/Download

ROM Read Only Memory
SA Schedulability Analysis
SG, SysGen System Generator
WCET Worst Case Execution Time
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This chapter consists of the following sections:

- Real-time Concept
- Objectives of Schedulability Analysis
- Basic Methods of Schedulability Analysis
- Advanced Methods of Schedulability Analysis

Real-time Concept

The OSEK Operating System is a real-time operating system, and it is aimed to build real-time applications. But what is real-time application or real-time system?

There are various definitions of real-time systems, and here are some of them.

*IEEE Glossary* includes the following definition (see [11] in section “References.”):

“*real time.* Pertaining to a system or mode of operation in which computation is performed during the actual time that an external process occurs, in order that the computation results can be used to control, monitor, or respond in a timely manner to the external process.”

*IEEE POSIX* specifies *realtime in operating systems* as “the ability of the operating system to provide a required level of service in a bounded response time” (see [12] in section “References”).

The expert in real-time system Hermann Kopetz defines real-time computer system as “a computer system in which the correctness of the
System behavior depends not only on the logical results of computations, but also on the physical instant at which these results are produced” (see [4] in section “References”).

While all these definitions seem to be different, the common thing is the ability of the system to provide computation results, i.e. response in a bounded response time. In other words, response of the system is a function of event and time, as it is shown on Figure 3.1.

**Figure 3.1 Notion of event and response**

![Figure 3.1 Notion of event and response](image)

The bound of response time is called *deadline*. Generally speaking, the real-time system should provide response for the arrived event before deadline expires. Deadlines can be either soft or hard. Figure 3.2 presents the hard deadline, and introduces the following basic attributes of event and response:

- **C**, *computation time*. This is time interval needed for processor unit to make computations and generate response;

- **R**, *response time*. This is actual response. It is measured from the time point when event arrives till the time point when response is generated;

- **D**, deadline. This is deadline for the response time. It is measured from time point when event arrives till the time point when response must be generated.
Hard deadline is a deadline which must be always met (i.e. system must generate response to the event before deadline expires). If hard deadline is missed, then system experienced failure. If soft deadline is missed, then system degrades, but does not fail. Therefore, soft deadline should be met in most cases, but may be missed occasionally.

If all or some deadlines in an application are hard deadlines, then the application is called hard real-time application. Most embedded application designed for OSEKturbo are hard real-time applications.

NOTE

Even if application requires hard real-time, it is important to distinguish soft and hard deadlines within the application. If the deadline of some responses may be missed without fatal consequences, then it makes sense to consider these deadlines as soft deadlines, because this assumption relaxes the scheduling criteria of application.

Theoretically speaking, each event is linked to the entity that performs the computation and generates response. This entity is often called a task, or a computational task. Task starts when event arrives, and ends when it generates response. Therefore, computation time \( C \) is actually an execution time of the computational task. In some cases this task may be mapped directly to the task object in OSEKturbo, though in reality the computational task that processes an event may be an Interrupt Service
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Routine of OSEKturbo, or combination of an ISR and OSEKturbo tasks, or combination of OSEKturbo tasks.

Surely, any realistic system processes the number of events simultaneously, and therefore it should provide the response for each event. Each event needs a processor to execute a computational task in order to generate response. As numerous events come independently, the computational tasks compete for processor resource. The Operating System should provide the means of sharing processor between tasks. The main objective of hard real-time system (such as OSEKturbo) is to provide that the processor is shared between computational tasks in best interest of deadlines. In other words, all hard deadlines shall be met, and soft deadlines should be met.

Like most real-time Operating System, OSEKturbo employs two fundamental mechanisms aimed to satisfy the goal of meeting deadlines in an application: the fixed-priority scheduling and the preemptive dispatching. Fixed-priority scheduling assigns static priorities to tasks and ISRs, thus allowing the priority ordering demands of the computational tasks for processor according to the “urgency”1 of the events the computational tasks serve. Preemptive dispatching provides that the task or the ISR that have higher priority than one currently executed on the processor, gets processor immediately when this task or ISR arrives in the application. Therefore, the computational task that serves “urgent” event is not delayed by the computational task that serves less “urgent” event.

As fixed-priority scheduling and preemptive dispatching are cooperating on providing real-time capabilities, the combination of them is usually depicted by the term fixed-priority preemptive scheduling. In OSEKturbo this kind of scheduling is called full-preemptive scheduling2.

---

1. Urgency is intentionally vague term here. As it will be shown in next sections, in most cases urgency correlates with deadline.

2. While OSEKturbo supports also non-preemptive and mixed-preemptive scheduling, the use of them is more subtle, and it is not discussed here for simplification. However, application may benefit from using these types of scheduling - see “Internal Resources” for details.
Objectives of Schedulability Analysis

Main Goal of Schedulability Analysis

Computational tasks, and, hence OSEKturbo tasks and ISRs compete for the processor. In reality tasks and ISRs of higher priority preempt tasks and ISRs of lower priority thus delaying their execution and generation of responses. Therefore, it is not clear if all deadlines of the application are met in all possible conditions of an application execution. Assuming that in reality an application serves dozens and even hundreds events, and consists of dozens of tasks and ISRs with different priorities, the quick glance at an application structure can not provide the answer to the question if all deadlines of application are always met. This is where schedulability analysis came from.

The goal of Schedulability Analysis (SA) is to check and prove that application is scheduled, i.e. that all application deadlines are always met. More specifically, hard deadlines are never missed in application, while soft deadlines are missed occasionally without making harm to an application.

OSEKturbo is designed for the automotive applications, including mission-critical ones. Every and each effort should be made by the application developers to provide that application is reliable. Schedulability analysis is an important part of these efforts, because it is the only mean to verify that application satisfy real-time requirements.

Modeling Approach in Schedulability Analysis

Schedulability analysis employs modeling approach. Schedulability analysis explores the scheduling of the application using the model of application structure and parameters that describe the application timing behavior. This model is called computational model in DS-Design Tool.

The timing parameters may differ from real values that application exhibits. For example, the value of computation time may vary depending of amount of calculation needed to generate response. Instead of the real value of computation time the schedulability analysis uses the worst-case execution time (WCET), which is the upper bound of computation time. WCET is the modeling parameter.
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Another example of modeling is dependencies between tasks. Simple methods of schedulability analysis may assume that all computational tasks are independent while in reality there are dependencies between tasks in an application (e.g. computational task B starts after computation task A completes).

Modeling approach could provide unrealistic and invalid results if model is improper. There are two basic means that are used to avoid this:

- improving the accuracy and the conformance of the computational model to the real applications,
- and intentionally introducing pessimism in results.

The accuracy and conformance of the computational model are improved by means of exploring and inventing methods of modeling application in terms of timing behavior. DS-Design Tool uses advanced computational model specifically developed for OSEKturbo applications that is described in “Analysis of BCC Applications”.

Intentional pessimism means that if analysis shows that application is scheduled, it is scheduled in reality. If the analysis shows that application is not scheduled, it may be scheduled in reality.

One of the example of intentional pessimism is the use of WCET instead of real computation time. Worst-case may never happen in application lifetime, so analysis will provide trusted pessimistic results.

**Schedulability Analysis and Testing**

Schedulability Analysis can not be avoided by means of using testing. Whatever testing approach is taken in the development process, it checks that application works in testing conditions, even if testing conditions are extreme, or seems to be extreme. Schedulability analysis checks that application is scheduled in any possible conditions.

**NOTE**

SA checks only timing behavior of the application. For example, SA does check that response to an event is generated in proper time (i.e. meets deadline), but it does not check whether the response is proper (i.e. the computed response has valid value).

Another important difference between SA and testing is that SA uses model of application, while testing usually deals with the real application.
Schedulability Analysis Basics

Objectives of Schedulability Analysis

(see Section Modeling Approach in Schedulability Analysis for description of the modeling approach).

NOTE Therefore, schedulability analysis is complimentary to testing.

Schedulability Analysis in the Development Process

Schedulability analysis may be used at different phases of the development process. Figure 3.3 presents stages, where schedulability analysis might be applied in V-shaped development process, often used in automotive software engineering.

Figure 3.3 Schedulability Analysis in V-shaped development process

At earlier stages such as requirements analysis and prototyping SA helps to understand if computing power is enough to handle all events of an application. Structure of the application may be described rather roughly. For example, for each event the computational task is created, and analysis checks if the application is scheduled or not.
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At design phase when the application is decomposed into real operating system objects, and computational tasks are mapped onto tasks and ISRs, the schedulability analysis helps to evaluate if design is done properly in terms of scheduling. Different design decisions may be evaluated and refined. Surely, the timing attributes of the application could not be exactly measured, and estimations are used as an approximation.

At detailed design, coding, and unit testing phases the timing of the application is measured, and the numbers are used to re-evaluate the scheduling of application. This is most important use of schedulability analysis. Based on the analysis results application developer makes the changes in code and application structure.

At integration phase schedulability analysis is helpful in checking that integrated application is scheduled.

**What Schedulability Analysis Does**

Schedulability analysis is first of all an analysis. SA helps to evaluate timing behavior of application, to uncover scheduling problems, and to understand what should be done to improve scheduling of application.

Schedulability analysis tool may be compared with logic analyzer often used in software design, because it shows how the software works on the hardware, allowing the developer of application to make necessary changes.

Schedulability analysis is appropriate in statically configured embedded applications such as OSEKturbo applications, because the system objects are known in advance - at an application compile time.

**NOTE**

DS-Design Tool is useful in the development process for verification of timing requirements of application.

**What Schedulability Analysis Does Not Do**

Schedulability Analysis can not make the application scheduled if it is not scheduled. Analysis can not make better design of application. Analysis can not test the application. Analysis can not produce robust results if inputs to the analysis are vague or inaccurate.
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Generally speaking, schedulability analysis can not produce good results if application execution environment is varying. For example, application running on general-purpose operating system are usually dynamic applications. It is not known in advance what application would be executed, and therefore it is very difficult to apply to the analysis methods.

The other source of dynamic behavior is code and data caches. The timing of operation may vary significantly depending on cache status, and it is rather difficult to measure timing parameters such as computation time.

**NOTE**

DS-Design Tool does not make applications better, but it does provide the application designer with means and data that help to make better applications.

**NOTE**

DS-Design Tool does not build the schedule of application tasks, but it evaluates the worst-case schedule.

### Basic Methods of Schedulability Analysis

Development of schedulability analysis methods for fixed-priority preemptive scheduling started more than three decades ago.

In 1973 C. L. Liu and James W. Layland had shown (see [7] in section “References”) that application consisting of fully independent periodic tasks with relative deadlines equal to periods was optimally scheduled when priorities of tasks were assigned according to rate monotonic algorithm (i.e. higher priority was assigned to the tasks having shorter period).

The optimally of the rate monotonic algorithm means that if some feasible schedule exists, then the rate monotonic algorithm gives feasible schedule, too. Moreover, the simple test based scheduling was proposed. The application is scheduled if overall utilization of CPU does not exceed utilization bound.

Utilization bound depends on a number of tasks in application. If application has only one task, the utilization bound is 100%. This is trivial result as only one computational task may fully occupy CPU.
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However, as number of tasks grows, the utilization bound decreases, and for infinite number of tasks it has the value ~69%, or ln(2).

The method is called Rate Monotonic Algorithm (RMA), and has the modification called Deadline Monotonic Algorithm (DMA). DMA considers the tasks with relative deadlines less than task periods, and shows, that in this case optimal scheduling is reached, when the tasks having shorter deadline are assigned with higher priority.

**NOTE**
Rate and Deadline Monotonic Algorithms are in most cases good start for allocation of task priorities in an application.

While this result is quite important milestone from academic point of view, it is not that useful in engineering practices. The reasons for that is the fact that in real-world application events are often dependent, and arrival pattern is not always periodic. In spite that method has been largely extended and developed for realistic applications (for example, see [5] and [6] in section “References”), it still does not exactly fit engineering cases.

For example, tasks are not always fully independent. Clearly, if one task schedules another task, then they are not started at the same time which is an implicit condition in [7] in section “References”. Therefore, applying RMA for such application produces ungrounded pessimistic result - e.g. it shows that application is not scheduled while in reality it is.

**NOTE**
RMA and DMA demonstrate quite important trade-off in schedulability analysis for applications of real-world: the simplier methods is, the more pessimistic result it produces. This is caused by fact that simplified model does not reflect important particularities of timing behavior of application and operating system.

**Advanced Methods of Schedulability Analysis**

The need of having analysis methods that are adequate to the real-world application forced researchers to investigate more advanced algorithms. One of them is so-called transaction concept, described in [1] in section “References”. This method introduces dependencies between tasks linking them into transaction where each task starts their execution from the static time offset.
The method proposes computational model that fits to many real-world applications. For example, there is timescale mechanism in OSEKturbo that links several tasks to timer using time offsets for start point of each task. Clearly, the tasks in timescale can not start independently. Therefore, tasks execution is distributed in time, and the load of CPU is more equalized comparing with case when tasks are independent. Hence, utilization bound is increased, and transaction concept for timescale produces more realistic and less pessimistic result comparing with DMA. Timescale is considered as the transaction, that “drives” tasks.

Application may have several transactions, that are independent from each other. For example, beside timescale application may have counter and number of cyclic alarms connected to this counter. This will form the second transaction in the application.

Transaction concept has been further developed in [2] and [3] in section “References”.

DS-Design Tool uses an extension of transaction concept that employs subtransactions approach. The breakdown of transaction into subtransactions allows more accurate and realistic description of application timing behavior thus decreasing pessimism of analysis even further (comparing with transaction concept). Continuing timescale example, each timestep in timescale is considered as subtransaction of timescale transaction. Subtransaction may be a composition of several tasks, or even task sections. DS-Design Tool considers scheduling of subtransactions, thus providing reliable analysis of OSEKturbo applications of Basic Conformance Classes (BCC).

The use of subtransaction approach in DS-Design Tool allows to provide schedulability analysis for applications of OSEKturbo Extended Conformance Classes (ECC). This is a unique feature of the tool.

As OSEKturbo supports internal resources, DS-Design Tool uses the extension of methods of schedulability analysis originally developed for tasks with preemption threshold (see [10] in section “References”).
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Tool Architecture

This chapter consists of the following sections:

- DS-Design Tool Components
- OSEK Builder
- OIL File
- Schedulability Analysis
- Graphical Views

DS-Design Tool Components

DS-Design Tool components are presented on the following picture:
Basically, DS-Design Tool is integrated into OSEKturbo products, and can be used as graphical interface tool, or as text and command line tool.

In a graphical interface mode, an application designer uses OSEK Builder to define application timing behavior. All timing information is stored in OIL files, therefore OSEK Builder provides all needed functionality to enter and edit timing parameters. OSEK Builder launches System Generator to perform schedulability analysis of an application. The System Generator produces graphical views file, that contains results of the schedulability analysis. This file is visualized by means of using Graphical Views Tool (GraViTool), that is also launched from OSEK Builder. GraViTool presents the scheduling information in a series of charts.

---

1. Graphical Views File format is the XML format file.

For More Information: www.freescale.com
In a text and command line mode, the application designer uses conventional text editor to edit OIL file and describe application timing behavior there. Then the application designer starts System Generator from command prompt. System Generator produces same graphical views file as it is described above. Then the application designer starts GraViTool and directs the tool to generate text views of the application. The text views contain basically same information as graphical charts of GraViTool, but they are plain text files, and can be viewed by conventional text editor.

Both graphical interface mode and text and command line mode can be used in a mixed manner.

On the picture the graphical interface mode is shown on the left side, while the text and the command line mode are shown on the right side.

**OSEK Builder**

DS-Design Tool uses conventional OSEK Builder that has add-on for schedulability analysis. In particular, there are buttons on toolbar and items in Project menu that are used to perform schedulability analysis and to launch GraViTool.

Also DS-Design Tool specific features are supported by means of using SA Implementation. Therefore, SA tabs are introduced in Workspace, Output, etc.

**OIL File**

DS-Design Tool specific parameters of application are fully described in standard OIL file. There is no deviations from OIL standard (see [8] in section “References.”). All DS-Design Tool specific parameters are described in sections Analysis of BCC Applications and Analysis of ECC Applications, and summarized in OIL Language Quick Reference.

**Schedulability Analysis**

Schedulability analysis is performed by special dynamic link library of System Generator. The specific options are used to direct System Generator to perform schedulability analysis instead of generating
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configuration code. These options are used automatically by OSEK Builder, or can be used explicitly as described in Batch Mode of Analysis.

Graphical Views

The graphical views component is a standalone utility called GraViTool that normally is started from OSEK Builder. The GraViTool functionality and charts are described in sections Analysis of BCC Applications and Analysis of ECC Applications. The use of text views is described in Batch Mode of Analysis.
Getting Started

This chapter consists of the following sections:

- Application Sample
- Defining Application Timing Parameters
- Starting Analysis
- Exploring Analysis Results
- Changing the application timing behavior

Application Sample

The sample application has three independent periodic tasks \( \text{Task2ms} \), \( \text{Task4ms} \), and \( \text{Task8ms} \). The periods of tasks are 2 milliseconds (ms), 4 ms, and 8 ms respectively.

Each task has computation time 1 ms, and each task should complete the computation before its deadline. The tasks deadlines are equal to the periods of tasks.

As soon as each task completes computation, it terminates itself.

There is a counter in an application that generates tick each millisecond. The name of the counter is \( \text{Counter1ms} \).

The periodic activations of tasks are made by means of using three alarms - one per task. All alarms are started automatically during Operating System startup. For the sake of convenience the alarms are named \( \text{Alarm2ms} \), \( \text{Alarm4ms} \), and \( \text{Alarm8ms} \) for respective tasks \( \text{Task2ms} \), \( \text{Task4ms} \), and \( \text{Task8ms} \).

The application uses full preemptive scheduling policy. The priorities of the tasks are assigned according to Rate Monotonic Algorithm described in “Basic Methods of Schedulability Analysis” on page 23. Therefore, \( \text{Task2ms} \) has priority 10 (high), \( \text{Task4ms} \) has priority 9 (middle), and \( \text{Task8ms} \) has priority 8 (low).
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The question that should be answered is “are all deadlines met in this application?”.

**Defining Application Timing Parameters**

The timing parameters of application are defined by means of using OSEK Builder. The application file has the name `GettingStarted.oil`.

---

1. The text of the file is reproduced in the end of this section
First all system objects should be created and parameters of OS object \textit{os1} should be defined:

The OSEKturbo OS/MPC5xx version is used in examples, but the actual view may vary according to the OSEKturbo version installed.
Next, counter *Counter1ms* should be created:

Then, three alarms should be created. *Alarm2ms* has the following values of parameters:
Alarm4ms has the following values of parameters:

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COUNTER</td>
<td>Counter1ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTION</td>
<td>ACTIVATETASK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TASK</td>
<td>Task4ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUTOSTART</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALARMTIME</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYCLETIME</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APPMODE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

Alarm8ms has the following values of parameters:

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COUNTER</td>
<td>Counter1ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTION</td>
<td>ACTIVATETASK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TASK</td>
<td>Task8ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUTOSTART</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALARMTIME</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYCLETIME</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

Then, tasks should be created. Each task has one task section that covers whole body of the task, and therefore task section\(^1\) has worst-case computation time 1 ms. The name of the section is *Terminate*. For each task the deadline of the section is different.

---

\(^1\) The task sections and the ISR sections are used by DS-Design Tool for schedulability analysis as it is explained in next sections of this document.
Task2ms has the following values of parameters:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRIORITY</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCHEDULE</td>
<td>FULL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUTOSTART</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activation</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVENT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESOURCE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCESSOR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STACKSIZE</td>
<td>AUTO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INITIALSECTION</td>
<td>SET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Terminate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCET</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEADLINE</td>
<td>SET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VALUE</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORIGIN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTION</td>
<td>TerminateTask</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Task4ms has the following values of parameters:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRIORITY</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCHEDULE</td>
<td>FULL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUTOSTART</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTIVATION</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVENT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESOURSE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCESSOR</td>
<td>AUTO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STACKSIZE</td>
<td>AUTO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>saTaskSection</td>
<td>SET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Terminate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCET</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEADLINE</td>
<td>SET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VALUE</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORIGIN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTION</td>
<td>TerminateTask</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Task8ms has the following values of parameters:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i</td>
<td>PRIORITY</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>SCHEDULE</td>
<td>FULL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>AUTOSTART</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i</td>
<td>ACTIVATION</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EVENT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RESOURCE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>ACCESSOR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i</td>
<td>STACKSZE</td>
<td>AUTO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td>solrihal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>saTaskSection</td>
<td>SET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i</td>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Terminate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i</td>
<td>WCET</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>DEADLINE</td>
<td>SET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i</td>
<td>VALUE</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td>ORIGIN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td>PATH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>ACTION</td>
<td>TerminateTask</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Starting Analysis

Now when all parameters are entered, DS-Design Tool should be instructed to start the analysis. This could be done either via menu item Project|Analyze, or by means of clicking SA tool on the toolbar:

The OSEK Builder launches System Generator, which performs analysis.

Exploring Analysis Results

When analysis is done, GraViTool should be started to visualize the results of schedulability analysis of an application. This could be done either via menu item Project|View, or by means of clicking View tool on the toolbar:
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GraViTool opens the main window:

![GraViTool screenshot](image)

The green vote mark on the application tree prompts that application is *scheduled*, because the deadlines of all three tasks are met.

**Changing the application timing behavior**

Now, suppose that *Task4ms* requires 2 ms of computation time to complete its work. Will the application be scheduled then?

The only change is the value of *WCET* in *Task4ms*:

![Configuration screenshot](image)
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After performing analysis the GraViTool shows that application is not scheduled indeed:

![GraViTool - GettingStarted.png](attachment:GraViTool-GettingStarted.png)

The red scratch mark on the application tree branches prompt that deadlines of Task4ms and Task8ms are not met.

Clicking on utilization tool in GraViTool toolbar shows the reason of that - the over utilization of CPU:

Figure 5.1 Total CPU utilization view

**Total CPU utilization**

![CPU Utilization.png](attachment:CPUUtilization.png)
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GettingStarted.oil file content

Here is complete OIL file that can be opened in OSEK Builder. DS-Design Tool specific parameter are in **bold**:

```c
// GettingStarted.oil

OIL_VERSION = "2.3";
#include "C:\metrowerks\osek\ostmpc\bin\ost22mpc.oil"

CPU cpu1
{
  APPMODE app1;
  OS os1
  {
    TargetMCU = MPC555;
    STATUS = STANDARD;
    ResourceScheduler = FALSE;
    STARTUPHOOK = FALSE;SHUTDOWNHOOK = FALSE;
    PRETASKHOOK = FALSE;POSTTASKHOOK = FALSE;ERRORHOOK = FALSE;
    USEGETSERVICEID = FALSE; USEPARAMETERACCESS = FALSE;

    saTimeUnit = ms;
    saMeasureClockFrequency = 4000;
  };

TASK Task2ms
{
  PRIORITY = 10;
  SCHEDULE = FULL;
  AUTOSTART = FALSE;
  ACTIVATION = 1;

  saTaskSection = SET { ID = "Terminate";
    WCET = 1;
    DEADLINE = SET { VALUE = 2; }
    ACTION = TerminateTask;
  };
};

TASK Task4ms
{
  PRIORITY = 9;
  SCHEDULE = FULL;
  AUTOSTART = FALSE;
  ACTIVATION = 1;

  saTaskSection = SET { ID = "Terminate";
    WCET = 1;
    DEADLINE = SET { VALUE = 4; }
    ACTION = TerminateTask;
  };
};
```
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Getting Started
Changing the application timing behavior

);
TASK Task8ms
{
    PRIORITY = 8;
    SCHEDULE = FULL;
    AUTOSTART = FALSE;
    ACTIVATION = 1;
    saTaskSection = SET { ID = "Terminate";
        WCET = 1;
        DEADLINE = SET { VALUE = 8; }
        ACTION = TerminateTask;
    };
};
COUNTER Counter1ms
{
    MAXALLOWEDVALUE = 0xffffffff;
    MINCYCLE = 0;
    TICKS_PER_BASE = 1;
    saPeriod = 1;
};
ALARM Alarm2ms
{
    COUNTER = Counter1ms;
    ACTION = ACTIVATETASK { TASK = Task2ms; };
    AUTOSTART = TRUE { ALARMTIME = 0; CYCLETIME = 2; }
};
ALARM Alarm4ms
{
    COUNTER = Counter1ms;
    ACTION = ACTIVATETASK { TASK = Task4ms; };
    AUTOSTART = TRUE { ALARMTIME = 0; CYCLETIME = 4; }
};
ALARM Alarm8ms
{
    COUNTER = Counter1ms;
    ACTION = ACTIVATETASK { TASK = Task8ms; };
    AUTOSTART = TRUE { ALARMTIME = 0; CYCLETIME = 8; }
};
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Analysis of BCC Applications

The section describes the computational model of OSEKturbo applications that are conformed to Basic Conformance Classes. This computational model is used by DS-Design Tool to perform schedulability analysis of the BCC applications.

This chapter consists of the following sections:

- General
- Transactions
- Subtransactions
- Tasks and ISRs Sections
- Timepoints and Checkpoints
- Execution Paths
- Applying Computational Model
- Tuning an Application
- Limitations for the Application Structure
- Dictionary of DS-Design Tool

NOTE

DS-Design Tool is capable to analyze applications of Extended Conformance Classes. Most from this section is applicable to the analysis of the basic tasks of ECC applications. Particularities of the extended tasks analysis are covered in “Analysis of ECC Applications”.

The section describes the computational model itself and explains how an application is mapped to the model.

Subsection General introduces computational model.
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Subsections **Transactions** and **Subtransactions** present the hierarchy of the model’s main building blocks and explaining how transaction and subtransaction are used for the model the application timing behavior.

Subsection **Tasks and ISRs Sections** explains the breakdown of tasks and ISRs into execution sections, which are the minimal entities used by DS-Design Tool for schedulability analysis.

Subsection **Timepoints and Checkpoints** describes the deadline watching means of DS-Design Tool.

Subsection **Execution Paths** explains how the model may be used to analyze complex tasks and ISRs that have branches.

Subsection **Applying Computational Model** explains on examples how the model should be applied to the real-world OSEKturbo applications. Also subsection presents detailed description of the results that are generated by DS-Design Tool and explains how these results could be used to explore timing behavior of application to see if it is proper or not. In later case the subsection **Tuning an Application** contains description of means that are used to improve timing behavior, and explains how these means should be used.

As any model the computational model of DS-Design Tool has limitations that are described in **Limitations for the Application Structure**.

Dictionary of DS-Design Tool is presented in **Dictionary of DS-Design Tool**.

**General**

DS-Design Tool needs information about timing behavior of application in order to perform schedulability analysis. This information is provided via OIL language parameters, which are described in OIL configuration file or in OSEK Builder dialogs.

DS-Design Tool uses the model of application because the schedulability analysis deals with the semantics of an application. DS-Design Tool needs to know what events are served by the applications, which tasks and interrupt service routines are used to handle the events, and which deadlines are set by the application designer for the responses.
NOTE

DS-Design Tool may be used with DS-V module\(^1\) that allows
automated gathering of subset of an application timing data. For
example, computational times of tasks and ISRs can be extracted
from trace of the application provided by DS-V, and can be used an
estimate of worst-case execution times of corresponding entities.

The computational model is composed from the following entities:

- Transactions
- Subtransactions
- Tasks and ISRs sections
- Timepoints and Checkpoints

At functional level, computational model defines the following data:

- external events of an application with the defined timing data (e.g.
  period of system timer),
- tasks and ISRs that handle the events,
- deadlines for the responses that should be generated by the application
  as a result of events processing,
- particularities of execution of tasks and ISRs - e.g. processing levels
  allocated to them,
- overhead of executing operating system activities - e.g. timing of OS
  system services.

NOTE

In order to simplify explanation, operating system overhead is
ignored in this section. However, DS-Design Tool takes into
consideration the OS overhead - see “Dealing with Operating
System overhead”.

Basic Conformance Classes of OSEKturbo supports only basic tasks, that
do not have waiting state. Basic tasks are executed from the beginning till
self-termination though they may be preempted by tasks of higher priority,
and may be interrupted by ISRs.

\(^1\) The DS-V tool is available as a separate product.
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Transactions

Transactions Overview

The execution entities of OSEKturbo Operating System are tasks and interrupt service routines. The tasks are fully managed by Operating System. The interrupt service routines are managed in a limited sense by Operating System, because scheduling of ISRs depends on a hardware platform.

Tasks and ISRs are the only entities of OSEKturbo application capable to generate responses to the events, and thus providing real-time processing. OSEKturbo is designed to support event driven application. That means that an event “drives” the execution of the entity or entities that generate response for the event.

NOTE

In most cases the events come from the hardware, which is connected to sensors, timers, network, etc. These events are called environmental, or external events, because they are generated in an outer world.

External events are independent from each other. Indeed, timer ticks and reception of network messages from CAN bus are the completely independent external events. Therefore, interrupt requests from timer and from CAN controller come independently.

To analyses the handling of external events, DS-Design Tool uses transactions. Transaction is a set of application execution entities which are executed by the operating system to respond to an external event.

For example, OSEKturbo system timer tick is an external event. The application responds to this event by means of using alarm attached to this timer, and by activating the task when system timer ticks reach the predefined number. The task provides response to the system timer tick event, and terminates. Therefore, the alarm’s task is an application execution entity that responds to the external event. Thus, the transaction for system timer consists of the alarm attached to the system timer, and of the task that is linked to the alarm.
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NOTE The application may have several alarms attached to the single counter (timer), which are described and analyzed in DS-Design Tool by means of using subtransactions (see Section Subtransactions).

Another example is an ISRs that handles the reception of network messages from CAN bus and activates the task that processes the messages to generate control signals for an actuator (e.g. window lift motor). The event here is a reception of the data frame from CAN bus. The execution entities that respond to this event are the ISR and the task. Thus, the transaction for CAN message reception consists of the ISR and the task.

NOTE Independent release (the occurrence) of events is a main reason why DS-Design Tool uses transactions. As a matter of fact, independency of external events makes the scheduling of application difficult, because processor needs to compute responses in a highly competitive manner, if events occur simultaneously. Actual response times will be the largest for events that are processed at low priorities.

NOTE In an event driving computing concept the transactions are “guiders” of events, because transaction feeds the event into an application. In other words, transaction links an event (more precisely, it links source of the event) and the execution entities that compute the response to the event.

**Application Transactions**

DS-Design Tool creates transactions automatically using OSEKturbo application configuration information. Depending on the application configuration, the following transactions are created:

- system timer transaction
- second timer transaction
- TimeScale transaction¹
- transaction for each counter
- transaction for each user-defined ISR
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Periodic and Sporadic Transactions

In real-time applications events have different arrival pattern, which defines events’ occurrences are distribution in time. DS-Design Tool provides analysis of periodic events and sporadic events.

Periodic events are released periodically. There is the constant time interval between consequent releases of periodic event DS-Design Tool creates periodic transactions for periodic events. OSEKturbo system timer and timescale are the examples of periodic events, and therefore for system timer and timescale periodic transactions will be created.

DS-Design Tool uses timing parameters of OSEKturbo objects to learn the value of period for the periodic transactions from configuration information in OIL file of an application. OSEKturbo system and second timers and timescale contain the value of period. OSEKturbo counter does not contain information about period of the counter, so DS-Design Tool adds the period parameter to the description of COUNTER.

NOTE: DS-Design Tool is oriented towards schedulability analysis of periodic computations, because it is the natural paradigm for hard real-time applications.

Unlike periodic events, there is no constant time interval between consequent releases of sporadic events. However, there is minimal interarrival time (interval) between consequent releases. That means the sporadic events are released any time, but they can not be released arbitrary often.

Sporadic events are handled by OSEKturbo interrupt service routines, and DS-Design Tool creates the sporadic transaction for each ISR in the application.

Interrupt service routines are released not immediately when external event arrives. Hardware introduces the latency, which is called release jitter in the DS-Design Tool. The value of the jitter depends on hardware particularities.

1. TimeScale transaction and system timer transaction are mutually exclusive, because in the OSEKturboTimeScale is attached to system timer.
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DS-Design Tool adds the minimal interarrival time and the jitter parameters to the description of an ISR in OIL file.

Subtransactions

Subtransactions Overview

Transaction may link more than one computing entities to the same source of event. Each computing entity is called *subtransaction* of the transaction. Subtransactions respond to the event released by the source of event the transaction is linked to. Subtransaction is activated when the event arrives.

**NOTE**

Subtransactions do not exist independently. Each subtransaction belongs to one exact transaction.

**NOTE**

Definition of transactions and subtransactions is a little ambiguous, when there is only one subtransaction in the transaction, because in this case source of event and event itself are essentially the same. This is often the case for interrupt service routines. However, DS-Design Tool always creates the subtransaction for such specific transaction. Therefore, the transaction which consists of just one subtransaction is common in DS-Design Tool.

The clear example of subtransactions is OSEKturbo TimeScale. TimeScale enables periodic activations of tasks in accordance with statically defined schedule. TimeScale is attached to system counter, and it consists of steps. Each step activates one or several tasks, if the timer value reaches the value statically defined on the step. Therefore, while the system timer advances, it reaches steps on TimeScale, and activates the task(s) of the step. The source of event is a system timer, while events themselves are timer ticks.

The most important feature of the subtransactions of the transaction is that the subtransactions within transaction *may* be dependent from each other.

Indeed, on TimeScale two steps can not be reached simultaneously, because there is a time interval between them. Therefore, the tasks of two steps in a TimeScale can not be activated independently.
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NOTE

Dependent activations (the releases) of subtransactions in transaction is a main reason why DS-Design Tool employs subtransactions. As a matter of fact, dependencies between subtransactions 1) reflect the reality of events arrival in the application, and 2) relax the scheduling criteria of the application. Demands for processor from the subtransactions are distributed in time, so it is easier for processor to execute the subtransactions’ tasks and ISRs.

Several alarms attached to one counter (e.g. the second timer of OSEKturbo) are often used in applications. Therefore, counter and timer transactions typically consist of several subtransactions.

NOTE

Subtransactions in the counter and timer transaction are considered as independent ones. Unlike timescale, there is no constant time offset between alarms activations attached to the counter or timer. Therefore, DS-Design Tool considers these subtransactions as independent entities.

Applications Subtransactions

DS-Design Tool creates the subtransactions automatically using OSEKturbo application configuration information about relations between application objects. For example, information about attachments of tasks to counters (timers) is defined in ALARM objects. However, OSEKturbo OIL parameters do not contain enough information about connections between ISR and tasks. Therefore, DS-Design Tool adds specific attributes to ISR and TASK objects that define the subtransactions (see Section Tasks and ISRs Sections).

Depending on the application configuration, the following transactions typically has several subtransactions:

- system timer transaction (several alarms attached)
- second timer transaction (several alarms attached)
- TimeScale transaction (several steps)
- counter transactions (several alarms attached)

The following transactions by default consist of a single subtransaction:

For More Information: www.freescale.com
Tasks and ISRs Sections

Tasks and ISRs Sections Overview

Transactions and subtransactions are only a framework for the application execution entities, namely tasks and ISRs, that perform computation needed to respond to the event. Therefore, DS-Design Tool uses timing information about tasks and ISRs by means of partitioning them into task sections and ISR sections correspondingly. Task section and ISR section are the minimal execution entities that are the subjects of analysis. 1

Briefly, splitting the task and ISRs into sections provides the following advantages:

- subtransactions may be described as a sequence of task and ISR sections thus reflecting the processing algorithms in the application code;
- multiply deadlines may be set to watch the processing of events (each task section and ISR section may have deadline);
- schedulability analysis becomes more accurate as DS-Design Tool takes into consideration timing behavior of each section.

1 To simplify the explanation, term section will be used when there is no difference between task section and ISR section.

NOTE

Autostart alarms are handled by the subtransactions of the transaction of the counter to which alarm is attached. Single mode and cyclic mode values of the autostart alarms are defined in AUTOSTART parameter of ALARM, and therefore there is no need to specify same parameters separately.
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- ISR transaction

OSEK turbo alarms are heavily used in applications - especially when periodic events are processed. The application uses operating system services SetRelAlarm and SetAbsAlarm to start the alarm in either single mode or cyclic mode. This information is also necessary for DS-Design Tool. Therefore, for ALARM object DS-Design Tool adds OIL parameters that define the single mode and cyclic mode values of the alarm.
Each task section and ISR section defines the following parameters:

- worst-case execution time of the section,
- deadline for the completion of the section,
- action that is performed at the end of the section execution.

**NOTE**

Each Task section and ISR section has an unique symbolic name ID within the scope of the task or ISR the section belongs to.

### Task of Single Task Section

In a simple case, a task starts when the event arrives (e.g. task is activated by alarm), computes the response, generates the response, and terminates itself. As it is shown on Figure 6.1, this task consists of a single task section TS_A. The section starts from the beginning of the task body, and completes by the call of system service TerminateTask. The call of this system service is an action of this task section. The timing parameter of the task section are WCET (upper bound of computational time needed to compute the section code and generate the response), and deadline value $D$. DS-Design Tool computes the actual response time $R$ of this task section, and checks if it meets deadline of the section.

**NOTE**

Deadline and response time of the subtransaction are relative to the event arrival.

---

1. There may be more than one deadline set for the section as it is described in [Execution Paths](#).
2. There may be more than one action defined for the section as it is described in [Execution Paths](#) and in cases when GoTo actions are used in the section.
NOTE

Each termination of a task or an ISR should be included into task section or ISR section. The task section should have an action TerminateTask (or ChainTask). The ISR section should have an action LeaveISR. DS-Design Tool needs these section to learn when the task or ISR completes the execution.

Sections and Execution Scenario

Though the deadline processing presented on Figure 6.1 it is applicable in many cases, more complex event processing is required. As an example, Figure 6.2 presents an ISR subtransaction that responds to an event by means of using an ISR and task. Interrupt service routine ISR1 gets activated when interrupt request comes from the hardware (e.g. CAN module). ISR1 clears the hardware interrupt flag, and activates the task TaskA, that completes the computation needed to generate response, generates response, and terminates itself.
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Figure 6.2  Processing an event by an ISR and task

As Figure 6.2 shows, the ISR1 consists of two ISR sections - IS_1_1, and IS_1_2. Section IS_1_1 ends with action ActivateTask specifying the name of the task TaskA that should be activated to complete the processing of the event. After completing execution of section IS_1_1 the ISR1 should continue with section IS_1_2, which ends with action LeaveISR. Therefore, section IS_1_1 specifies that next section is section IS_1_2.

NOTE
If the task or the ISR consists of more than one section, the section that should be executed first, is defined by INITIAL parameter of the TASK or the ISR object.

In OSEKturbo the interrupt service routines have higher priority than tasks. Therefore, the following sequence of ISR sections and task section is executed by processor to respond to the event: IS_1_1, IS_1_2, TS_A. This sequence is called execution scenario of the subtransaction. DS-Design Tool uses the execution scenario to evaluate the response time.
taken into considerations the total worst-case execution time of all sections that the subtransaction comprises, and how the sections of the subtransaction compete with other tasks and ISRs.

Execution scenario is derived from an execution graph of the subtransaction. Execution graph consists of the set of possible sequences of task sections and ISR sections, that may be executed when the subtransaction responds to the event. Execution graph reflects the branches in application algorithms. If there is no branches in the code of tasks and ISRs that the subtransaction comprises, then there is only one execution scenario of the execution graph.

DS-Design Tool uses the links between section, defined in NEXT parameter of section to build execution graph within the task or an ISR. DS-Design Tool uses the references to the system objects, defined in ACTION parameter of section to build the execution graph across tasks and ISRs. DS-Design Tool builds execution scenarios of the execution graph using the GoTo actions and PATH parameter of the task sections and ISR sections.

**Sections and Rescheduling points**

Another example of an execution scenario is presented on Figure 6.3. The subtransaction consists of two tasks - TaskA and TaskB. Subtransaction starts when TaskA is activated because an event arrives (e.g. it is the step of the timescale). TaskA does computation, and activates TaskB that should complete the processing of an event and generate response. Meanwhile TaskA continues execution and terminates itself.

---

1. GoTo action is described in subsection *Sections and Conditional Operators*

2. PATH parameter is described in subsection *Using Paths for Dependent Conditional Operators*

---
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Figure 6.3  Processing an event by two tasks

Figure 6.4  Processing an event by two tasks (execution scenario 1)

Figure 6.5  Processing an event by two tasks (execution scenario 2)

There are two task sections in TaskA: TS_A_1 and TS_A_2. Section TS_A_1 ends with an action ActivateTask specifying TaskB and linking itself to section TS_A_2. Section TS_A_2 ends with an action

For More Information: www.freescale.com
**TerminateTask.** Each section TS_A_1 and TS_A_2 needs computation time to be estimated by WCET values. However, there is no deadline set for both of these sections.

TaskB consists of one section TS_B, which ends with an action **TerminateTask** after the task generates response. Task section TS_B has the deadline relative to the start of subtransaction (to the start of section TS_A_1 indeed).

Execution scenario of the subtransaction depends on the priorities of tasks. If TaskB has higher priority than TaskA, then the execution scenario is the sequence of sections TS_A_1, TS_B, TS_A_1. In this case deadline is met (Figure 6.4). However, if TaskB has lower priority than TaskA, then the execution scenario is the sequence of sections TS_A_1, TS_A_2, TS_B, and the deadline does not met (Figure 6.5).

Therefore, execution scenario depends on rescheduling of tasks. DS-Design Tool takes into consideration the rescheduling points to provide evaluation of response times.

From rescheduling points DS-Design Tool also learns how the tasks sections compete with other tasks on processor. Task sections are dependent from each other within subtransactions, but they are executed independently from the tasks, belonging to other transactions and independent subtransactions. Therefore, each time the execution scenario experiences rescheduling point, DS-Design Tool takes into consideration changes in the scheduler lists, and, hence, apply analysis to the changed lists.

**NOTE**

DS-Design Tool extracts the information about scheduling from ACTION parameter in the description of the task section and ISR section. Each occurrence of the system service, which impacts scheduling should be framed by the task or the ISR section.

**Sections and Processing Levels (priorities)**

The priority of the tasks and interrupt service routines definitely affect the allocation of processor. Low priority tasks are preempted from processor by tasks of high priorities, thus delaying responses generated by the tasks of lower priorities. Therefore, DS-Design Tool takes into consideration the priorities of the tasks and interrupt services routines.
In spite that OSEKturbo employs fixed-priority scheduling, the priority is changed during the execution of the tasks and ISRs. That happens when the task or the ISR accesses the critical sections by means of using the OSEKturbo resources, or by means of disabling and suspending interrupts. Therefore, the information about boosting priorities in an application should be provided for DS-Design Tool. Task section and ISR section are used to provide this information.

**NOTE**
DS-Design Tool extracts the information about effective priority of the task and the ISR from ACTION parameter in the description of the task section and the ISR section. Each occurrence of the system service that impacts the effective priority should be framed by the task or the ISR section.

**NOTE**
To analyze scheduling of tasks that use OSEKturbo internal resources, the DS-Design Tool uses standard parameters of OIL. There is no need to describe task sections for internal resources.

**Sections and Conditional Operators**

Task sections and ISR sections may be used to describe the control flow within the task and the ISR. For example, in Figure 6.6 three tasks are used to generate two different responses to one event depending on dynamically changed condition. TaskA is activated when event arrives (e.g. when alarm is expired). TaskA calculates the value of variable x, and activates TaskB if the value is positive. Otherwise, TaskC is activated. TaskB responds to the event with the response1, while TaskC responds with the response2. Both responses may have different deadlines - D1 and D2 correspondingly. TaskB and TaskC are of higher priority than TaskA.

There are two versions of execution scenario for this example. Execution scenario for positive value of x is presented on Figure 6.7. Execution scenario for non-positive value of x is presented on Figure 6.8. Surely, for each release of an event only one version of the execution scenario is implemented, so these scenarios are mutually exclusive. DS-Design Tool takes into consideration this fact when it calculates response times R1 for response1 and R2 for response2.
Figure 6.6  Handling if-then-else operators (scheme)

```c
TASK(TaskA)
   /* compute x */
   if(x>0)
   {
      ActivateTask(TaskB);
   }
   else
   {
      ActivateTask(TaskC);
   }
   TerminateTask();

TASK(TaskB)
   /* compute x */
   Response1();
   TerminateTask();

TASK(TaskC)
   /* compute x */
   Response2();
   TerminateTask();
```

Figure 6.7  Handling if-then-else operators (response1)
Figure 6.8   Handling if-then-else operators (response2)

An action called *GoTo* action is used in section TS_A_1 to describe the jump to section TS_A_2 and section TS_A_3. For each jump separate *GoTo* action is used.

**NOTE**  
GoTo actions may be used for conditional operators such as if-then-else and switch.

**Actions Summary**

**Table 6.1 Task and ISR sections actions** contains the full list of actions applicable for the task and the ISR sections.

**Table 6.1  Task and ISR sections actions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>TASK Section</th>
<th>ISR section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ActivateTask</td>
<td>Section calls OS service <em>ActivateTask</em>. Reference to the task that is being activated should be provided</td>
<td>+a</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TerminateTask</td>
<td>Task section calls OS service <em>TerminateTask</em>. This is default action for task section. There is no next task section, because task goes into suspended state</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 6.1  Task and ISR sections actions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>TASK Section</th>
<th>ISR section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ChainTask</td>
<td>Task section calls OS service <em>ChainTask</em>. Reference to the task that is being activated should be provided. There is no next task section, because task goes into suspended state</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule</td>
<td>Task section calls OS service <em>Schedule</em></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DisableAllInterrupts</td>
<td>Section calls OS service <em>DisableAllInterrupts</em></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EnableAllInterrupts</td>
<td>Section calls OS service <em>EnableAllInterrupts</em></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SuspendAllInterrupts</td>
<td>Section calls OS service <em>SuspendAllInterrupts</em></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ResumeAllInterrupts</td>
<td>Section calls OS service <em>ResumeAllInterrupts</em></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SuspendOSInterrupts</td>
<td>Section calls OS service <em>SuspendOSInterrupts</em></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ResumeOSInterrupts</td>
<td>Section calls OS service <em>ResumeOSInterrupts</em></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GetResource</td>
<td>Section calls OS service <em>GetResource</em>. Reference to the resource that is being acquired should be provided</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ReleaseResource</td>
<td>Section calls OS service <em>ReleaseResource</em>. Reference to the resource that is being released should be provided</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SetEvent&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Section calls OS service <em>SetEvent</em>. Reference to the events that are being set should be provided</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WaitEvent&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Task section calls OS service <em>WaitEvent</em>. Reference to the events that the task waits should be provided</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Timepoints and Checkpoints

#### Timepoints and Checkpoints Overview

Each termination of the task section or ISR section is called *timepoint*. Therefore, each task section and ISR section has a timepoint. At the timepoint the section *may* generate a response.

Not all timepoints are interesting for the analysis. As responses in real-time applications should meet deadlines, the most important timepoints are those where the responses should be completed before deadline. These timepoints are called checkpoints. *Checkpoint* is the timepoint for which deadline is set.

---

**Table 6.1 Task and ISR sections actions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>TASK Section</th>
<th>ISR section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CheckEvent</td>
<td>Task section checks the state of an event and jumps to the next task section depending on the state of the event (if it is set or cleared)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SendMessage</td>
<td>Section calls OS service <em>SendMessage</em>. Reference to the message that is being sent should be provided.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GoTo</td>
<td>Section jumps to the next task section</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LeaveISR</td>
<td>ISR section calls OS service <em>LeaveISR</em>. This is default action for ISR object. There is no next ISR section, because ISR terminates</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- "+" means the action is valid, "-" means the action is not applicable
- Applicable if OSEKturbo configured to support extension of resources for interrupt levels
- Applicable if OSEKturbo configured to support extension of resources for interrupt levels
- See “Analysis of ECC Applications” for explanation of this action
- See “Analysis of ECC Applications” for explanation of this action
- See “Analysis of ECC Applications” for explanation of this action

---
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NOTE DS-Design Tool computes response times only for checkpoints. This is done in order to decrease the amount of calculations performed during analysis of an application.

It is easy to convert the timepoint into the checkpoint and vice versa by means of setting and removing deadline parameter in the task or the ISR section.

**Checking Deadlines in the Middle of Tasks and ISRs**

In many cases in BCC applications the task section that terminates the task is checkpoint, because the task generates the response before termination.

However, when response is generated somewhere in the middle of the task, it is not obvious how to check the response time vs. deadline. In Figure 6.9 on picture a. such task is shown. The problem may be solved by means of splitting the task section TS_A into two sections - TS_A_1 and TS_A_2 (picture b.). Section TS_A_1 ends with the generation of response, and uses action `GoTo` to tell the DS-Design Tool that task execution is continued in section TS_A_2. Checkpoint is set in section TS_A_1, and DS-Design Tool computes actual response time for this section, thus checking deadline.

**Figure 6.9 Splitting the task section**

![Figure 6.9 Splitting the task section](image)

NOTE GoTo action is a handy split tool for the task and ISR sections.
Execution Paths

Using Paths for Dependent Conditional Operators

Handling conditional operators by means of using task sections or ISR sections with \textit{GoTo} actions as described in Sections and Conditional Operators is useful, but limited.

In many cases the branches of conditional operators are dependent of each other. The example of such algorithm is shown on Figure 6.10.

\textbf{Figure 6.10} Dependent conditional operators (scheme)
Task A is activated when an event arrives, and it generates two responses depending on the value of variable $x$. If the value of $x$ is positive, then response 1 is generated which has deadline $D_1$. If the value of $x$ is non-positive, then task generates response 2 which has deadline $D_2$ (Figure 6.10).

Tasks use two conditional operators if-then-else to compute responses. Note that there is a common computational block in between these conditional operators - the task section $TS_A.4$. The dependency between conditional operators is as follows:

- if in first operator $TS_A.2$ is executed, then in second operator $TS_A.5$ is executed.
- if in first operator $TS_A.3$ is executed, then in second operator $TS_A.6$ is executed.

Therefore, for positive value of $x$ the sequence of sections is as shown on Figure 6.11, while non-positive value of $x$ leads to the sequence shown on Figure 6.12. Note that the middle common section $TS_A.4$ is executed in both routes.

These two routes, or paths of execution graph, represent two execution scenarios. Task sections $TS_A.2$ and $TS_A.5$ belong to one path (Path1),...
task sections TS_A_3 and TS_A_6 belong to another path (Path2), while sections TS_A_1, TS_A_4, and TS_A_7 belong to both paths.

**NOTE**
Execution paths represent the algorithms of the application code. Execution paths allow more accurate analysis and provide less pessimistic results. Path name is a unique symbolic name within the scope of the subtransaction.

Paths mechanism effectively remove unrealistic execution scenarios, thus decreasing pessimism of analysis. Indeed, if no paths are specified in this example, DS-Design Tool creates four execution scenarios:

1. TS_A_1, TS_A_2, TS_A_4, TS_A_5, TS_A_7 (realistic)
2. TS_A_1, TS_A_2, TS_A_4, TS_A_6, TS_A_7 (non-realistic)
3. TS_A_1, TS_A_3, TS_A_4, TS_A_6, TS_A_7 (realistic)
4. TS_A_1, TS_A_3, TS_A_4, TS_A_5, TS_A_7 (non-realistic)

Scenarios 2 and 3 never happen as they go mutually exclusive branches of the first and the second if-then-else operators. The Paths mechanism allows exclude non-realistic scenarios from analysis.

Each action in the task section or in the ISR section may specify the path to which the action applies. If the task section belongs to more than one path, it defines action for each path. In the example on Figure 6.10 each of task sections TS_A_1 and TS_A_4 has two GoTo actions - the first for Path1 and the second for Path2.

**NOTE**
DS-Design Tool uses parameters PATH in the description of the task sections and the ISR sections to learn to which path(s) the action belongs to.

### Using Paths Across Tasks and ISRs

The other limitation of usage of GoTo actions as it is described in Sections and Conditional Operators there is the boundary of execution scenario, which is only within single task or single ISR.

In some cases conditions are spread over the tasks or the ISRs within subtransactions. DS-Design Tool applies execution paths approach to describe such conditions.
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On Figure 6.13 the event is processed by the chain of two tasks. TaskA is activated when the event arrives and generates response. The amount of the computations needed to generate the response, and the deadline of the response depends on the value of variable x. If value of x is positive, than TaskA makes short computation in section TS_A_2, and chains TaskB that completes the computation and generate response that should meet deadline D1. If value of x is non-positive, than TaskA makes longer computation in section TS_A_3, and chains TaskB that completes the computation and generate response that should meet longer deadline D2.

The scheme of tasks sections is shown on Figure 6.13, and execution scenarios for responses are presented on Figure 6.14 and Figure 6.15.

Note that deadline defined in TaskB in section TS_B depends on conditions calculated in TaskA. To provide information about this condition, two paths are defined in an application - Path1 for positive value of x and for shorter deadline D1, and Path2 for non-positive value of x, and for longer deadline D2.

The information about paths is defined in action parameters of task sections of TaskA, and in the description of deadline in task section of TaskC thus allowing tracking of path to which deadline belongs.

**NOTE** DS-Design Tool uses parameters PATH in the description of the deadline of the task sections and the ISR sections to learn which path(s) the deadline belongs to.
Figure 6.13  Conditions dependent deadline (scheme)

```
TASK(TaskA)
{ /* compute */
    if(x>0)
        /* compute */
        ChainTask(TaskB);
    else
        /* compute */
        ChainTask(TaskB);
}
```

```
TASK(TaskB)
{ /* compute */
    Response();
    TerminateTask();
}
```

Figure 6.14  Conditions dependent deadline (Path1)
NOTE: Clear design of an application helps to avoid excessive use of path features.

As paths link the tasks sections and the ISR sections of different tasks and ISRs within subtransaction, it may be used to follow the same conditional computations across tasks and ISRs.

**Figure 6.16** shows the subtransaction that uses the same conditional variable in ISR and task. ISR1 is released when an event arrives. ISR1 computes the value of *global* variable *x*, and depending on the value of *x* performs different computations. Then ISR1 activates task TaskA to complete processing of an event and to generate two different responses depending on the value of variable *x*. In both ISR1 and TaskA same condition is checked - the value of *x*.

In order to follow the condition from ISR1 in TaskA, two paths are created:

- Path1 for positive value of *x* and response1, comprises ISR sections IS_1_1, IS_1_2, IS_1_4, and task sections TS_A_1, TS_A_2, TS_A_4
- Path2 for non-positive value of *x* and response2, comprises ISR sections IS_1_1, IS_1_3, IS_1_4, and task sections TS_A_1, TS_A_3, TS_A_4

Hence, two execution scenario are analyzed by DS-Design Tool.
Applying Computational Model

Building an Application Model

The following steps should be done by an application designer to apply schedulability analysis to the application:

1. Describe timing requirements to the application.
2. Identify transactions in an application.
3. Identify subtransactions in an application.
4. Sketch execution graph of each subtransaction.
5. Build execution scenarios of each subtransaction.
6. Identify the tasks and the ISRs sections that each execution snaring comprises.
7. Identify timepoints and checkpoints.
8. Describe the tasks and ISRs sections in an OIL file (by means of using OSEK Builder or text editor).
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9. Run DS-Design Tool and perform the schedulability analysis.
10. Explore results of analysis.

Subsections below demonstrate the use of these steps for sample applications.

The following legend is used for timing diagrams:

- event arrival
- CPU idle tick
- response generation
- CPU busy tick
- deadline

**WARNING!**
For simplification reasons in the examples below the operating system overhead is not considered.
The examples are intentionally simple for the sake of making explanations clear. Real applications have much more entities, and usually computational times are much less than deadlines.

The graphical images in this document may slightly differ from DS-Design Tool interface. The difference does not impact functionality of DS-Design Tool.

### Single Periodic Task

The application consists of the single task TaskA of priority 10 that is activated periodically by means of using an alarm Alarm1 connected to the timer Counter1 as presented on the picture below:

---

1. Here and in examples below "P" stands for period, "C" stands for computation time, and "p" stands for priority.

---
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The counter period is 1 milliseconds (ms), the alarm is cyclically activated every 5 ms, i.e. every 5th tick of the counter. Task should generate the response within 5 ms, using 2 ms of the processor time. Task generates response immediately before termination.

**Step 1.** Timing requirements of applications are presented on the picture:

![Diagram showing event timing](image)

Event arrives every 5 ms, and should be processed before deadline that is 5 ms from the arrival of the event.

**Step 2.** Application has single transaction *Counter1* that has period 1 ms.

**Step 3.** Transaction *Counter1* has single subtransaction *Alarm1* (full name *Counter1/Alarm1*).

**Step 4.** The execution graph of subtransaction is just *TaskA*, or, more precisely, single task section of *TaskA*, identified as *TS_A*.

**Step 5.** There is only one execution scenario of the subtransaction: task section *TS_A* of *TaskA*.

**Step 6.** Task section *TS_A* ends with an action *TerminateTask*. Worst-case execution time of the section is 2 ms.

**Step 7.** Single checkpoint is at the end of *TaskA*, when the task generates response and terminates. Full name of checkpoint is *Counter1/Alarm1/TaskA/TS_A*. The deadline is 5 ms.

**Step 8.** OIL file for the example is presented below. The DS-Design Tool specific parameters are in **bold**.

```oil
// SinglePeriodicTask.oil

OIL_VERSION = "2.3";
#include "ost22mpc.oil"

CPU cpu1
{
    APPMODE appl;
}
```
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In this example the alarm is not autostarted. That means it should be started in the application code by means of calling `SetRelAlarm` system service.

In order to avoid explicit start of alarm in the code, the alarm may be converted into autostart alarm. For the example in the listing above this can be done by means of changing two lines in the description
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of Alarm1:

```
ALARM Alarm1
{
    COUNTER = Counter1;
    ACTION = ACTIVATETASK { TASK = TaskA; };
    AUTOSTART = TRUE { ALARMTIME = 0; CYCLETIME = 5; };
}
```

Note that AUTOSTART parameter in ALARM is not DS-Design Tool specific, but it is used by DS-Design Tool to learn the alarm behavior.
This method is applicable for next examples as well.

In OSEK Builder the pane of OIL objects should include the following ones:

```
Build  OIL Objects  SA
- cpu1
  - Alarm1
  - app1
  - Counter1
  - cs1
    - TaskA
```
Parameters of os1 are presented below (DS-Design Tool specific parameters are framed):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>e CC</td>
<td>AUTO</td>
<td>default</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e STATUS</td>
<td>STANDARD</td>
<td>user defined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i DEBUG_LEVEL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>default</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b BuildNumber</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>default</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b FastTerminate</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>default</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b FastScheduler</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>default</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b ResourceScheduler</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>user defined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e TargetMCU</td>
<td>MPC555</td>
<td>user defined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i ClockFrequency</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>default</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i ClockDivider</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>default</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i ClockMultiplier</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>default</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▼ e SysTimer</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>default</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▼ e SecondTimer</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>default</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b HCLowPower</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>default</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i IsStackSize</td>
<td>AUTO</td>
<td>default</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b StackOverflowCheck</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>default</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e MessageCopyAllocation</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>default</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b UnorderedExceptions</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>default</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e InterruptDispatcher</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>default</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b STARTUPHOOK</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>user defined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b SHUTDOWNHOOK</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>user defined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b ERRORHOOK</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>user defined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b PRETASKHOOK</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>user defined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b POSTTASKHOOK</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>user defined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b USEGETSERVICEID</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>user defined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b USEPARAMETERACC...</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>user defined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b IdleLoopHook</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>default</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b FloatingPoint</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>default</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▼ e TimeScale</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>default</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e saTimeUnit</td>
<td>ms</td>
<td>user defined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▼ e saDOSOverhead</td>
<td>AUTO</td>
<td>default</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i saClockFrequency</td>
<td>AUTO</td>
<td>default</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i saMeasureClockFrequ...</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>user defined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b sainvalidate</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>default</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Parameters of TaskA are presented below (DS-Design Tool specific parameters are framed):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attributes</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group:</td>
<td>&lt;a&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i PRIORITY</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>user defined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e SCHEDULE</td>
<td>FULL</td>
<td>user defined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b AUTOSTART</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>user defined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i ACTIVATION</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>user defined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[] → EVENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[] → RESOURCE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e ACCESSOR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i STACKSIZE</td>
<td>AUTO</td>
<td>default</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Parameters of Counter1 are presented below (DS-Design Tool specific parameters are framed):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attributes</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group:</td>
<td>&lt;a&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i MINCYCLE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>user defined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i MAXALLOWEDVALUE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>user defined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i TICKSPERBASE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>user defined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i saPeriod</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>user defined</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Parameters of Alarm1 are presented below (DS-Design Tool specific parameters are framed):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attributes</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COUNTER</td>
<td>Counter1</td>
<td>user defined</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTION</td>
<td>ACTIVATE TASK</td>
<td>user defined</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TASK</td>
<td>TaskA</td>
<td>user defined</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUTOSTART</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
<td>user defined</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>saCyclic</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
<td>user defined</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALARMTIME</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>user defined</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYCLETIME</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>user defined</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Step 9.** DS-Design Tool should be launched from OSEK Builder using the main menu:

1. Analysis is started by means of clicking menu item Project/Analyze.
2. Graphical views tool is started by means of clicking menu item Project/View.
Alternatively, OSEK Builder toolbar buttons may be used:

1. Analysis is started by means of clicking button 1.
2. Graphical views tool is started by means of clicking button 2.

During the analysis phase, the output window of OSEK Builder displays error messages.

**Step 10.** Results of analysis are displayed in GraViTool panes.

The left pane displays transactions, subtransactions, and checkpoints as a tree. The right pane contains details of the object highlighted on the left pane on the tree.

If *application* is selected, then the right pane displays the list of transactions. In the example it is *Counter1* with the period 1 ms. Note that transaction is created automatically - it is not described in OIL file.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transaction</th>
<th>Minimal inter-arrival time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Counter1</td>
<td>1 ms</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE**

Green vote mark on the tree branch means that corresponding checkpoint meets deadline.

Red scratch mark on the tree branch means than corresponding checkpoint does not meet deadline.
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If transaction is selected, then the right pane contains description of the selected subtransactions. In the example there is only one periodic subtransaction Count1/Alarm1, that has the period 5 counter ticks. Note, that subtransaction is created automatically - it is not described in OIL file:

When subtransaction Count1/Alarm1 is selected, the right pane displays the sections of the subtransaction. All details of sections are displayed in a tree-view:

The sections’ tree allows to check if OIL description is consistent and really reflects an application design.
For the checkpoint selected as it is shown below the right pane displays the response time:

**Response time at checkpoint**

Counter1/Alarm1/TaskA/TS_A

response 2 ms, deadline 5 ms

The pane shows that checkpoint meets deadline as response time is 2 ms while deadline is 5 ms. This is the trivial result as only one task occupies the processor.
Click on the computation bar or on the computation branch in the tree brings the table that reflects the breakdown of the response time:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Prio</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CURRENT JOB</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA_ALARM_ACTIVATE</td>
<td>2 ms</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>activate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TaskA/TS_A</td>
<td>2 ms</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>activate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total computation</td>
<td>2 ms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Again, in this example the only contribution into response time does task section TS_A of TaskA, which is executed with priority value 10.

The tool also shows the utilization of processor by the application. Click on toolbar button $U$:
brings the utilization chart in right pane:

**Total CPU utilization**

![Chart showing 40% CPU utilization](image)

In the example the total utilization of CPU is 40% (actually it is easy to calculate by hands as it is 2 ms of execution time divided by 5 ms of event arrival).

The total utilization chart shows contribution of each subtransaction into processor utilization. Click on the bar of the subtransaction utilization, bar
brings the chart, which shows contribution of each subtransaction scenario task or ISR into the utilization share of the subtransaction:

**Total CPU utilization per subtransaction**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value, %</th>
<th>[OS]</th>
<th>TaskA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the example the only contributor into execution scenario is TaskA.

The analysis results of this example may be explained by means of sketching a worst-case application scenario by hands:

Each 5 ms the event is processed by the CPU that spends 2 ms for response generation. As there is no other events in the application, the processing of an event starts immediately as the event arrives, and it is not preempted. Therefore, each response is generated in 2 ms well before deadline.

DS-Design Tool does not produce the worst-case execution scenario, because it is almost useless even for dozen tasks and ISRs in real applications.
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In the next examples application configuration will be shown as OIL file, and graphical views will be shown briefly. For details of using OSEK Builder and graphical views please refer to the example in this subsection.

**Periodic Task and an ISR**

The example is modification of the example in subsection *Single Periodic Task*, therefore here only additional details are described.

In addition to periodic task an ISR is added. *ISR1* activates task *TaskB* which has priority 20:

The *ISR1* has minimal interarrival time 2 milliseconds (ms), and takes 500 microseconds (us) of computational time. Activated *TaskB* should generate the response within 2 ms, using 500 us of the processor time. *TaskB* generates response immediately before termination.

**Step 1.** Timing requirements of applications events are presented on the picture:
Event1 arrives every 5 ms, and should be processed before deadline that is 5 ms from the arrival of the event. Event2 arrives every 2 ms, and should be processed before deadline that is 2 ms from the arrival of the event.

Step2. Application has two transactions:
- Counter1 that has period 1 ms
- ISR1 that has minimal interarrival time 2 ms

Step3. Application subtransactions:
- Transaction Counter1 has single subtransaction Alarm1 (full name Counter1/Alarm1)
- Transaction ISR1 has single subtransaction ISR1 (full name ISR1/ISR1).

Step 4. The execution graphs:
- subtransaction Counter1/Alarm1: TaskA (more precisely single task section TS_A)
- subtransaction ISR1/ISR1: ISR1 and TaskB (more precisely, two ISR sections of ISR1, identified as IS_1_1 and IS_1_2, and single task section TS_B). ISR section IS_1_1 is an initial section of ISR1.

Step 5. There is only one execution scenario for each subtransactions, that is same as execution graph. Note, that both section of ISR1 are executed before task section TS_B, as ISR1 has higher priority, than TaskB.

Step 6. Tasks and ISR sections are as following:
- TaskA/TS_A, that ends with an action TerminateTask. Worst-case execution time of the section is 2 ms
- ISR1/IS_1_1, that ends with an action ActivateTask, and is followed by the section IS_1_2. Worst-case execution time of the section is 300 us
- ISR1/IS_1_2, that ends with an action LeaveISR. Worst-case execution time of the section is 200 us
- TaskB/TS_B, that ends with an action TerminateTask. Worst-case execution time of the section is 500 us

Step 7. The checkpoints are as following:
- Checkpoint is at the end of TaskA, when the task generates response and terminates. Full name of checkpoint is Counter1/Alarm1/TaskA/TS_A. The deadline is 5 ms
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• Checkpoint is at the end of TaskB, when the task generates response and terminates. Full name of checkpoint is ISR1/ISR1/TaskB/TS_B. The deadline is 2 ms

Step 8. OIL file for the example is presented below. The DS-Design Tool specific parameters are in bold.

```
// PeriodicTaskAndISR.oil

OIL_VERSION = "2.3";
#include "C:\metrowerks\osek\ostmpc\bin\ost22mpc.oil"

CPU cpu1
{
    APPMODE app1;
    OS os1
    {
        TargetMCU = MPC555;
        STATUS = STANDARD;
        ResourceScheduler = FALSE;
        STARTUPHOOK = FALSE; SHUTDOWNHOOK = FALSE;
        PRETASKHOOK = FALSE; POSTTASKHOOK = FALSE; ERRORHOOK = FALSE;
        USEGETSERVICEID = FALSE; USEPARAMETERACCESS = FALSE;

        saTimeUnit = us;
        saMeasureClockFrequency = 4000;
    }
}

TASK TaskA
{
    PRIORITY = 10;
    SCHEDULE = FULL;
    AUTOSTART = FALSE;
    ACTIVATION = 1;

    saTaskSection = SET { ID = "TS_A";
                        WCET = 2000;
                        DEADLINE = SET { VALUE = 5000; };
                        ACTION = TerminateTask;
                };
}

COUNTER Counter1
{
    MAXALLOWEDVALUE = 0xffffffff; MINCYCLE = 0; TICKSPERBASE = 1;
    saPeriod = 1000;
}

ALARM Alarm1
{
    COUNTER = Counter1;
}
```
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ACTION = ACTIVATETASK { TASK = TaskA; };
AUTOSTART = FALSE;

saCyclic = TRUE { ALARMTIME = 0; CYCLETIME = 5; };

TASK TaskB
{
PRIORITY = 20;
SCHEDULE = FULL;
AUTOSTART = FALSE;
ACTIVATION = 1;

saTaskSection = SET { ID = "TS_B";
  WCET = 500;
  DEADLINE = SET { VALUE = 2000; }
  ACTION = TerminateTask;
};

ISR ISR1
{
  CATEGORY = 2;
PRIORITY = 10;

  saInitial="IS_1_1";
saMinIATime = 2000;

  saISRSection = SET { ID = "IS_1_1";
    WCET = 300;
    ACTION = ActivateTask { NEXT = "IS_1_2"; TASK = TaskB; }
  };

  saISRSection = SET { ID = "IS_1_2";
    WCET = 200;
    ACTION = LeaveISR;
  };
};

Step 9. Run DS-Design Tool.

Step 10. The result of the analysis are explained below.
The transactions and checkpoints:

Quick look at green vote marks shows that both checkpoints are met.

The details of checkpoint in TaskB is presented on picture:

**Response time at checkpoint**

**ISR1/ISR1/TaskB/TS_B**

response 1 ms, deadline 2 ms
The picture shows that response time is 1 ms, and click on the computation bar shows the distribution of computation time:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CURRENT JOB</td>
<td>0 ms</td>
<td>05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA_ENTER_ISR</td>
<td>0 ms</td>
<td>05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISR1/ISR_1_1</td>
<td>300 µs</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>activate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISR1/ISR_ACTIVATE_TASK</td>
<td>0 ms</td>
<td>05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISR1/ISR_1_2</td>
<td>200 µs</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>return</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA_LEAVE_ISR</td>
<td>0 ms</td>
<td>05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task0/TS_0</td>
<td>500 µs</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>activate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total computation</td>
<td>1 ms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Probably, more interesting is exploring the response at the end of TaskA:

**Response time at checkpoint**

Counter1/Alarm1/TaskA/TS_A

response 4 ms, deadline 5 ms

The response is generated in 4 ms - not 2 ms, as it is in previous example. Note, that the computation time is 2 ms, but the response is delayed by 2
ms due to preemption from entities of higher priority. Click on preemption bar brings the following chart:

**Preemption at checkpoint**

Counter/Alarm/TaskA/TS_A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time in ms</th>
<th>Total = 2 ms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ISR1</td>
<td>2 ms</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The chart shows that response is preempted by transaction *ISR1*. That is reasonable as ISR transaction consist of *ISR1* and *TaskB*, that both have
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higher priority, than TaskA. Next click on ISR1 bar brings the details of preemptions:

**Preemption per system objects at checkpoint**

Counter1/Alarm1/TaskA/TSA_ISR1

The chart shows, that while response to event2 is generated, TaskA experiences 1 ms preemption from interrupt service routine ISR1, and 1 ms preemption from task TaskB.

The preemption is explained by worst-case execution scenario, that may be sketched by hand:

![Diagram showing preemption timeline](image)
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Bottom diagram shows the execution of subtransaction ISR1/ISR1. Each filled box represents execution of ISR1 and TaskB (500 us each). Top diagram shows the execution of TaskA of subtransaction Counter1/Alarm1. If both event1 and event2 occur simultaneously, then TaskA is preempted twice before it generates response.

Note, that processor is not fully utilized. Last box on diagram is idle. Therefore, CPU utilization is 90%, which is also shown in the utilization chart:

![Total CPU utilization](image)

Half of CPU cycles are allocated to subtransaction ISR1/ISR1, while 40% are utilized by transaction Counter1/Alarm1.

**NOTE**

The example demonstrates the utilization bound for independent periodic tasks explained in Basic Methods of Schedulability Analysis.

### Periodic Task, an ISR and the Resource

The example is modification of the example in subsection Periodic Task and an ISR, therefore here only additional details are described.
Both TaskA and TaskB needs to access same hardware in order to generate response. As hardware module can not be accessed in a preemptive manner, it is considered as an OSEKturbo critical section, and is protected by Res1. TaskA needs 400 us of access to Res1, while TaskB needs 100 us. The scheme of the application is shown below:

**Step 1, Step 2, and Step 3** are not changed.

**Step 4.** The execution graphs:
- subtransaction Counter1/Alarm1: TaskA (more precisely, there are task sections TS_A_1, TS_A_2, that delimit an access to resource Res1, and section TS_A). Task section TS_A_1 is the initial section of TaskA
- subtransaction ISR1/ISR1: ISR1 and TaskB (more precisely, two ISR sections of ISR1, identified as IS_1_1 and IS_1_2, and task sections TS_B_1, TS_B_2, that delimit an access to resource Res1, and section TS_B). Task section TS_B_1 is the initial section of TaskB

**Step 5.** There is only one execution scenario for each subtransactions, that is same as execution graph.

**Step 6.** Tasks and ISR sections are as following:
- TaskA/TS_A_1, that ends with an action GetResource. Worst-case execution time is 600 us
- TaskA/TS_A_2, that ends with an action ReleaseResource. Worst-case execution time is 400 us. This section is actually critical section
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- **TaskA/TS_A**, that ends with an action *TerminateTask*. Worst-case execution time of the section is 1 ms
- **ISR1/IS_1_1**, that ends with an action *ActivateTask*, and is followed by the section IS_1_2. Worst-case execution time of the section is 300 us
- **ISR1/IS_1_2**, that ends with an action *LeaveISR*. Worst-case execution time of the section is 200 us
- **TaskB/TS_B_1**, that ends with an action *GetResource*. Worst-case execution time is 200 us
- **TaskB/TS_B_2**, that ends with an action *ReleaseResource*. Worst-case execution time is 100 us. This section is actually critical section
- **TaskB/TS_B**, that ends with an action *TerminateTask*. Worst-case execution time of the section is 200 us

**Step 7.** The checkpoints are not changed. Note, that total WCET of each subtransaction is not changed as well.

**Step 8.** OIL file for the example is presented below. The DS-Design Tool specific parameters are in **bold**.

```c
// PeriodicTaskISRAndResource.oil
OIL_VERSION = "2.3";
#include "C:\metrowerks\osek\ostmpc\bin\ost22mpc.oil"

CPU cpu1
{
    APPMODE app1;
    OS os1
    {
        TargetMCU = MPC555;
        STATUS = STANDARD;
        ResourceScheduler = FALSE;
        STARTUPHOOK = FALSE; SHUTDOWNHOOK = FALSE;
        PRETASKHOOK = FALSE; POSTTASKHOOK = FALSE; ERRORHOOK = FALSE;
        USEGETSERVICEID = FALSE; USEPARAMETERACCESS = FALSE;

        saTimeUnit = us;
        saMeasureClockFrequency = 4000;
    }

    TASK TaskA
    {
        PRIORITY = 10;
        SCHEDULE = FULL;
        AUTOSTART = FALSE;
        ACTIVATION = 1;
    }
```
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RESOURCE = Res1;

saInitial = "TS_A_1";
saTaskSection = SET
{
    ID = "TS_A_1";
    WCET = 600;
    ACTION = GetResource { NEXT = "TS_A_2"; RESOURCE = Res1; }
};

saTaskSection = SET
{
    ID = "TS_A_2";
    WCET = 400;
    ACTION = ReleaseResource { NEXT = "TS_A"; RESOURCE = Res1; }
};

saTaskSection = SET { ID = "TS_A";
    WCET = 1000;
    DEADLINE = SET { VALUE = 5000; }
    ACTION = TerminateTask;
};

COUNTER Counter1
{
    MAXALLOWEDVALUE = 0xffffffff; MINCYCLE = 0; TICKSPERBASE = 1;
    saPeriod = 1000;
};

ALARM Alarm1
{
    COUNTER = Counter1;
    ACTION = ACTIVATETASK { TASK = TaskA; }
    AUTOSTART = FALSE;
    saCyclic = TRUE { ALARMTIME = 0; CYCLETIME = 5; }
};

TASK TaskB
{
    PRIORITY = 20;
    SCHEDULE = FULL;
    AUTOSTART = FALSE;
    ACTIVATION = 1;
    RESOURCE = Res1;

    saInitial = "TS_B_1";
    saTaskSection = SET
    {
        ID = "TS_B_1";
        WCET = 200;
        ACTION = GetResource { NEXT = "TS_B_2"; RESOURCE = Res1; }
    };
}
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saTaskSection = SET
{
    ID = "TS_B_2";
    WCET = 100;
    ACTION = ReleaseResource { NEXT = "TS_B"; RESOURCE = Res1; }
};

saTaskSection = SET { ID = "TS_B";
    WCET = 200;
    DEADLINE = SET { VALUE = 2000; }
    ACTION = TerminateTask;
};

ISR ISRI
{
    CATEGORY = 2;
    PRIORITY = 10;
    saInitial="IS_1_1";
    saMinIATime = 2000;

    saISRSection = SET { ID = "IS_1_1";
        WCET = 300;
        ACTION = ActivateTask { NEXT = "IS_1_2"; TASK = TaskB; }
    };

    saISRSection = SET { ID = "IS_1_2";
        WCET = 200;
        ACTION = LeaveISR;
    };

    RESOURCE Res1
    {
        RESOURCEPROPERTY = STANDARD;
    }
};

Step 9. Run DS-Design Tool.

Step 10. The result of the analysis is explained below.
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The transactions and checkpoints:

- **Counter1**
- **Alarm1**
- **TaskA/TS_A**
- **ISR1**
- **ISR1**
- **TaskB/TS_B**

Quick look at green vote marks shows that both checkpoints are met.

Nothing changed in the checkpoint **Counter1/Alarm1/TaskA/TS_A**. Indeed, the subtransaction **ISR1/ISR1** may preempt **TaskA** as soon as ISR1 arrives when **TaskA** executes at its normal priority (i.e. 10).

However, the response time of checkpoint **ISR1/ISR1/TaskB/TS_B** changed:

**Response time at checkpoint**

**ISR1/ISR1/TaskB/TS_B**

response: 1.4 ms, deadline: 2 ms
The response time of the **high-priority subtransaction** is delayed by 400 us due to *blocking*. That happens because while *TaskA* executes the critical section, it boost the priority higher than *TaskB*. Therefore, *TaskB* can not start execution - in the worst case, for the duration of the access of *TaskA* to the critical section guarded by *Res1*. As soon as *TaskA* decreases it priority to normal value, the *TaskB* starts execution, actually preempting *TaskA*.

Click blocking bar brings the table that precisely shows what causes the blocking:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Threshold</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Counter1/Alarm1/OS/SA_SET_RESOURCE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counter1/Alarm1/TaskA/TS_A_2</td>
<td>&lt;400 μs</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>activate</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counter1/Alarm1/OS/SA_RELEASE_RESOURCE...</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total blocking</td>
<td>&lt;400 μs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this case blocking is caused by the tasks section *TS_A_2* of *TaskA* that is executed at high priority 20, thus delaying start of *TaskB* for 400 us.

Blocking of the task or the ISR may occur only once - before start of the task or the ISR execution. Preemption of the task or the ISR may occur many times.

**Periodic Task, an ISR, and Short Critical Section**

The example is aimed to show the blocking of ISR caused by suspending interrupts in short critical section (SCS).

Event2 is fully processed by *ISR1*. Event1 is processed by *TaskA*, that needs to access hardware in order to generate response. Hardware module access can not be interrupted, and it is considered as short critical section, protected by means of suspending OS interrupts. *TaskA* needs 200 us to
access the short critical section SCS1. The scheme of the application is shown below:

The ISR1 has minimal interarrival time 2 milliseconds (ms), and takes 500 microseconds (us) of computational time to generate response before deadline 1 ms. ISR1 generates response immediately before termination.

Event1 has the same timing requirements as described in Periodic Task, an ISR and the Resource.

**Step 1.** Timing requirements of applications events are presented on the picture:

Event1 arrives every 5 ms, and should be processed before deadline that is 5 ms from the arrival of the event. Event2 arrives every 2 ms, and should be processed before deadline that is 1 ms from the arrival of the event.

**Step 2.** Application has two transactions:
- Counter1 that has period 1 ms
- ISR1 that has minimal interarrival time 2 ms

**Step 3.** Application subtransactions:
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• Transaction Counter1 has single subtransaction Alarm1 (full name Counter1/Alarm1)
• Transaction ISR1 has single subtransaction ISR1 (full name ISR1/ISR1).

Step 4. The execution graphs:
• subtransaction Counter1/Alarm1: TaskA (more precisely, there are task sections TS_A_1, TS_A_2, that delimit an access to resource SCS1, and section TS_A). Task section TS_A_1 is an initial section of TaskA
• subtransaction ISR1/ISR1: ISR1 (more precisely, single ISR section IS_1)

Step 5. There is only one execution scenario for each subtransactions, that is the same as execution graph.

Step 6. Tasks and ISR sections are as following:
• TaskA/TS_A_1, that ends with an action SuspendOSInterrupts. Worst-case execution time is 800 us
• TaskA/TS_A_2, that ends with an action ResumeOSInterrupts. Worst-case execution time is 200 us. This section is actually critical section SCS1
• TaskA/TS_A, that ends with an action TerminateTask. Worst-case execution time of the section is 1 ms
• ISR1/IS_1, that ends with an action LeaveISR. Worst-case execution time of the section is 500 us

Step 7. The checkpoints are as following:
• Checkpoint is at the end of TaskA, when the task generates response and terminates. Full name of checkpoint is Counter1/Alarm1/TaskA/TS_A. The deadline is 5 ms
• Checkpoint is at the end of ISR1, when the ISR generates response and terminates. Full name of the checkpoint is ISR1/ISR1/ISR1/IS_1_1. The deadline is 1 ms

Step 8. OIL file for the example is presented below. The DS-Design Tool specific parameters are in **bold**.

```c
// PeriodicTaskISRAndShortCS.oil

OIL_VERSION = "2.3";
#include "C:\metrowerks\osek\ostmpc\bin\ost22mpc.oil"
``
CPU cpu1
{
    APPMODE app1;
    OS os1
    {
        TargetMCU = MPC555;
        STATUS = STANDARD;
        ResourceScheduler = FALSE;
        STARTUPHOOK = FALSE; SHUTDOWNHOOK = FALSE;
        PRETASKHOOK = FALSE; POSTTASKHOOK = FALSE; ERRORHOOK = FALSE;
        USEGETSERVICEID = FALSE; USEPARAMETERACCESS = FALSE;

        saTimeUnit = us;
        saMeasureClockFrequency = 4000;
    };

    TASK TaskA
    {
        PRIORITY = 10;
        SCHEDULE = FULL;
        AUTOSTART = FALSE;
        ACTIVATION = 1;

        saInitial = "TS_A_1";
        saTaskSection = SET
        {
            ID = "TS_A_1";
            WCET = 800;
            ACTION = SuspendOSInterrupts { NEXT = "TS_A_2"; };
        };
        saTaskSection = SET
        {
            ID = "TS_A_2";
            WCET = 200;
            ACTION = ResumeOSInterrupts { NEXT = "TS_A"; };
        };
        saTaskSection = SET { ID = "TS_A";
            WCET = 1000;
            DEADLINE = SET { VALUE = 5000; }
            ACTION = TerminateTask;
        };
    };

    COUNTER Counter1
    {
        MAXALLOWEDVALUE = 0xffffffff; MINCYCLE = 0; TICKSPERBASE = 1;
        saPeriod = 1000;
    };

    ALARM Alarm1
    {
        COUNTER = Counter1;
        ACTION = ACTIVATETASK { TASK = TaskA; }
    };
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Step 9. Run DS-Design Tool.

Step 10. The result of the analysis are explained below.

The transactions and checkpoints:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transaction</th>
<th>Minimal inter-arrival time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Counter1</td>
<td>1 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISR1</td>
<td>2 ms</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AUTOSTART = FALSE;

saCyclic = TRUE { ALARMTIME = 0; CYCLETIME = 5; };

ISR ISR1
{| CATEGORY = 2;
PRIORITY = 10;

saMinATime = 2000;

saISRSection = SET { ID = "IS_1";
    WCET = 500;
    DEADLINE = SET { VALUE = 1000; };
    ACTION = LeaveISR;
    };
|}
Both deadlines are met, though response time in interrupt service routine is delayed for 200 μs:

Response time at checkpoint

ISR1/ISR1/ISR1/ISR1

response 700 μs, deadline 1 ms

Click on blocking bar explains the cause of blocking:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Threshold</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Counter1/Alarm1/OS/SA_SUSPEND_OS_INT...</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counter1/Alarm1/TaskA/TS_A_2</td>
<td>200 μs</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>activate</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counter1/Alarm1/OS/SA_RESUME_OS_INT...</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total blocking</td>
<td>200 μs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The TaskA short critical section TS_A_2 disables interrupts thus delaying release of an ISR1.

Periodic Task, an ISR, and GoTo actions

The example the a modification of the example in subsection Periodic Task and an ISR, therefore here only additional details are described.

The example aims to demonstrate the use of GoTo action to analyze conditional operators, and multiple deadlines in a subtransaction. It also shows missing deadlines.
Event 1 is processed by the chain of tasks Task A and Task C. Task A has a conditional operator (explained further), and computational time of each branch differs. There are two responses generated to event 1 - the first by Task A, and the second by Task C.

Task Task A has the following structure. The task checks the value of variable x, and executes different branches for positive value of x and for non-positive value. The numbers in comments are worst-case execution times of the corresponding sections:

```c
TASK(TaskA)
{    if(x>0) /*200 us*/
        { f1(); /*300 us*/
        }
    else
        { f2(); /*100 us*/
        }
    ChainTask(TaskC); /*500 us*/
}
```

Note that total execution time of Task A is 1000 us if value of variable x is positive, and 800 us otherwise.
Step 1. Timing requirements of applications events are presented on the picture:

Event1 arrives every 5 ms, and has two deadlines. The first is 1.9 ms, while the second is 5 ms (both times from the arrival of the event). Event2 arrives every 2 ms, and should be processed before deadline, that is 2 ms from the arrival of the event.

Step 2. Application has two transactions:
- **Counter1** that has period 1 ms
- **ISR1** that has minimal interarrival time 2 ms

Step 3. Application subtransactions:
- Transaction **Counter1** has single subtransaction **Alarm1** (full name **Counter1/Alarm1**)
- Transaction **ISR1** has single subtransaction **ISR1** (full name **ISR1/ISR1**).

Step 4. The execution graphs:
- subtransaction **Counter1/Alarm1**:
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subtransaction \texttt{ISR1/ISR1}: \texttt{ISR1} and \texttt{TaskB} (more precisely, two ISR sections of \texttt{ISR1}, identified as \texttt{IS\_1\_1} and \texttt{IS\_1\_2}, and single task section \texttt{TS\_B}). ISR section \texttt{IS\_1\_1} is an initial section of \texttt{ISR1}

**Step 5.** The following execution scenarios exists:

Execution scenario for subtransaction \texttt{Counter1/Alarm1}:
- \texttt{TS\_A\_1}, \texttt{TS\_A\_2}, \texttt{TS\_A}, \texttt{TS\_C} (positive value of $x$)
- \texttt{TS\_A\_1}, \texttt{TS\_A\_3}, \texttt{TS\_A}, \texttt{TS\_C} (non positive value of $x$)

Execution scenario for subtransaction \texttt{ISR1/ISR1}:
- \texttt{IS\_1\_1}, \texttt{IS\_1\_2}, \texttt{TS\_B}

**Step 6.** Tasks and ISR sections are as following:

- \texttt{TaskA/TS\_A\_1}, that ends with two \texttt{GoTo} actions. First \texttt{GoTo} jumps to \texttt{TS\_A\_2}. Second \texttt{GoTo} jumps to \texttt{TS\_A\_3}. The worst-case execution time of the section is 200 us
- \texttt{TaskA/TS\_A\_2}, that ends with \texttt{GoTo} actions to \texttt{TS\_A}. Worst-case execution time of the section is 300 us. This is the branch for positive value of $x$
- \texttt{TaskA/TS\_A\_3}, that ends with \texttt{GoTo} actions to \texttt{TS\_A}. Worst-case execution time of the section is 100 us. This is the branch for non-positive value of $x$
- \texttt{TaskA/TS\_A}, that ends with action \texttt{ChainTask}. Worst-case execution time of the section is 500 us
- \texttt{TaskC/TS\_C}, that ends with action \texttt{TerminateTask}. Worst-case execution time of the section is 1000 us
- \texttt{ISR1/IS\_1\_1}, that ends with action \texttt{ActivateTask}, and is followed by the section \texttt{IS\_1\_2}. Worst-case execution time of the section is 300 us
- \texttt{ISR1/IS\_1\_2}, that ends with action \texttt{LeaveISR}. Worst-case execution time of the section is 200 us
- \texttt{TaskB/TS\_B}, that ends with action \texttt{TerminateTask}. Worst-case execution time of the section is 500 us

**Step 7.** The checkpoints are as following:

- Checkpoint is at the end of \texttt{TaskA}, when the task generates the first response of the subtransaction, and chains to \texttt{TaskC}. Full name of the checkpoint is \texttt{Counter1/Alarm1/TaskA/TS\_A}. The deadline is 1.9 ms
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- Checkpoint is at the end of TaskC, when the task generates the second response of the subtransaction, and terminates. Full name of the checkpoint is Counter1/Alarm1/TaskA/TS_C. The deadline is 5 ms
- Checkpoint is at the end of TaskB, when the task generates response and terminates. Full name of checkpoint is ISR1/ISR1/TaskB/TS_B. The deadline is 2 ms

**Step 8.** OIL file for the example is presented below. The DS-Design Tool specific parameters are in **bold**.

```c
// PeriodicTaskISRAndGoto.oil

OIL_VERSION = "2.3";
#include "C:\metrowerks\osek\ostmpc\bin\ost22mpc.oil"

CPU cpu1
{
    APPMODE app1;
    OS os1
    {
        TargetMCU = MPC555;
        STATUS = STANDARD;
        ResourceScheduler = FALSE;
        STARTUPHOOK = FALSE; SHUTDOWNHOOK = FALSE;
        PRETASKHOOK = FALSE; POSTTASKHOOK = FALSE; ERRORHOOK = FALSE;
        USEGETSERVICEID = FALSE; USEPARAMETERACCESS = FALSE;
        saTimeUnit = us;
        saMeasureClockFrequency = 4000;
    }
}

TASK TaskA
{
    PRIORITY = 10;
    SCHEDULE = FULL;
    AUTOSTART = FALSE;
    ACTIVATION = 1;
    saInitial="TS_A_1";
    saTaskSection = SET { ID = "TS_A_1";
        WCET = 200;
        ACTION = GoTo { NEXT = "TS_A_2"; };
        ACTION = GoTo { NEXT = "TS_A_3"; };
    };
    saTaskSection = SET { ID = "TS_A_2";
        WCET = 300;
        ACTION = GoTo { NEXT = "TS_A"; };
    };
    saTaskSection = SET { ID = "TS_A_3";
        WCET = 100;
    }
```
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ACTION = GoTo { NEXT = "TS_A"; };

saTaskSection = SET { ID = "TS_A";
    WCET = 500;
    DEADLINE = SET { VALUE = 1900; };
    ACTION = ChainTask { TASK = TaskC; };
};

TASK TaskC
{
    PRIORITY = 9;
    SCHEDULE = FULL;
    AUTOSTART = FALSE;
    ACTIVATION = 1;

    saTaskSection = SET { ID = "TS_C";
        WCET = 1000;
        DEADLINE = SET { VALUE = 5000; };
        ACTION = TerminateTask;
    };
}

COUNTER Counter1
{
    MAXALLOWEDVALUE = 0xffffffff; MINCYCLE = 0; TICKSPERBASE = 1;
    saPeriod = 1000;
}

ALARM Alarm1
{
    COUNTER = Counter1;
    ACTION = ACTIVATE TASK { TASK = TaskA; };
    AUTOSTART = FALSE;
    saCyclic = TRUE { ALARMTIME = 0; CYCLETIME = 5; };
}

TASK TaskB
{
    PRIORITY = 20;
    SCHEDULE = FULL;
    AUTOSTART = FALSE;
    ACTIVATION = 1;

    saTaskSection = SET { ID = "TS_B";
        WCET = 500;
        DEADLINE = SET { VALUE = 2000; };
        ACTION = TerminateTask;
    };
}

ISR ISR1
{
    CATEGORY = 2;
}
Step 9. Run DS-Design Tool.

Step 10. The result of the analysis are explained below.

If there are several paths to the checkpoint DS-Design Tool considers them during analysis and displays the path with maximal response time.

The transactions and checkpoints of the application are as following:

DS-Design Tool has considered the following and created two checkpoints for subtransaction Counter1/Alarm1 (3 and 4 selected):

1. Counter1/Alarm1/TaskA/TS_A:
   Response time 1.8 ms, Deadline 1.9 ms, deadline met
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Computation time is 800 us \((TS_A+1+TS_A+3+TS_A)\)
Preemption time is 1 ms \((ISR1+TaskB)\)

2. \textit{Counter1/Alarm1/TaskC/TS_C}:
   - Response time 3.8 ms, Deadline 5 ms, deadline \textbf{met}
   - Computation time is 1.8 us \((TS_A+1+TS_A+3+TS_A+TS_C)\)
   - Preemption time is 2 ms (two times of \textit{ISR1+TaskB})

3. \textit{Counter1/Alarm1/TaskA/TS_A}:
   - Response time 2 ms, Deadline 1.9 ms, deadline \textbf{missed}
   - Computation time is 1000 us \((TS_A+1+TS_A+2+TS_A)\)
   - Preemption time is 1 ms \((ISR1+TaskB)\)

4. \textit{Counter1/Alarm1/TaskC/TS_C}:
   - Response time 4 ms, Deadline 5 ms, deadline \textbf{met}
   - Computation time is 2 us \((TS_A+1+TS_A+2+TS_A+TS_C)\)
   - Preemption time is 2 ms (two times of \textit{ISR1+TaskB})

The checkpoints show that when subtransaction is executed the scenario for positive value of \(x\), the first deadline is missed, because \textit{TaskA} takes 1000 us to compute response for positive value of \(x\). For non-positive value \textit{TaskA} takes only 800 us, and first deadline is met. All other deadlines are met regarding the execution scenario.

If the application utilization is higher than 100\%, then DS-Design Tool does not calculate response time for missed deadlines. In this case DS-Design Tool shows utilization diagram for these deadlines.

---

**Periodic Task, an ISR, and Paths**

The example is modification of the example in subsection \textit{Periodic Task, an ISR, and GoTo actions}, therefore here only additional details are described.

The example aims to demonstrate the use of \textit{PATH} parameter to analyze dependent conditional operators.

The scheme of an application is the same. The only difference is that \textit{TaskC} also uses conditional operator checking the same condition as \textit{TaskA} does.

Therefore, task \textit{TaskC} has the following structure. The task checks the value of variable \(x\), and executes different branches for positive value of \(x\).
and for non-positive value. The numbers in comments are worst-case execution times of the corresponding sections:

\[
\text{TASK(TaskC)} \\
\text{if}(x>0) /*200\;\text{us}*/ \\
\{ \\
\text{q1();} /*300\;\text{us}*/ \\
\} \\
\text{else} \\
\{ \\
\text{q2();} /*100\;\text{us}*/ \\
\} \\
\text{TerminateTask();} /*500\;\text{us}*/
\]

Note that total execution time of TaskC is 1000 us if the value of variable x is positive, and 800 us otherwise. The numbers are exactly the same as for TaskA - this is only to simplify explanation.

**Step 1, Step 2, and Step 3** are not changed.

**Step 4.** The execution graphs for subtransaction Counter1/Alarm1 becomes more complex:
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Note that execution graph potentially comprises four execution scenarios, if the conditions are not taken into consideration. Indeed, the following scenarios could exist:

1. $TS_A_1$, $TS_A_2$, $TS_A$, $TS_C_1$, $TS_C_2$, $TS_C$
2. $TS_A_1$, $TS_A_2$, $TS_A$, $TS_C_1$, $TS_C_3$, $TS_C$
3. $TS_A_1$, $TS_A_3$, $TS_A$, $TS_C_1$, $TS_C_2$, $TS_C$
4. $TS_A_1$, $TS_A_3$, $TS_A$, $TS_C_1$, $TS_C_3$, $TS_C$

Clearly, scenario 2 is wrong, because sections $TS_A_2$ and $TS_C_3$ are for vice versa condition. Also scenario 4 is wrong, as sections $TS_A_3$ and $TS_C_2$ contradict each other.

The picture below shows what would happen if wrong scenarios would not be removed:

DS-Design Tool counts all scenarios, and builds 4 checkpoints for second deadline, as it believes four routes go there (two forks).

Obviously, the wrong scenarios must be avoided. In this case the paths mechanism helps.

**Step 5.** Valid execution scenarios for subtransaction $Counter1/Alarm1$.

Valid scenario 1 is for positive value of $x$. Therefore, task sections of this scenario belong to the path that is named $Xgt0$ ($x$ great than zero). Valid scenario 3 is for non positive value of $x$. Therefore, task sections of this scenario belong to path that is named $Xle0$ ($x$ less or equal zero). These path names are used in action parameters of task sections to teach DS-Design Tool valid scenarios:
**Step 6.** Task sections of the subtransaction *Counter1/Alarm1* are as following:

- **TaskA/TS_A_1**, that ends with two *GoTo* actions. First *GoTo* jumps to *TS_A_2* for path *Xgt0*. Second *GoTo* jumps to *TS_A_3* for path *Xle0*. Worst-case execution time of the section is 200 us
- **TaskA/TS_A_2**, that ends with *GoTo* actions to *TS_A* for path *Xgt0*. Worst-case execution time of the section is 300 us. This is the branch for positive value of *x*
- **TaskA/TS_A_3**, that ends with *GoTo* actions to *TS_A* for path *Xle0*. Worst-case execution time of the section is 100 us. This is the branch for non-positive value of *x*
- **TaskA/TS_A**, that ends with an action *ChainTask*. Worst-case execution time of the section is 500 us
- **TaskC/TS_C_1**, that ends with two *GoTo* actions. First *GoTo* jumps to *TS_C_2* for path *Xgt0*. Second *GoTo* jumps to *TS_C_3* for path *Xle0*. Worst-case execution time of the section is 200 us
- **TaskC/TS_C_2**, that ends with *GoTo* actions to *TS_C* for path *Xgt0*. Worst-case execution time of the section is 300 us. This is the branch for positive value of *x*
- **TaskC/TS_C_3**, that ends with *GoTo* actions to *TS_C* for path *Xle0*. Worst-case execution time of the section is 100 us. This is the branch for non-positive value of *x*
- **TaskC/TS_C**, that ends with an action *TerminateTask*. The worst-case execution time of the section is 500 us

**Step 7.** The checkpoints are not changed.

**Step 8.** OIL file for the example is presented below. The DS-Design Tool specific parameters are in **bold**.

```oil
// PeriodicTaskISRAndPaths.oil

OIL_VERSION = "2.3";
#include "C:\metrowerks\osek\ostmpc\bin\ost22mpc.oil"

CPU cpu1
{
    APPMODE app1;
    OS os1
```
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TARGETMCU = MPC555;
STATUS = STANDARD;
ResourceScheduler = FALSE;
STARTUPHOOK = FALSE; SHUTDOWNHOOK = FALSE;
PRETASKHOOK = FALSE; POSTTASKHOOK = FALSE; ERRORHOOK = FALSE;
USEGETSERVICEID = FALSE; USEPARAMETERACCESS = FALSE;

saTimeUnit = us;
saMeasureClockFrequency = 4000;

TASK TaskA
{
  PRIORITY = 10;
  SCHEDULE = FULL;
  AUTOSTART = FALSE;
  ACTIVATION = 1;

  saInitial = "TS_A_1";
  saTaskSection = SET { ID = "TS_A_1";
    WCET = 200;
    ACTION = GoTo { NEXT = "TS_A_2"; PATH = "Xgt0"; }
    ACTION = GoTo { NEXT = "TS_A_3"; PATH = "Xle0"; }
  };

  saTaskSection = SET { ID = "TS_A_2";
    WCET = 300;
    ACTION = GoTo { NEXT = "TS_A"; PATH = "Xgt0"; }
  };

  saTaskSection = SET { ID = "TS_A_3";
    WCET = 100;
    ACTION = GoTo { NEXT = "TS_A"; PATH = "Xle0"; }
  };

  saTaskSection = SET { ID = "TS_A";
    WCET = 500;
    DEADLINE = SET { VALUE = 1900; }
    ACTION = ChainTask { TASK = TaskC; }
  };
}

TASK TaskC
{
  PRIORITY = 9;
  SCHEDULE = FULL;
  AUTOSTART = FALSE;
  ACTIVATION = 1;

  saInitial = "TS_C_1";
  saTaskSection = SET { ID = "TS_C_1";
    WCET = 200;
    ACTION = GoTo { NEXT = "TS_C_2"; PATH = "Xgt0"; }
    ACTION = GoTo { NEXT = "TS_C_3"; PATH = "Xle0"; }
  };

  saTaskSection = SET { ID = "TS_C_2";
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WCET = 300;
ACTION = GoTo { NEXT = "TS_C"; PATH = "Xgt0"; }
};

saTaskSection = SET { ID = "TS_C_3";
WCET = 100;
ACTION = GoTo { NEXT = "TS_C"; PATH = "Xle0"; }
};

saTaskSection = SET { ID = "TS_C";
WCET = 500;
DEADLINE = SET { VALUE = 5000; }
ACTION = TerminateTask;
};

COUNTER Counter1
{
MAXALLOWEDVALUE = 0xffffffff; MINCYCLE = 0; TICKSPERBASE = 1;
saPeriod = 1000;
};

ALARM Alarm1
{
COUNTER = Counter1;
ACTION = ACTIVATETASK { TASK = TaskA; }
AUTOSTART = FALSE;
saCyclic = TRUE { ALARMTIME = 0; CYCLETIME = 5; }
};

TASK TaskB
{
PRIORITY = 20;
SCHEDULE = FULL;
AUTOSTART = FALSE;
ACTIVATION = 1;

saTaskSection = SET { ID = "TS_B";
WCET = 500;
DEADLINE = SET { VALUE = 2000; }
ACTION = TerminateTask;
};

ISR ISR1
{
CATEGORY = 2;
PRIORITY = 10;

saInitial="IS_1_1";
saMinIATime = 2000;

saISRSection = SET { ID = "IS_1_1";
WCET = 300;
ACTION = ActivateTask { NEXT = "IS_1_2"; TASK = TaskB; }
};
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saISRSection = SET { ID = "IS_1_2";
    WCET = 200;
    ACTION = LeaveISR;
};

Step 9. Run DS-Design Tool.

Step 10. The result of the analysis are explained below.

The transactions and checkpoints of the application are as following:

Note that DS-Design Tool has considered two valid scenarios, and it is the paths mechanism that helped DS-Design Tool to get rid of wrong scenarios.

DS-Design Tool has created two checkpoints for subtransaction Counter1/Alarm1 (1 and 2 selected).

1. Counter1/Alarm1/TaskA/TS_A:
   - Response time 2 ms, Deadline 1.9 ms, deadline missed
   - Computation time is 1000 us (TS_A_1+TS_A_2+TS_A)
   - Preemption time is 1 ms (ISR1+TaskB)

2. Counter1/Alarm1/TaskC/TS_C:
   - Response time 4 ms, Deadline 5 ms, deadline met
   - Computation time is 2 us (TS_A_1+TS_A_2+TS_A+TS_C_1+TS_C_2+TS_C)
   - Preemption time is 2 ms (two times of ISR1+TaskB)
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Tuning an Application

DS-Design Tool is an analysis tool that helps to understand timing behavior of an application. In case the application behavior is not proper, the application designer needs to adjust the application in order to make it scheduled.

This subsection contains number of recommendations and examples that may be used as a guidelines. This subsection contains references to the examples in Applying Computational Model where appropriate.

**WARNING!** The DS-Design Tool is not a "silver bullet" meaning it can not solve all schedulability problems.

**General Recommendations**

DS-Design Tool results should be explored for missed deadlines first.

Deadline misses may be caused by the over-utilization of processor. Therefore, utilization chart should be explored to check if utilization is below 100%.

If utilization is below 100%, then response times of all missed deadlines should be explored.

---
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In general case, the response time chart includes computation time, preemption time, and blocking time.

The computation time is a sum of worst-case execution times of all tasks and ISRs that the execution scenario comprises. DS-Design Tool shows breakdown of the response time, and correctness of the scenario and numbers, which should be checked to be sure that the response is generated by proper scenario.

The preemption time shows the sum of execution times of all entities that could preempt the subtransaction from the processor, because these entities have higher priority. If preemption time causes the delay of the response, the entities that contribute into preemption should be explored. Some of the entities may have unreasonably high priorities, which may be decreased without making any harm to other checkpoints. To understand which entities may be decreased in the priority, the met deadlines could be explored. If the response time is well below deadline, then the priority of the entities that contribute into the response should be revised and possibly decreased.

The blocking time shows the contribution of the task or ISR section of the entity of the lower priority. The blocking is caused by temporary increase of priority due to access to the critical section (as OSEKturbo uses OSEK Priority Ceiling Protocol for resource management). Alternatively, the cause of blocking may be the non-preemptivness of the task. The subsections Restructuring Critical Sections and Changing Scheduling Policy below explain the possible methods of blocking decrease.

Specific Recommendations

Next subsections describe in details several methods that can be used to adjust timing behavior of the application and improve scheduling. Here is the list of these methods:

1. Rethinking Hardness of Deadlines
2. Increasing Speed of Processor
3. Using Timescale for Periodic Tasks
4. Making Periods Harmonic
5. Restructuring subtransactions
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6. Restructuring Critical Sections
7. Changing Scheduling Policy
8. Employing OSEKturbo Internal Resources
9. Using Paths

Rethinking Hardness of Deadlines

In the example Periodic Task, an ISR, and GoTo actions the first deadline of subtransaction Counter1/Alarm1, generated at the end of TaskA, is not met. Deadline is 1.9 ms, while response is 2 ms.

The first idea is to relax the deadline. Will application really fail, if the deadline is increased by 100 us? If not, it makes sense to do that, and get the application scheduled.

The second idea is to rethink hardness of the deadline. Does the application fail if deadline is not met? If not, the deadline may be considered as the soft deadline, and therefore application may miss it.

Increasing Speed of Processor

The processor speed basically impacts execution times of tasks, ISRs, and operating system services. Therefore, speed increase can make execution times shorter while deadlines and timers (counters) and alarms values remain unchanged.

DS-Design Tool uses parameter saClockFrequency to specify the current oscillator frequency. Therefore, increase of the value of saClockFrequency automatically decreases execution times such as WCETs and operating system overheads. In order to specify that measurement of those execution times was done using different clock frequency, the parameter saMeasureClockFrequency should be set.

However, certain processors (e.g. MGT5x00) are complicated enough, and increasing of oscillator frequency does not lead to proportional decreasing of execution times. Thus special invalidation flag salinvalidate controls timing adjustment. If this flag is set to TRUE DS-Design Tool will warn

\[^1\) The soft deadline should be inspected by the application developer.\]
user about potential invalid analysis results in case when current oscillator frequency differs from the one at which execution times were measured.

### Using Timescale for Periodic Tasks

The OSEKturbo timescale is an implementation of OSEK/VDX OS static alarms. Timescale allows to build the schedule of tasks execution, and it suits well the periodic events processing.

As tasks in timescale are executed with a user-defined offset, there is dependency between the releases of tasks. The dependencies decrease the pessimism of the analysis as the tasks are not released simultaneously.

Therefore, for periodic tasks timescale is often very good solution that improves scheduling dramatically.

For example, the following application with two periodic tasks is not scheduled if the deadline of each task is equal to the period:

The timing diagram shows how the tasks are executed on the processor (execution of the TaskB is not shown after the first miss of deadline):

DS-Design Tool considers releases of both tasks as independent events, and therefore assumes that may arrive simultaneously. As TaskA has
higher priority, it preempts the execution of TaskB, and therefore TaskB misses deadline. The small filled box in the bottom time line shows the 400 us execution time of TaskB, which is done behind the deadline.

Indeed, DS-Design Tool shows that response time at checkpoint Counter1/Alarm2/TaskB/TS_B is 5.4 ms, and the contribution of the preemption is 3 ms (3 filled boxes in the top time line on the picture above).

To employ timescale, the task schedule of the application should be built. For example, it may look like the following one:

```
// Timescale-Good.oil

OIL_VERSION = "2.3";
#include "ost22mpc.oil"

CPU cpu1
{
   APPMODE app1;
   OS os1
   {
      TargetMCU = MPC555
      {
         SysTimer = HW COUNTER
         {
            COUNTER = Counter1;
            ISR PRIORITY = 14;
```

Responses of TaskA are not shown as they obviously are generated at the end of each filled box.

Responses of TaskA are not shown as they obviously are generated at the end of each filled box.
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TimerHardware = TB0 { Prescaler = OS { Value = 0; }; }; }
);

STATUS = STANDARD;
ResourceScheduler = FALSE;
STARTUPHOOK = FALSE; SHUTDOWNHOOK = FALSE;
PRETASKHOOK = FALSE; POSTTASKHOOK = FALSE; ERRORHOOK = FALSE;
USEGETSERVICEID = FALSE; USEPARAMETERACCESS = FALSE;

saTimeUnit = us;
saMeasureClockFrequency = 4000;

TimeScale = TRUE
{
TimeUnit = us;
ScalePeriod = 10000;
Step = SET { StepNumber = 1; TASK = TaskA; StepTime = 1000; };
Step = SET { StepNumber = 2; TASK = TaskB; StepTime = 2000; };
Step = SET { StepNumber = 3; TASK = TaskA; StepTime = 1500; };
Step = SET { StepNumber = 4; TASK = TaskA; StepTime = 1000; };
Step = SET { StepNumber = 5; TASK = TaskB; StepTime = 1500; };
Step = SET { StepNumber = 6; TASK = TaskA; StepTime = 2000; };
Step = SET { StepNumber = 7; TASK = TaskA; StepTime = 1000; };
};
);

TASK TaskA
{
 PRIORITY = 10;
 SCHEDULE = FULL;
 AUTOSTART = FALSE;
 ACTIVATION = 1;

 saTaskSection = SET { ID = "TS_A";
 WCET = 1000;
 DEADLINE = SET { VALUE = 2000; }
 ACTION = TerminateTask;

 };}
);

TASK TaskB
{
 PRIORITY = 9;
 SCHEDULE = FULL;
 AUTOSTART = FALSE;
 ACTIVATION = 1;

 saTaskSection = SET { ID = "TS_B";
 WCET = 2400;
 DEADLINE = SET { VALUE = 5000; }
 ACTION = TerminateTask;

 };}
);
DS-Design Tool reflects the timescale steps as subtransactions:

Then, DS-Design Tool shows that all deadlines are met:
Note that DS-Design Tool considers the deadlines and response times starting the release time of subtransaction, so DS-Design Tool virtually uses timing diagram that looks like the following one:

\[ \text{Diagram} \]

Making Periods Harmonic

It is theoretically proved that harmonic periods of events improve the scheduling of an application (see [7] in section “References.”)

Restructuring subtransactions

Often the priorities of tasks and especially ISRs can not be easy changed though they not necessarily need high-priority for execution of the whole entity. If a high-priority task or an ISR delays the execution of tasks of lower priorities, then it makes sense to consider the splitting of the high-priority task and the ISR into two entities. The first one should be run at high priority, while the second may do the rest of work at lower priority level.

In the application two alarms are attached to one counter Counter1 that ticks every 1 ms. Alarm1 has cycle value 5 ms, and it activates high-

---

1. Responses of TaskA are not shown as they obviously are generated at the end of each filled box.
priority task TaskA. Alarm2 has cycle value 2 ms, and it activates low-priority task TaskB:

TaskA generates two responses - first response requires 1 ms of computations and has deadline 1 ms, second response requires additional 1 ms of computations, and has deadline 4 ms. TaskB generate one response that requires 1 ms of computations and has deadline 2 ms.

The priority allocation is explained by the application deadlines:

As TaskA has critical first deadline, the task has high priority.

OIL file for the example is presented below. DS-Design Tool specific parameters are in bold. The objects and parameters that will be adjusted are in italic.

```
// TwoPeriodicTasks-Bad.oil

OIL_VERSION = "2.3";
#include "ost22mpc.oil"

CPU cpu1 {
   APPMODE app1;
   OS os1
```
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{  
TargetMCU = MPC555;
STATUS = STANDARD;
ResourceScheduler = FALSE;
STARTUPHOOK = FALSE; SHUTDOWNHOOK = FALSE;
PRETASKHOOK = FALSE; POSTTASKHOOK = FALSE; ERRORHOOK = FALSE;
USEGETSERVICEID = FALSE; USEPARAMETERACCESS = FALSE;

saTimeUnit = us;
saMeasureClockFrequency = 4000;
}

TASK TaskA
{
PRIORITY = 20;
SCHEDULE = FULL;
AUTOSTART = FALSE;
ACTIVATION = 1;

saInitial = "TS_A_1";
saTaskSection = SET { ID = "TS_A_1";
    WCET = 1000;
    DEADLINE = SET { VALUE = 1000; };
    ACTION = GoTo { NEXT = "TS_A"; };
};
saTaskSection = SET { ID = "TS_A";
    WCET = 1000;
    DEADLINE = SET { VALUE = 4000; };
    ACTION = TerminateTask;
};

TASK TaskB
{
PRIORITY = 10;
SCHEDULE = FULL;
AUTOSTART = FALSE;
ACTIVATION = 1;

saTaskSection = SET { ID = "TS_B";
    WCET = 1000;
    DEADLINE = SET { VALUE = 2000; };
    ACTION = TerminateTask;
};

COUNTER Counter1
{
    MAXALLOWEDVALUE = 0xffffffff; MINCYCLE = 0; TICKSPERBASE = 1;
    saPeriod = 1000;
}

ALARM Alarm1
{
}

Freescale Semiconductor, Inc.

For More Information: www.freescale.com
DS-Design Tool shows that the application is clearly unscheduled, as the response generated by TaskB misses deadline:

The details of response times at Counter1/Alarm2/TaskB/TS_B show the response time 3 ms, because the preemption time is 2 ms. Further details on preemption show that it is caused by 2 ms execution time of TaskA.

The details of response time at Counter1/Alarm1/TaskA/TS_A show “opposite” result. Response time is 2 ms - well below deadline, that is 4 ms. This response time is actually execution time of task sections TS_I_1 and TS_A - each takes 1 ms. It is clear, that execution of task section TS_I_1 can not be delayed, because in this case first deadline of TaskA will be missed.

However, execution of the task section TS_A is not so critical - the gap between the response time and deadline is 2 ms - quite enough time to execute TaskB and meet deadline at Counter1/Alarm2/TaskB/TS_B.
Clearly, *TaskA* uses processor time improperly for the second response, and it could decrease the priority for the computing the second response thus allowing *TaskB* to generate its response in time.

As there is no way to dynamic change of the task priority, this should be done statically. *TaskA* is split into two tasks: *TaskA*, that generates the first response, and *TaskC*, that generates the second response:

The key point here is the priority of *TaskC* - it is **lower** than the priority of *TaskB*.

The OIL file for the changed application is presented below. The DS-Design Tool specific parameters are in **bold**. The listing contains only lines that replace the lines in *italic* in previous listing:

```plaintext
TASK TaskA
{
  PRIORITY = 20;
  SCHEDULE = FULL;
  AUTOSTART = FALSE;
  ACTIVATION = 1;

  saTaskSection = SET { ID = "TS_A";
    WCET = 1000;
    DEADLINE = SET { VALUE = 1000; }; 
    ACTION = ChainTask { TASK = TaskC; }; 
  };

  TASK TaskC
{
```
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Priorities, Schedules, and Activations

For the changed application the DS-Design Tool shows that all deadlines are met:

```
PRIORITY = 5;
SCHEDULE = FULL;
AUTOSTART = FALSE;
ACTIVATION = 1;

saTaskSection = SET { ID = "TS_C";
    WCET = 1000;
    DEADLINE = SET { VALUE = 4000; }
    ACTION = TerminateTask;
};
```

Indeed, the details of response times are as following:

- **Counter1/Alarm2/TaskB/TS_B**: response 2 ms, deadline 2 ms, deadline met
- **Counter1/Alarm1/TaskC/TS_C**: response 4 ms, deadline 4 ms, deadline met

The split of high-priority entity into chain of high-priority and low-priority entities is especially useful for Interrupt Service Routines. Priority of ISR in many cases can not be decreased, so the only option is to left to ISR only minimum execution, and move rest of it into the task, that is activated from ISR.
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Restructuring Critical Sections

If deadline is missed because of blocking, it makes sense to explore where the blocking comes from. If it is due to critical section, the critical section may be made shorter, or may be split into two sections.

For example, in the application described in subsection Periodic Task, an ISR and the Resource, the high-priority task experiences the delay of execution due to the blocking caused by long critical section of low-priority task. If the blocking is too long, than the critical section of low-priority task could be redesigned or split.

Changing Scheduling Policy

Legacy real-time applications often employ non-preemptive scheduling as the implementation of non-preemptive scheduler usually takes less microprocessor resources. The drawback of the non-preemptive scheduler is the scheduling problems - i.e. the application misses deadlines.

In same cases the problem may be solved by means of using OSEKturbo mixed-preemptive scheduling.

For example, the application consisting of three periodic tasks is not scheduled when all tasks are non-preemptive (time units are milliseconds):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Comp.Time</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Deadline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TaskA</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TaskB</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TaskC</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The OIL file for the application is presented below. The DS-Design Tool specific parameters are in **bold**. The objects and parameters that will be adjusted are in *italic*:

```bash
// Policy-Bad.oil

OIL_VERSION = "2.3";
#include "ost22mpc.oil"

CPU cpu1
{
```
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APPMODE appl;
OS os1
{
    TargetMCU = MPC555;
    STATUS = STANDARD;
    ResourceScheduler = FALSE;
    STARTUPHOOK = FALSE; SHUTDOWHOOK = FALSE;
    PRETASKHOOK = FALSE; POSTTASKHOOK = FALSE; ERRORHOOK = FALSE;
    USEGETSERVICEID = FALSE; USEPARAMETERACCESS = FALSE;

    saTimeUnit = ms;
    saMeasureClockFrequency = 4000;
};

TASK TaskA
{
    PRIORITY = 10;
    SCHEDULE = NON;
    AUTOSTART = FALSE;
    ACTIVATION = 1;

    saTaskSection = SET { ID = "TS_A";
        WCET = 1;
        DEADLINE = SET { VALUE = 3; };
        ACTION = TerminateTask;
    };
};

TASK TaskB
{
    PRIORITY = 9;
    SCHEDULE = NON;
    AUTOSTART = FALSE;
    ACTIVATION = 1;

    saTaskSection = SET { ID = "TS_B";
        WCET = 1;
        DEADLINE = SET { VALUE = 4; };
        ACTION = TerminateTask;
    };
};

TASK TaskC
{
    PRIORITY = 8;
    SCHEDULE = NON;
    AUTOSTART = FALSE;
    ACTIVATION = 1;

    saTaskSection = SET { ID = "TS_C";
        WCET = 2;
        DEADLINE = SET { VALUE = 7; };
        ACTION = TerminateTask;
    };
}
Analysis of BCC Applications
Tuning an Application

COUNTER Counter1
{
  MAXALLOWEDVALUE = 0xffffffff; MINCYCLE = 0; TICKSPERBASE = 1;
  saPeriod = 1;
};

ALARM Alarm1
{
  COUNTER = Counter1;
  ACTION = ACTIVATETASK { TASK = TaskA; };
  AUTOSTART = FALSE;
  saCyclic = TRUE { ALARMTIME = 0; CYCLETIME = 3; };
};

ALARM Alarm2
{
  COUNTER = Counter1;
  ACTION = ACTIVATETASK { TASK = TaskB; };
  AUTOSTART = FALSE;
  saCyclic = TRUE { ALARMTIME = 0; CYCLETIME = 4; };
};

ALARM Alarm3
{
  COUNTER = Counter1;
  ACTION = ACTIVATETASK { TASK = TaskC; };
  AUTOSTART = FALSE;
  saCyclic = TRUE { ALARMTIME = 0; CYCLETIME = 7; };
};
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DS-Design Tool shows that application is unscheduled:

The reason is that the checkpoint Counter1/Alarm2/TaskB/TS_B misses deadline. DS-Design Tool shows the breakdown of the response time:

**Response time at checkpoint**

Counter1/Alarm2/TaskB/TS_B

response 5 ms, deadline 4 ms

![Graph showing response time breakdown](image)
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The preemption comes from TaskA. The blocking comes from TaskC:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Prio...</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Threshold</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Counter1/Alarm3/TaskC/TS_C</td>
<td>2 ms</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>activate</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counter1/Alarm3/OS/SA_TERMINATE_TASK_DIS...</td>
<td>0 ms</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total blocking</td>
<td>2 ms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The blocking is completely gone, and application gets scheduled.

**Employing OSEKturbo Internal Resources**

OSEKturbo internal resources allow to improve scheduling of an application, and to get it scheduled if it is not. The explanation of this approach is beyond the scope of this manual. The readers might find interesting to refer to article “Scheduling Fixed-Priority Tasks with Preemption Threshold”, by Yun Wang, Manas Saksena (see [10] in section

---

1. To put it very simply, the intentional boost of task priorities allows to prevent preemption that helps when the low-priority task is close to the generation response and the preempting high-priority task would delay the response of low-priority task while deadline of high-priority task response is reasonably far.
“References.”). The example in this subsection was taken from the article, and only necessary changes were made.

In the example three periodic tasks have the following timing attributes (all times in milliseconds):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Comp.Time</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Deadline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TaskA</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TaskB</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TaskC</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Using Deadline Monotonic Algorithm, the task priorities are assigned as following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Priority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TaskA</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TaskB</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TaskC</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OIL file for the example is presented below. The DS-Design Tool specific parameters are in **bold**.

```oil
// InternalResources-Bad.oil

OIL_VERSION = "2.3";
#include "ost22mpc.oil"

CPU cpu1
{
    APPMODE app1;
    OS os1
    {
        TargetMCU = MPC555;
        STATUS = STANDARD;
        ResourceScheduler = FALSE;
        STARTUPHOOK = FALSE; SHUTDOWNHOOK = FALSE;
        PRETASKHOOK = FALSE; POSTTASKHOOK = FALSE; ERRORHOOK = FALSE;
        USEGETSERVICEID = FALSE; USEPARAMETERACCESS = FALSE;

        saTimeUnit = ms;
        saMeasureClockFrequency = 4000;
    }
};```
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TASK TaskA
{
    PRIORITY = 4;
    SCHEDULE = FULL;
    AUTOSTART = FALSE;
    ACTIVATION = 1;

    saTaskSection = SET { ID = "TS_A";
        WCET = 20;
        DEADLINE = SET { VALUE = 50; };
        ACTION = TerminateTask;
    };
}

TASK TaskB
{
    PRIORITY = 2;
    SCHEDULE = FULL;
    AUTOSTART = FALSE;
    ACTIVATION = 1;

    saTaskSection = SET { ID = "TS_B";
        WCET = 20;
        DEADLINE = SET { VALUE = 80; };
        ACTION = TerminateTask;
    };
}

TASK TaskC
{
    PRIORITY = 1;
    SCHEDULE = FULL;
    AUTOSTART = FALSE;
    ACTIVATION = 1;

    saTaskSection = SET { ID = "TS_C";
        WCET = 35;
        DEADLINE = SET { VALUE = 100; };
        ACTION = TerminateTask;
    };
}

COUNTER Counter1
{
    MAXALLOWEDVALUE = 0xffffffff; MINCYCLE = 0; TICKSPERBASE = 1;
    saPeriod = 1;
}

ALARM Alarm1
{
    COUNTER = Counter1;
    ACTION = ACTIVATE TASK { TASK = TaskA; };
    AUTOSTART = FALSE;
    saCyclic = TRUE { ALARMTIME = 0; CYCLETIME = 70; };
}
DS-Design Tool shows that application is unscheduled:

That proves the example description in the above mentioned article, that claims the application can not be scheduled for either preemptive or non-preemptive scheduling policy\(^1\) (missed deadlines are in **bold**):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Response for preemptive scheduling</th>
<th>Response for non-preemptive scheduling</th>
<th>Deadline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TaskA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TaskB</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TaskC</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The authors propose to boost run-time priorities for all tasks as following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Static Priority</th>
<th>Run-time Priority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TaskA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TaskB</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TaskC</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This may be achieved by means of using OSEKturbo internal resources. TaskA and TaskB share common internal resource Res1. To boost run-time priority of TaskC, the dummy task TaskD is introduced, that share common internal resource with TaskC. TaskD does nothing, and never gets scheduled.

OIL file for the modified example is presented below. The DS-Design Tool specific parameters are in **bold**. The added lines are in *italic*.

```markdown
// InternalResources-Good.oil

OIL_VERSION = "2.3";
#include "ost22mpc.oil"

CPU cpu1
{
  APPMODE app1;
  OS os1
  {
    TargetMCU = MPC555;
    STATUS = STANDARD;
    ResourceScheduler = FALSE;
    STARTUPHOOK = FALSE; SHUTDOWNHOOK = FALSE;
    PRETASKHOOK = FALSE; POSTTASKHOOK = FALSE; ERRORHOOK = FALSE;
    USEGETSERVICEID = FALSE; USEPARAMETERACCESS = FALSE;

    saTimeUnit = ms;
    saMeasureClockFrequency = 4000;
  }

  RESOURCE Res1
  {
    RESOURCEPROPERTY = INTERNAL;
  }
  
  1. For non-preemptive scheduling policy, the parameter SCHEDULE in all tasks should be set to NON.
```
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};

TASK TaskA
{
  PRIORITY = 4;
  SCHEDULE = FULL;
  AUTOSTART = FALSE;
  ACTIVATION = 1;
  RESOURCE = Res1;

  saTaskSection = SET { ID = "TS_A";
    WCET = 20;
    DEADLINE = SET { VALUE = 50; };
    ACTION = TerminateTask;
  };
};

TASK TaskB
{
  PRIORITY = 2;
  SCHEDULE = FULL;
  AUTOSTART = FALSE;
  ACTIVATION = 1;
  RESOURCE = Res1;

  saTaskSection = SET { ID = "TS_B";
    WCET = 20;
    DEADLINE = SET { VALUE = 80; };
    ACTION = TerminateTask;
  };
};

RESOURCE Res2
{
  RESOURCEPROPERTY = INTERNAL;
};

TASK TaskC
{
  PRIORITY = 1;
  SCHEDULE = FULL;
  AUTOSTART = FALSE;
  ACTIVATION = 1;
  RESOURCE = Res2;

  saTaskSection = SET { ID = "TS_C";
    WCET = 35;
    DEADLINE = SET { VALUE = 100; };
    ACTION = TerminateTask;
  };
};

TASK TaskD
{

};
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```
PRIORITY = 3;
SCHEDULE = FULL;
AUTOSTART = FALSE;
ACTIVATION = 1;
RESOURCE = Res2;

saTaskSection = SET { ID = "TS_D";
    WCET = 0;
    ACTION = TerminateTask;
};

COUNTER Counter1
{
    MAXALLOWEDVALUE = 0xffffffff; MINCYCLE = 0; TICKSPERBASE = 1;
    saPeriod = 1;
};

ALARM Alarm1
{
    COUNTER = Counter1;
    ACTION = ACTIVATETASK { TASK = TaskA; }
    AUTOSTART = FALSE;
    saCyclic = TRUE { ALARMTIME = 0; CYCLETIME = 70; }
};

ALARM Alarm2
{
    COUNTER = Counter1;
    ACTION = ACTIVATETASK { TASK = TaskB; }
    AUTOSTART = FALSE;
    saCyclic = TRUE { ALARMTIME = 0; CYCLETIME = 80; }
};

ALARM Alarm3
{
    COUNTER = Counter1;
    ACTION = ACTIVATETASK { TASK = TaskC; }
    AUTOSTART = FALSE;
    saCyclic = TRUE { ALARMTIME = 0; CYCLETIME = 200; }
};
```
Now the application is scheduled:

The response times are same as the article claims:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Response for internal resources</th>
<th>Deadline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TaskA</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TaskB</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TaskC</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Using Paths

The branches in tasks and ISRs code increase the number of the execution scenarios. Some of these scenarios are wrong. However, DS-Design Tool explores all scenarios, and therefore produces response time for wrong scenarios. Therefore, DS-Design Tool can tell that the deadline is missed while no real scenario leads to the checkpoint.

To exclude wrong scenarios, the paths mechanism of the DS-Design Tool should be used as it is described in the example in subsection Periodic Task, an ISR, and Paths.

The other advantage of paths mechanism is the possibility to set deadline for each path separately. In this case the $PATH$ parameter should specify the path name in $DEADLINE$ parameter.
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Limitations for the Application Structure

The DS-Design Tool has the following particularities that imply the limitations for the applications:

- dynamic cyclic alarms (i.e. alarms that are set up in user's application) are considered as alarms statically started at the system startup;
- timing behavior of autostart of tasks is ignored;
- callback functions are not considered as standalone timing entity. Therefore, the timing behavior of the callback function should be described in a timing context of the task or and IST where the callback function is called;
- OSEKturbo system service ActivateTask can not be used in the critical sections framed by system services GetResource and ReleaseResource.

Dictionary of DS-Design Tool

For convenience of reading this subsection contains dictionary of the terms used within DS-Design Tool. Most of these terms are described in the subsections where details of the schedulability analysis of the applications are described.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Symbolic name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transaction</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>Set of application program entities, which are executed to respond to a specific kind of external events such as an interrupt request or counter advance independently from other transactions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtransaction</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>Part of transaction that combines a subset of tasks, which may be connected by precedence relations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>Implementation of sequential algorithm managed by operating system as a separate execution entity during scheduling process. It is OSEKturbo task.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic task</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Task that can never go into waiting state</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extended task</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>Task that may have waiting state</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task section</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>Part of task delimited by operators affecting on scheduling process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISR</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Interrupt service routine. It is OSEKturbo ISR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Symbol</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISR section</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>Part of interrupt service routine delimited by operators affecting on scheduling process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtask</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>Part of extended task delimited by wait event operators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timepoint</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>End of task section or ISR section (where task or ISR generate response)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Checkpoint</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>Timepoint that has deadline set</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Execution graph</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Directed graph of the tasks sections and the ISR sections in a subtransaction. Execution graph represents all routes in the subtransaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Execution scenario</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Route, or path on the execution graph, that the subtransaction could execute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worst-case execution time</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Worst-case execution time of an entity, needed to complete computation assuming that processor is fully allocated to this entity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deadline</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Deadline for the task section or ISR section relative to the start of subtransaction that comprises this task section or ISR section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Execution path</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Path of execution of task sections and ISR sections that form an execution scenario</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimal interarrival time</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Minimal time of arrival (release) of an entity (usually it is time between consequential occurrences of an interrupt service routine)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jitter</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>The time variation of the release of an entity (usually it is time variation of the ISR release)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Period of releases of periodic entities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>Priority of the task or the ISR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Analysis of ECC Applications

This chapter consists of the following sections:

- General
- Particularities of Waiting State
- Applying Computational Model
- Tuning an Application
- Limitations for Application Structure

General

The applications of Extended Conformance Classes usually employ OSEKturbo extended tasks. Extended tasks may have waiting state, which in most OSEKturbo applications is used to wait for several application OSEKturbo events.

Particularities of Waiting State

Call of OSEKturbo operating system WaitEvent could imply waiting state, and is a rescheduling point therefore. Hence, the task code that ends with a call to WaitEvent system service needs to be a task section. In a similar way the task or the ISR code that ends with a call to SetEvent system service, should be a section as well.

DS-Design Tool checks that task that could wait for OSEKturbo events is activated in the application - otherwise DS-Design Tool believes that the task is in suspended state, and can not wait for events.

Quite often the extended task waits for the several OSEKturbo events that are set in different tasks and interrupt service routines. Therefore, the extended task becomes the member of several subtransactions.
Meeting deadlines in applications of Extended Conformance class is more difficult as one task could serve events that have different deadlines. Therefore, in many cases the task delays the response to the event with a short deadline because it computes response to the event that has longer deadline. From scheduling analysis point of view, the task preempts itself when it is executed as part of different subtransactions.

The other scheduling problem of extended tasks is *multi-rate* priority assignment. Priority allocation algorithms such as RMA and DMA assign task priority depending on deadline that the task has. As the extended task may generate response to several event that have various deadlines, the priority allocation becomes non-trivial.

In many cases the extended task builds an execution loop around *WaitEvent* operating system call. The loop starts with the wait on events, checks the events state, and handles each event. Then the task repeats the loop. DS-Design Tool employs specific action of the task section that is called *CheckEvent* to build the conditional handling of events. Next sections demonstrate the use of this action. *CheckEvent* action is similar to *GoTo* action described in *Analysis of BCC Applications*, because the action does not relate to the operating system call, but is aimed to provide DS-Design Tool with the information about execution scenarios. *CheckEvent* action is kind of conditional goto operator. The action points to two task sections - first section is executed when the checked event is set, and the second section is executed when the checked event is cleared.

### Applying Computational Model

In general, the steps described in *Applying Computational Model* for BCC applications should be applied to the applications with the extended tasks. This is demonstrated on the examples below.

**Extended Task with Single Event and an ISR**

The application consists of one extended task that waits on single OSEKturbo event. The event is set in the interrupt service routine.

For More Information: www.freescale.com
The scheme of the application is as following:

Task TaskA is activated during system startup. The task goes into endless loop waiting on an event EVENT1. When EVENT1 is set, the TaskA computes the response within 1 ms. The deadline for the response is 2 ms. The EVENT1 is set by an interrupt service routine ISR1 that has minimal interarrival time 2 ms. Worst-case execution time of the ISR1 is 500 us.

The scheme of task code is as following:

Step 1. Timing requirements of applications events are presented on the picture:

Event arrives every 2 ms, and has deadlines 2 ms.

Step 2. Application has one transaction:
- ISR1 that has minimal interarrival time 2 ms

Step 3. Application subtransactions:
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• Transaction ISR1 has single subtransaction ISR1 (full name ISR1/ISR1)

Step 4. The execution graphs:
• subtransaction ISR1/ISR1:

```
IS_1_1
   ↓
IS_1_2
   ↓
WaitEvent
   ↓
HandleEVENT1
```

The subtransaction consists of the ISR1 and the TaskA (more precisely, two ISR sections of ISR1, identified as IS_1_1 and IS_1_2, and single task section HandleEVENT1 of TaskA). ISR section IS_1_1 is an initial section of ISR1. Note that task section WaitEvent is not counted in the subtransaction, because it is considered as executed beyond response generation.

If deadline is set for the section WaitEvent, then the end of sections will be considered as a checkpoint, and the section worst-case execution time will be counted in the response time.

Step 5. The following execution scenarios exists:
Execution scenario for subtransaction ISR1/ISR1:
• IS_1_1, IS_1_2, HandleEVENT1

Step 6. Tasks and ISR sections are as following:
• ISR1/IS_1_1, that ends with an action SetEvent of event EVENT1 for task TaskA, and is followed by the section IS_1_2. Worst-case execution time of the section is 300 us
• ISR1/IS_1_2, that ends with an action LeaveISR. Worst-case execution time of the section is 200 us
• TaskA/WaitEvent, that ends with two WaitEvent action. Worst-case execution time of the section is considered as zero
• TaskA/HandleEVENT1, that ends with GoTo action to WaitEvent section. Worst-case execution time of the section is 1000 us

Step 7. The checkpoints are as following:
• Checkpoint is at the end of HandleEVENT1 action of TaskA, when the task generates first response of the subtransaction. The deadline is 2 ms

Step 8.OIL file for the example is presented below. The DS-Design Tool specific parameters are in bold.

```c
// ExtendedTaskAndISR.oil

OIL_VERSION = "2.3";
#include "ost22mpc.oil"

CPU cpu1
{
    APPMODE app1;
    OS os1
    {
        TargetMCU = MPC555;
        STATUS = STANDARD;
        ResourceScheduler = FALSE;
        STARTUPHOOK = FALSE;SHUTDOWNHOOK = FALSE;
        PRETASKHOOK = FALSE;POSTTASKHOOK = FALSE;ERRORHOOK = FALSE;
        USEGETSERVICEID = FALSE; USEPARAMETERACCESS = FALSE;
        IsrStackSize = 0x200;

        saTimeUnit = us;
        saMeasureClockFrequency = 4000;
    }

    EVENT EVENT1 { MASK = 1; }

    TASK TaskA
    {
        PRIORITY = 10;
        SCHEDULE = FULL;
        AUTOSTART = TRUE;ACTIVATION = 1;
        STACKSIZE = 0x100;
        EVENT = EVENT1;

        saInitial = "WaitEvent";
        saTaskSection = SET { ID = "WaitEvent";
            WCET = 0;
            ACTION = WaitEvent { EVENT = EVENT1;
                NEXT = "HandleEVENT1"; }
        };

        saTaskSection = SET { ID = "HandleEVENT1";
            WCET = 1000;
        }
    }
```
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Step 9. Run DS-Design Tool.

Step 10. The result of the analysis are explained below.

The transactions and checkpoints of the application are as following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CURRENT JOB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA_ENTER_ISR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>OS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISR1.ISR_1_1</td>
<td>300 µs</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>activate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA_ISR_SET_EVENT</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>OS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISR1.ISR_1_2</td>
<td>200 µs</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>return</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA_LEAVE_ISR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>OS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TaskA/HandleEVENT</td>
<td>1 ms</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>activate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total computation</td>
<td>1.5 ms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Application meets deadline as response time is 1.5 ms while deadline is 2 ms.
Extended Task with Two Events

The application consists of one extended task that waits for two OSEKturbo events. One event is set in the interrupt service routine, another is a periodic event set by an alarm.

The scheme of the application is as following:

![Diagram of Extended Task with Two Events]

Task TaskA is activated during system startup. The task goes into endless loop waiting on the events EVENT1 and EVENT2.

When EVENT1 is set, the TaskA computes the response within 500 us. The deadline for the response is 2 ms. The EVENT1 is set by an interrupt service routine ISR1 that has minimal interarrival time 2 ms. Worst-case execution time of the ISR1 is 500 us.

When EVENT2 is set, the TaskA computes the response within 2000 us. The deadline for the response is 5 ms. EVENT2 is set by alarm ALARM1 that has minimal interarrival time 5 ms.

**NOTE**

The scheme and timing requirements of the application are similar to ones presented in example **Periodic Task and an ISR**. However, as will be shown, when one extended task serves two events, application is unscheduled.
The scheme of task code is as following:

```c
TASK(TaskA)
{
    EventMaskType evt;
    while(1)
    {
        WaitEvent(EVENT1|EVENT2);
        GetEvent(&ev);
        if(ev & EVENT1)  // 500 us */
        {
            ClearEvent(EVENT1);
            /* compute */
            Response1();
            continue;
        }
        if(ev & EVENT2)  // 2 ms */
        {
            ClearEvent(EVENT2);
            /* compute */
            Response2();
            continue;
        }
    }  // end-while */
}
```

**Step 1.** Timing requirements of applications events are presented on the picture:

`event1` arrives every 2 ms, and has deadlines 2 ms.

`event2` arrives every 5 ms, and has deadlines 5 ms.

**Step 2.** Application has two transactions:
- *ISR1* that has minimal interarrival time 2 ms
- *Counter1* that has period 1 ms
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Step 3. Application subtransactions:

- Transaction **ISR1** has single subtransaction **ISR1** (full name **ISR1/ISR1**)
- Transaction **Counter1** has single subtransaction **Alarm1** (full name **Counter1/Alarm1**)

Step 4. The execution graphs:

- subtransaction **ISR1/ISR1**:

  The subtransaction consists of **ISR1** and **TaskA** (more precisely, two ISR sections of ISR1, identified as **IS_1_1** and **IS_1_2**, and two task sections of **TaskA**, identified as **CheckEVENT1** and **HandleEVENT1**). ISR section **IS_1_1** is an initial section of **ISR1**.

- subtransaction **Counter1/Alarm1**:

  The subtransaction consists of **TaskA** (more precisely, three task sections of **TaskA**, identified as **CheckEVENT1**, **CheckEVENT2**, and **HandleEVENT2**).
Note that task section \textit{WaitEvent} is not counted in the subtransactions, because it is considered as executed beyond the response generation.

The task \textit{TaskA} belongs to two subtransactions of two different transactions. Moreover, the task section \textit{CheckEvent1} also belongs to two subtransactions of two different transactions.

\textbf{Step 5.} The following execution scenarios exists:

Execution scenario for subtransaction \textit{ISR1/ISR1}:
\begin{itemize}
  \item \textit{IS\_1\_1}, \textit{IS\_1\_2}, \textit{CheckEVENT1}, \textit{HandleEVENT1}
\end{itemize}

Execution scenario for subtransaction \textit{Counter1/Alarm1}:
\begin{itemize}
  \item \textit{CheckEVENT1}, \textit{CheckEVENT2}, \textit{HandleEVENT1}
\end{itemize}

\textbf{Step 6.} Tasks and ISR sections are as following:

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textit{ISR1/IS\_1\_1}, that ends with action \textit{SetEvent} of event \textit{EVENT1} for task \textit{TaskA}, and is followed by section \textit{IS\_1\_2}. The worst-case execution time of the section is 300 us
  \item \textit{ISR1/IS\_1\_2}, that ends with action \textit{LeaveISR}. The worst-case execution time of the section is 200 us
  \item \textit{TaskA/WaitEvent}, that ends with two \textit{WaitEvent} action. The worst-case execution time of the section is considered as zero
  \item \textit{TaskA/CheckEVENT1}, that ends with \textit{CheckEvent} action to jump to \textit{HandleEVENT1} section if event \textit{EVENT1} is set. If this event is cleared, then the action jumps to \textit{CheckEVENT2} section. The worst-case execution time of the section is considered as zero
  \item \textit{TaskA/HandleEVENT1}, that ends with \textit{GoTo} action to \textit{WaitEvent} section. Worst-case execution time of the section is 500 us
  \item \textit{TaskA/CheckEVENT2}, that ends with \textit{CheckEvent} action to jump to \textit{HandleEVENT2} section if event \textit{EVENT2} is set. If this event is cleared, then the action jumps to \textit{WaitEvent} section. The worst-case execution time of the section is considered as zero
  \item \textit{TaskA/HandleEVENT2}, that ends with \textit{GoTo} action to \textit{WaitEvent} section. The worst-case execution time of the section is 2 ms
\end{itemize}

\textbf{Step 7.} The checkpoints are as following:

\begin{itemize}
  \item Checkpoint is at the end of \textit{HandleEVENT1} action of \textit{TaskA}, when the task generates first response of subtransaction \textit{ISR1/ISR1}. The deadline is 2 ms
\end{itemize}
Checkpoin is at the end of HandleEVENT2 action of TaskA, when the task generates first response of the subtransaction Counter1/Alarm1. The deadline is 5 ms.

**Step 8.** OIL file for the example is presented below. The DS-Design Tool specific parameters are in **bold**.

```oil
// ExtendedTaskISRAndAlarm.oil

OIL_VERSION = "2.3";
#include "ost22mpc.oil"

CPU cpu1
{
  APPMODE app1;
  OS os1
  {
    TargetMCU = MPC555;
    STATUS = STANDARD;
    ResourceScheduler = FALSE;
    STARTUPHOOK = FALSE; SHUTDOWNHOOK = FALSE;
    PRETASKHOOK = FALSE; POSTTASKHOOK = FALSE; ERRORHOOK = FALSE;
    USEGETSERVICEID = FALSE; USEPARAMETERACCESS = FALSE;
    IsrStackSize = 0x200;
    saTimeUnit = us;
    saMeasureClockFrequency = 4000;
  }
}

EVENT EVENT1 { MASK = 1; }
EVENT EVENT2 { MASK = 2; }

TASK TaskA
{
  PRIORITY = 10;
  SCHEDULE = FULL;
  AUTOSTART = TRUE; ACTIVATION = 1;
  STACKSIZE = 0x100;
  EVENT = EVENT1; EVENT = EVENT2;

  saInitial = "WaitEvent";
  saTaskSection = SET { ID = "WaitEvent";
    WCET = 0;
    ACTION = WaitEvent { EVENT = EVENT1; EVENT = EVENT2;
      NEXT = "CheckEVENT1"; }
  };
  saTaskSection = SET { ID = "CheckEVENT1";
    WCET = 0;
  }
```
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Analysis of ECC Applications
Applying Computational Model

ACTION = CheckEvent { EVENT = EVENT1;
    CLEARED = "CheckEVENT2";
    SET = "HandleEVENT1"; }

); saTaskSection = SET { ID = "HandleEVENT1";
    WCET = 500;
    DEADLINE = SET { VALUE = 2000; }
    ACTION = GoTo { NEXT = "WaitEvent"; }
};

saTaskSection = SET { ID = "CheckEVENT2";
    WCET = 0;
    ACTION = CheckEvent { EVENT = EVENT2;
        CLEARED = "WaitEvent";
        SET = "HandleEVENT2"; }
};

saTaskSection = SET { ID = "HandleEVENT2";
    WCET = 2000;
    DEADLINE = SET { VALUE = 5000; }
    ACTION = GoTo { NEXT = "WaitEvent"; }
};

ISR ISR1
{
    CATEGORY = 2;
    PRIORITY = 10;
    saMinIATime = 2000;
    saInitial="IS_1_1";
    saISRSection = SET { ID = "IS_1_1";
        WCET = 300;
        ACTION = SetEvent { NEXT = "IS_1_2";
            TASK = TaskA; EVENT = EVENT1; }
    }
};

saISRSection = SET { ID = "IS_1_2";
    WCET = 200;
    ACTION = LeaveISR;
}

COUNTER Counter1
{
    MAXALLOWEDVALUE = 0xffffffff; MINCYCLE = 0; TICKSPERBASE = 1;
    saPeriod = 1000;
}
ALARM Alarm1
{
    COUNTER = Counter1;
    ACTION = SETEVENT { TASK = TaskA; EVENT = EVENT2; }
    AUTOSTART = TRUE { ALARMTIME = 0; CYCLETIME = 5; }
};
Analysis of ECC Applications

Step 9. Run DS-Design Tool.

Step 10. The result of the analysis is explained below.

The transactions and checkpoints of the application are as following:

```
application
  ✓ Counter1
  ✓ Alarm1
    ✓ TaskA/HandleEVENT2
      preemption
      computation
  × ISR1
    × ISR1
    ✓ TaskA/HandleEVENT1
      preemption
      computation
```

Note that for *ISR1* event is not met - the response time is 3.5 ms while deadline is 2 ms. This is due to preemption from the subtransaction *Counter1/Alarm1* (2 ms) and the next arrival of *ISR1* (500 us).

The example shows that the single extended task that serves the events of different deadlines experiences scheduling difficulties because it has no mean to prioritize responses.

Tuning an Application

Most methods considered for the BCC applications are applicable.

When exploring analysis results, the great attention should be paid to the preemption structure. If deadline is missed due to preemption caused by the same task as the task that is being preempted (as demonstrated in the example *Extended Task with Two Events*), then it makes sense to introduce new basic task that serves the event with a short deadline.

---

1 In some respect this extended task *TaskA* acts as two non-preemptive tasks of same priority.
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Limitations for Application Structure

DS-Design Tool can not perform robust analysis of extended tasks that are dynamically activated and terminated. Therefore, the application is assumed to start all extended tasks, and they should not be terminated.
Advanced Features

This chapter consists of the following sections:

- General
- Internal Resources
- Using text views
- Fine Tuning of Applications
- Batch Mode of Analysis
- Dealing with Operating System overhead

General

This section contains description of advanced features of DS-Design Tool that might be useful for more comprehensive analysis and for better satisfying of organizational software development process.

Internal Resources

Internal resources in OSEKturbo are primarily aimed to provide groups of mutually non-preemptable tasks and co-operative tasks (see [9] in section “References.”). However, as mentioned in Section Employing OSEKturbo Internal Resources, they impact scheduling of applications as scheduling behaves very similar to so-called scheduling with preemption threshold that is described in number of sources. (For example, in the paper Scheduling Fixed-Priority Tasks with Preemption Threshold, by Yun Wang and Manas Saksena - see [10] in section “References.”).

Scheduling with internal resources may be considered as fully preemptive when each task has exactly one internal resource which ceiling priority is the same as task priority, or non-preemptive - when all tasks have internal resource that is RES_SCHEDULER indeed. As both preemptive and non-preemptive schedulings have advantages and disadvantages, scheduling
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with internal resources may provide benefits of both kind of scheduling policies. Therefore, scheduling with internal resources may be used to improve application scheduling.

**Using text views**

Graphic views of GraViTool are handy to explore and compare for most applications. However, when an application is mature, and schedulability analysis becomes a routine, the application designer might find more useful to look at *text views* generated by the GraViTool.

Text views contain almost the same amount of information as charts, but they are plain text files. Therefore, an experienced developer may apply text processing utilities to quick finding of precise information.

**TIP**

Text views are extremely useful for making reports on application scheduling. Just insert all file, or cut and paste fragments of it into reporting document.

Text views are generated by GraViTool by means of using menu item "File|Generate Text Views...", or by means of clicking diskette-icon on the toolbar. In the other case the save file dialog window appears prompting to specify the name of the text views file. By default it is name of the file currently opened in GraViTool with extension ".txt" instead of file extension ".saml".

Here is an example of text views for the application described in **Single Periodic Task**:

```plaintext
1 Application: application
2 Verdict: scheduled
3
4 List of transactions
5 Transaction, minimal inter-arrival time:
6 Counter1, 1 ms
7
8 Transaction Counter1, list of subtransactions
9 Subtransaction, Every, Offset, Jitter:
10 Counter1/Alarm1, 5, 0, 0
```

1. Line numbers are not included into text views file. The lines are numbered by "pr" utility.

---
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Subtransaction Alarm1, list of checkpoints
Checkpoint, verdict, response time, deadline, arrival:
  Counter1/Alarm1/TaskA/TS_A, meet, 2 ms, 5 ms, 0
  computation at checkpoint Counter1/Alarm1/TaskA/TS_A
Section, Time, Priority, Type:
  TOTAL, 2 ms
  current_job, 2 ms
  Counter1/Alarm1/TaskA/TS_A, 2 ms, 10, activate

Total CPU utilization: 40 %
  Counter1/Alarm1, 40 %
  utilization per subtransaction Alarm1
  TaskA, 40 %

The first line of the file contains the name of application. Second line specifies if application is scheduled. Then the lists of transactions and subtransactions follow.

For checkpoint it is specified if deadline is met ("meet" in line 14). If deadline is not met, it is tagged with "miss" tag.

**TIP**
Text-processing utilities from Unix world such as `grep`, `awk`, `wc`, etc. can be used to extract desired information from text views file. For example, to count number of missed deadlines in application, one could use `grep miss text_views_file | wc -l`

**Fine Tuning of Applications**

While DS-Design Tool is primarily intended to work in as integrated part of OSEK Builder, there are advantages of using components of DS-Design Tool separately.

For example, if it is a need to change slightly the application timing information, and compare scheduling results of original application with changed one, it makes sense to store scheduling analysis data in different files, and then launch two instances of graphical views tool to explore both files and compare them.
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The alternative way of comparing results of analysis is to produce text views, and compare two or more text files by means of using editors and utilities that visualize differences in text files.

**Batch Mode of Analysis**

As DS-Design Tool uses OSEKturbo System Generator, analysis may be run in batch mode. For example, the following command line of Command Prompt directs system generator to perform schedulability analysis of application SinglePeriodicTask.oil:

```
sysgen -b -z SinglePeriodicTask.oil.saml SinglePeriodicTask.oil
```

The system generator reads and verifies an OIL-file of the application `SinglePeriodicTask.oil`, and produces graphical views file `SinglePeriodicTask.oil.saml`, if no errors were found in the OIL file. In this case the return code of System Generator is zero. If OIL file contains errors, then no graphical views file is produced, and error code is not zero.

If there is no file name specified after `-z` option, the default file name will be used, which is combined from an input OIL file name, and has added extension `.saml`.

Note that even if application is not scheduled, return code of System Generator is zero, as OIL file is valid.

The graphical views tool GraViTool can be started in command line as well. For example, to view the results of the analysis for the application mentioned above, the following command line of Command Prompt may be used:

```
GraViTool SinglePeriodicTask.oil.saml
```
Dealing with Operating System overhead

Operating System overhead is an important impact on application scheduling. In the embedded applications the execution times of tasks and ISRs are sometimes comparable with OS system services timing.

The DS-Design Tool uses set of OIL parameters to identify timing of OSEKturbo services. These parameters are summarized in OIL Language Quick Reference. While OS overhead parameters are not intended for use of the application designer, in subtle cases the values of these parameters may be adjusted for better characterizing of particularities of OSEKturbo operating system configuration and hardware timing. Example of overhead numbers are provided as part of SA sample included into OSEKturbo OS installation. OS timings depend on OS configuration, therefore they should be measured for the user configuration to get accurate results.
Troubleshooting

This chapter consists of the following sections:

- Evaluation of Timing Parameters
- Eliminating Wrong Application Structure
- Looking at Intermediate Data Files
- Computation Issues
- Full Utilization of Processor
- Blocking Pessimism
- Known Problems

In this section some advice is given which may be useful for developers working with DS-Design Tool.

Evaluation of Timing Parameters

More accurate are the timing parameters values, more realistic are the results produced by DS-Design Tool. Therefore, significant efforts should be put into evaluating and tracking changes of timing parameters. For example, worst-case execution time can be measured by means of employing DS-V tool, or by means of using logic analyzer or time measurement hardware, or by means of counting instructions timing. All methods vary in efforts and accuracy.

Note that exactly the same application may exhibit different timing behavior on various hardware configuration (e.g. number of memory wait cycles impacts timing enormously).

In complex cases several methods can be used, and then the most appropriate (accurate) should be chosen.

As application code changes, the timing parameters need to be re-assessed. This can be done on regular basis, or on specified steps of development process. For example, at integration phase all important timing parameters can be re-assessed and measured.
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Eliminating Wrong Application Structure

DS-Design Tool may discover problems in application structure. There is no standard means in OSEK/VDX Specifications to have a guarantee consistency and completeness of the applications. For example, in standard error status most run-time errors get unnoticed.

As DS-Design Tool forces the application developers to look carefully at the semantics of the application, the unexpected semantics errors could be discovered, which do not relate directly to DS-Design Tool specifics.

For example, DS-Design Tool could discover improper use of system services in short critical sections that are framed by pairs of OSEKturbo Fast Disable/Enable API functions.

Looking at Intermediate Data Files

DS-Design Tool uses intermediate files that contain a lot of useful information. For example, graphical views file which is produced by System Generator is the XML file, and it contains all information that GraViTool visualizes. In case of troubles with visualization it could make sense to look at graphical views file (e.g. by means of using Internet Explorer), and try to understand the source of problem.

TIP

Graphical Views files or their fragments could be useful for support team to find out the issues with the tool or an application.

There is also “undocumented” feature of System Generator. When launched with option “-x filename”, it produces detailed report of analysis steps in the file filename. This file can be also delivered to support team in case problems arrive.

Computation Issues

Careful selection on measurement units helps to avoid, or at least minimize computations rounding. For example, if the task execution time is 1234 microseconds, and time unit in OIL file is set to milliseconds, then the DS-Design Tool will believe, the execution time is 1 ms, or 1000 microseconds, introducing almost 25% of error.
When several of such values are used in computation, then the rounding error could grow dramatically.

Hence, the time units should adequately represent accuracy of measurement. It is recommended to use time unit of less granularity and bigger number therefore in order to minimize rounding impact.

The other issue is the relations between the execution times of different entities. For example, if tasks have execution times measured in seconds, while Operating System services take few microseconds, then the Operating System overhead could be small enough to be visualized while the impact on scheduling can not be ignored. In such cases GraViTool could show the missed deadlines while the reason of this is unnoticed. In such cases methods described in Looking at Intermediate Data Files may be worth trying.

**Full Utilization of Processor**

If DS-Design Tool encounters that processor utilization reaches 100%, it does not compute checkpoints that are on this boundary and above. In other words, DS-Design Tool takes pessimistic approach for fully utilized processor. This is why the application that are schedulable at 100% utilization are considered as non-schedulable by DS-Design Tool. The reason for that is the fact that any small deviation in timing behavior leads to unschedulable application while theoretically the application may be considered as being scheduled.

**Blocking Pessimism**

Another are where DS-Design Tool takes pessimistic approach is blocking possibly caused by the previous jobs of the same subtransaction.

For example, the application that consists of single transaction and single subtransaction, may exhibit blocking even if it looks like it should not be there.

---
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The application on the picture below consists of one ISR \textit{ISR1} and three tasks that build a chain:

\textbf{ISR1}

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textit{M} = 1000 ms
  \item \textit{C} = 2 ms
\end{itemize}

\textbf{ActivateTask}

\textbf{TaskA}

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textit{C} = 2 ms
  \item \textit{p} = 8
  \item \textit{D} = 5 ms
\end{itemize}

\textbf{TaskB}

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textit{C} = 2 ms
  \item \textit{p} = 6
  \item \textit{D} = 6 ms
\end{itemize}

\textbf{TaskC}

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textit{C} = 2 ms
  \item \textit{p} = 10
  \item \textit{D} = 10 ms
\end{itemize}

\textit{TaskA} has middle priority, \textit{TaskB} has low priority, and \textit{TaskC} has high priority. At first glance, the timing diagram looks like the following one (ordered by priorities):

\textbf{ISR1}

\textbf{TaskC}

\textbf{TaskA}

\textbf{TaskB}
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All tasks meet deadlines, and application is scheduled. However, DS-Design Tool provides different result:

The application is not scheduled because checkpoint at TaskA misses deadline. The response time at this checkpoint is as following:

**Response time at checkpoint**

ISR_1/ISR_1/TaskA/Chain

response 6 ms, deadline 5 ms
The checkpoint experiences 2 ms blocking that comes from high priority TaskC:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Threshold</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ISR_1/ISR_1(TaskC)/Terminate</td>
<td>2 ms</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>activate</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total blocking</td>
<td>2 ms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Though TaskC runs after TaskA fully completes execution, DS-Design Tool assumes, that next arrival of ISR1 overlaps start of the execution of TaskC and the blocking from TaskC occurs therefore.

For simplified case like the presented above this is virtually unrealistic scenario and it should not be considered. Nevertheless, DS-Design Tool considers it by means of applying blocking pessimism approach, because in complex cases this approach provides a mean to deal with blocking.

**Known Problems**

The problems are unknown before the time of the release of this document. Please refer to Technical Support Information for updates.
Sample Application

This chapter consists of the following sections:

- Description
- Source Files
- Results of Analysis

Description

The standard OSEKturbo sample is used to demonstrate how to apply DS-Design Tool to analyze timing behavior of an application.

The standard sample is described in OSEKturbo Operating System Technical Reference. In this section only DS-Design Tool related changes are described.

TIP
Reading sample description in OSEKturbo Operating System Technical Reference might help to understand the timing behavior of the sample application.

WARNING!
The description of sample is done for OSEKturbo OS/MPC5xx v.2.2. For other platform the timing parameters may slightly differ.

Source Files

The source file that has to be changed is an main OIL file of the application that has name main.oil.

The content of main.oil file is presented below. The DS-Design Tool specific parameters are in bold. Note that line numbering is for explanations reasons, and line numbers should be removed before loading file into OSEK Builder.
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The timing data are derived from System Service Timing where appropriate, and are in ticks. The computational timing are fictional.

The explanation of timing parameters is presented below in the listing.

```
1 /***************************************************************************
2 * Copyright (C) 2000-2003 Motorola, Inc.
3 * All Rights Reserved
4 *
5 * You can use this example for any purpose on any computer system with the
6 * following restrictions:
7 * 1. This example is provided "as is", without warranty.
8 * 2. You don't remove this copyright notice from this example or any direct
9 *    derivation thereof.
10 *
12 * Author: Author: bdv
13 * Locker: Locker:
14 * State: State: Exp
15 * Revision: Revision: 1.6
16 *
17 * Functions:
18 *
19 * History: Use the CVS command rlog to display revision history
20 *
21 * Description: OSEKturbo OS/MPC5xx v.2.2 sample application definitions
22 *
23 * Notes: 1. This file should be processed by OSEK system generator
24 *
25 *---------------------------------------------------------------------------*/
26
27 APPMODE Mode{};
28 TASK TASKSND1 {
29     PRIORITY = 3;
30     SCHEDULE = FULL;
31     AUTOSTART = FALSE;
32     ACTIVATION = 1;
33     RESOURCE = MSGAACCESS;
34     ACCESSION = SENT {
35     MESSAGE = MsgA;
36         WITHOUTCOPY = TRUE;
37         ACCESSNAME = "SND_MESS_A";
38     }
39 }
40
41 saInitial = "Init";
42 saTaskSection = SET { ID = "Init";
43                      WCET = 20;
44                      ACTION = GetResource { RESOURCE = MSGAACCESS; NEXT = "SendMsg"; }
45                      }
```
Sample Application
Source Files

```c
saTaskSection = SET { ID = "SendMsg";
WCET = 10;
ACTION = SendMessage { MESSAGE = MsgA; NEXT = "MsgSent"; }};

saTaskSection = SET { ID = "MsgSent";
WCET = 10;
ACTION = ReleaseResource { RESOURCE = MSGAACCESS; NEXT = "Terminate"; }};

saTaskSection = SET { ID = "Terminate";
WCET = 0; }

TASK TASKSND2 {
PRIORITY = 2;
SCHEDULE = FULL;
AUTOSTART = FALSE;
ACTIVATION = 1;
RESOURCE = MSGBACCESS;
ACCESSOR = SENT {
MESSAGE = MsgB;
WITHOUTCOPY = FALSE;
ACCESSNAME = "SND_MESS_B";
};

saInitial = "Init";
saTaskSection = SET { ID = "Init";
WCET = 10;
ACTION = GoTo { NEXT = "Terminate"; }
ACTION = GoTo { NEXT = "Send"; }
};

saTaskSection = SET { ID = "Send";
WCET = 12;
ACTION = GetResource { RESOURCE = MSGBACCESS; NEXT = "SendMsg"; }
};

saTaskSection = SET { ID = "SendMsg";
WCET = 0;
ACTION = SendMessage { MESSAGE = MsgB; NEXT = "MsgSent"; }
};

saTaskSection = SET { ID = "MsgSent";
WCET = 0;
ACTION = ReleaseResource { RESOURCE = MSGBACCESS; NEXT = "Terminate"; }
};

saTaskSection = SET { ID = "Terminate";
WCET = 0; }

TASK TASKCNT {
PRIORITY = 1;
SCHEDULE = NON;
AUTOSTART = FALSE;
ACTIVATION = 1;
}
```
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saTaskSection = SET { ID = "Terminate";
  WCET = 60;
};

TASK TASKRCV1 {
  PRIORITY = 5;
  SCHEDULE = FULL;
  AUTOSTART = TRUE;
  ACTIVATION = 1;
  RESOURCE = MSGAACCESS;
  ACCESSOR = RECEIVED {
    MESSAGE = MsgA;
    WITHOUTCOPY = FALSE;
    ACCESSNAME = "RCV_MESS_A";
  }
  EVENT = MSGAEVENT;
  EVENT = TIMLIMITEVENT;
  STACKSIZE = 400;

  saInitial = "Init";
  saTaskSection = SET { ID = "Init";
    WCET = 270;
    ACTION = GoTo { NEXT = "WaitLoop"; }
  }
  saTaskSection = SET { ID = "WaitLoop";
    WCET = 160;
    ACTION = GoTo { NEXT = "WaitEvent"; }
  }
  saTaskSection = SET { ID = "WaitEvent";
    WCET = 0;
    ACTION = WaitEvent { EVENT = MSGAEVENT; EVENT = TIMLIMITEVENT; NEXT = "CheckEvent"; }
  }
  saTaskSection = SET { ID = "CheckEvent";
    WCET = 12;
    ACTION = CheckEvent { EVENT = MSGAEVENT; SET = "GetMsg"; CLEARED = "Init"; }
  }
  saTaskSection = SET { ID = "GetMsg";
    WCET = 52;
    ACTION = GetResource { RESOURCE = MSGAACCESS; NEXT = "MsgGot"; }
  }
  saTaskSection = SET { ID = "MsgGot";
    WCET = 148;
    ACTION = ReleaseResource { RESOURCE = MSGAACCESS; NEXT = "WaitEvent"; }
  }

  DEADLINE = SET { VALUE = 20000; }
};

TASK TASKRCV2 {
  PRIORITY = 4;
  SCHEDULE = FULL;
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151 AUTOSTART = FALSE;
152 ACTIVATION = 1;
153 RESOURCE = MSGBACCESS;
154 ACCESSOR = RECEIVED {
155    MESSAGE = MsgB;
156      WITHOUTCOPY = TRUE;
157      ACCESSNAME = "RCV_MESS_B";
158    };
159
160 saInitial = "Init";
161 saTaskSection = SET { ID = "Init";
162      WCET = 10;
163      ACTION = GetResource { RESOURCE = MSGBACCESS; NEXT = "MsgGot"; };
164    };
165 saTaskSection = SET { ID = "MsgGot";
166      WCET = 148;
167      ACTION = ReleaseResource { RESOURCE = MSGBACCESS; NEXT = "Terminate";
168    };
169    saTaskSection = SET { ID = "Terminate";
170      WCET = 0;
171      DEADLINE = SET { VALUE = 10000; };
172    };
173 }
174
175 TASK TASKSTOP {
176    PRIORITY = 0;
177    SCHEDULE = NON;
178    AUTOSTART = FALSE;
179    ACTIVATION = 1;
180 }
181 RESOURCE MSGAACCESS {
182    RESOURCEPROPERTY = STANDARD;
183 };
184 RESOURCE MSGBACCESS {
185    RESOURCEPROPERTY = STANDARD;
186 };
187 RESOURCE MSGBACCESS {
188    RESOURCEPROPERTY = STANDARD;
189 };
190 EVENT MSGAEVENT { MASK = AUTO; };
191 EVENT TIMLIMITEVENT { MASK = AUTO; };
192 COUNTER SYSTEMTIMER {
193    MAXALLOWEDVALUE = 0xFFFFFFFF;
194    TICKSPERBASE = 10;
195    MINCYLE = 0;
196 }
197 COUNTER SECONDTIMER {
198    MAXALLOWEDVALUE = 30000;
199    TICKSPERBASE = 10;
200    MINCYLE = 0;
201 }
202 COUNTER TSCOUNTER {
203    MAXALLOWEDVALUE = 20;
There are two checkpoints in the application - reception of message \textit{MsgA} by extended task \textit{TASKRCV1}, and reception of message \textit{MsgB} by basic task \textit{TASKRCV2}. The subtransactions that ends up with these checkpoints are started by timesteps of timescale.

Therefore, timing behavior of the following system objects is defined:

- Task \textit{TASKSN1} (lines 44-59)
- Task \textit{TASKRCV1} (lines 121-146)
Sample Application
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- Task TASKSND2 (lines 73-93)
- Task TASKRCV2 (lines 160-172)
- Task TASKCNT (lines 101-103)
- Counter TSCOUNTER (line 106)

Note that alarms are not taken into consideration as they are non-cyclic alarms, and do not severely impact application timing behavior.

The deadlines for the checkpoints are selected based on the corresponding time step. It is assumed, that message must be received until the time value of the time step expires.

Results of Analysis

The result of schedulability analysis is as following:

The deadlines are met.

For More Information: www.freescale.com
Message $MsgA$ is received within deadline though response time experiences blocking from resources manipulation to protect $MsgB$:

**Response time at checkpoint**

TimeScale/TimeScale.Step1/TASKRCV1/MsgGot

response 1.64 ms, deadline 80 ms

![Diagram showing response time partitioned into computation and blocking phases.]
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Message $MsgB$ is also received within deadline though blocking from $TASKCNT$ takes place:

**Response time at checkpoint**

**TimeScale/TimeScale.Step2/TASKRCV2/Terminate**

Response 960 $\mu$s, deadline 40 ms

![Bar chart showing response time components](chart.png)
Error Messages

The Schedulability Analysis for OSEKturbo checks the compatibility of properties, parameters and limits and reports about possible errors via error messages. The error messages can be associated with wrong syntax, mistakes in the implementation definition, wrong definitions of the application objects.

This chapter contains only the list of System Generator message specific to DS-Design Tool. Description of System Generator error processing can be found in OSEKturbo User’s Manuals:

- List of System Generator Messages

List of System Generator Messages

SysGen consists of several components, which work on the different stages of OIL file processing. Schedulability analysis is performed by DS-Design Tool component, and generates DS-Design Tool specific messages having prefix SA before the message number.

SA0005: Could not create Msxml2.MXXMLWriter.4.0 coclass (MSXML4 is not installed?)

Error

Output file cannot be created because MSXML4 is not installed on the computer. This free downloadable software is used to generate auxiliary DS-Design Tool files. In case the message appears, check System Generator options, or download the software.

SA0006: Could not open file <filename> for writing

Fatal

The file <filename> cannot be opened if there is no enough free disk space or there is no appropriate permission to create or write the output file.

SA0008: Output GView file is not specified. <filename> will be used

Warning
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There is no file name specified in -z option of System Generator. DS-Design Tool will use <filename> for graphical views output.

**SA0010:** Duplicated identifier: `<identifier>`

```
Error
```

The identifier `<identifier>` is used more than once for different purposes (e.g. there are two task sections with same ID value).

**SA0012:** Invalid initial section: `<section>`. No such section exists

```
Error
```

The task or the ISR section referenced in `saInitial` parameter does not exist.

**SA0013:** Initial section must be specified

```
Error
```

There is no `saInitial` section referenced in the task or in the ISR. If the task or the ISR consists of more than one section, than initial (start) section must be referenced in `saInitial` parameter of the `TASK` or `ISR`.

**SA0021:** Referenced resource `<resource>` is not accessed by the task (ISR)

```
Error
```

Resource specified in `ACTION` parameter is not referenced in `TASK` or `ISR` parameters.

**SA0023:** Invalid reference to `<object>`. No such object exists

```
Error
```

The object to which application references, does not exist (e.g., task section referenced in `NEXT` parameter, does not exist).

**SA0025:** Action “<action>” not permitted in context of ISR of category 1

```
Error
```

The action `<action>` is not allowed in ISR of category 1 (e.g., ISR of category should not contain action `ActivateTask`).

**SA0026:** Counter period is already specified (or calculated)

```
Error
```

The period of the counter is already specified. (e.g., application specifies `PERIOD` parameter of a the counter, that is linked to system timer. As system timer period is calculated automatically, parameter `PERIOD` in `COUNTER` object is redundant and ambiguous).
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SA0027:   **Sorry, the feature is not supported yet**

   Error

   The feature schedulability analysis is not supported in this version of DS-Design Tool. (e.g. the action `SetRelAlarm` is not supported as DS-Design Tool does not analyze dynamic alarms).

SA0028:   **Counter <counter> is unreferenced, its period cannot be evaluated**

   Error

   The period of the counter cannot be evaluated because there is no references to it (e.g. from system timer, and the `COUNTER` object has no parameter `PERIOD` specified).

SA0029:   **Priority must be not greater than <maxpriority>**

   Error

   The priority of a system object is too high.

SA0031:   **Ignored one-shot alarm <alarm>**

   Warning

   Alarm <alarm> is not cyclic, and is ignored by DS-Design Tool. This may happen when `saCyclic` parameter in `ALARM` object is set to `FALSE`.

SA0032:   **ISR must have positive minimal inter-arrival time**

   Error

   The `saMinIATime` parameter in `ISR` object is zero. Minimal interarrival time of an ISR shall have positive value.

SA0033:   **Ignored one-shot ISR <ISR>**

   Warning

   An ISR does not have `saMinIATime` specified, and is ignored therefore.

SA0037:   **Array of EVENTs must contain at least one event**

   Error

   There is not any event name specified in actions where list of events is accepted (e.g. action `SetEvent` specifies `TASK` parameter, but does not has any `EVENT` parameter).

SA0038:   **Attempt to wait for non-owned events [<event>]**

   Error

---

Freescale Semiconductor, Inc.

For More Information: www.freescale.com
List of System Generator Messages

The task section contains an action WaitEvent with EVENT parameter value that it is not owned by the task.

SA0039: Multiple reference to event <event> in list
  Warning
  Same event is referenced more than once in a list of events (e.g. WaitEvent action contains two values of same event name).

SA0040: Execution graph is inconsistent. Sections [<section>] cannot be reached
  Error
  The task or an ISR section can not be reached as there is no link to it from any other sections, and it is not specified as saInitial section (e.g. task section is skipped by mistake).

SA0041: Invalid call in DisableAllInterrupts context
  Error
  The prohibited system service is called when all interrupts are disabled. The only allowed call is EnableAllInterrupts.

SA0042: Invalid call in SuspendAllInterrupts/SuspendOSInterrupts context
  Error
  The prohibited system service is called within pairs of SuspendAllInterrupts/ResumeAllInterrupts and SuspendOSInterrupt/ResumeOSInterrupts. The rules on allowed services are specified in OSEKturbo Operating System User’s Manual.

SA0043: Invalid call within critical section
  Error
  The prohibited system service is called within critical section framed by GetResource/ReleaseResource pairs (e.g. system service Schedule is called within critical section).

SA0044: GetResource/ReleaseResource mismatch
  Error
  The critical sections framed by GetResource/ReleaseResource pairs are not consistent (e.g. task gets first resource, and releases second, or task gets first and second resources, and releases them in non-stacked order).

SA0045: Attempt to set events [<event>] not owned by TASK <task>
Error

The SetEvent action in the task or the ISR section sets the event for the task when this task is not an owner of the event.

SA0046: Multiple activation of extended task <task>

Error

The extended task <task> gets activated more than once (e.g. the task is autoactivated, and also explicitly activated in an ISR).

SA0047: Attempt to set event for suspended task <task>

Warning

The action in a task or in an ISR section sets the event(s) for the extended task that has never been activated (e.g. the task is not autostarted, no any section activates or chains this task).

SA0050: Extended task <task> may be activated by different AUTOSTART tasks

Error

The extended task <task> is activated by more than one task that start automatically (e.g. two autostarted task chain same extended, or extended task starts automatically, and is also activated by another task).

SA0051: Execution paths of autostart task <task> form different extended tasks context

Error

The autostarted task <task> is split into paths that lead to different contexts (e.g. autostarted task has an initial section with two ChainTask actions for two different paths).

SA0052: Attempt to activate task <task> with undefined structure

Error

The activated task <task> has no DS-Design Tool specific parameters, and the timing behavior is not defined therefore (e.g. an ISR that has timing information described in ISR sections, activates the task that has no sections at all).

SA0054: Extended tasks state is changed on subtransaction completion

Error
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The extended task is changing it state in a course of subtransaction (e.g. extended task handles an event that is set, and then terminates itself, thus changing it state to suspended).

SA0055: **Unexpected interrupt control service (mismatch)**

Error

The Fast Disable/Enable API services are messed up (e.g. \textit{SuspendOSInterrupts} is followed by \textit{ResumeAllInterrupts}).

SA0056: **Attempt to get already occupied resource <resource>**

Error

The task or the ISR attempts to get same resource <resource> twice without releasing it first.

SA0058: **Subtransaction defined with an action void and shall be ignored**

Warning

Void action of subtransaction makes subtransaction invalid.

SA0059: **Could not build subtransaction <subtransaction> profile. Check if loop presents**

Error

Subtransaction possibly goes into loop on the execution graph.

SA0065: **Clock frequency is either not specified or zero**

Error

Clock frequency shall be defined explicitly.

SA0066: **Clock frequency differs from one specified in TargetMCU section. This new value shall be used**

Warning

ClockFrequency attribute is specified in TargetMCU section. The attribute saClockFrequency is differs from it. The value of saClockFrequency shall be used.

SA0067: **Cannot properly scale timing values. Analysis results are invalid**

Warning

Certain processors (e.g. MGT5x00) are complicated enough, and increasing of oscillator frequency does not lead to proportional decreasing of execution times. Thus special invalidation flag saInvalidate controls timing adjustment. If this flag is set to TRUE DS-Design Tool will warn...
user about potential invalid analysis results in case when current oscillator frequency differs from the one at which execution times were measured.

SA0068: TASK <task> may not be executed due to its effective utilization (XXX.YY%) exceeds 1
ISR <ISR> may not be executed due to its effective utilization (XXX.YY%) exceeds 1

Warning

The task or ISR specified has no checkpoints and thus analysis is not performed for it. However, effective utilization for this task/ISR exceeds 1, which may lead to occasional or permanent overflow (i.e. loss of activation request or interrupt occurrence). The user has to decide if this is acceptable and won't break overall system behavior, and has to take appropriate measures (if this is very undesirable) or just ignore it (if this leads, for instance, just to reasonable performance degradation).

SA0100: Analysis results: application is schedulable

Information

Verdict on application schedulability.

SA0101: Analysis results: application is not schedulable

Warning

Verdict on application schedulability.

SA0102: Analysis results: no checkpoints specified

Information

Verdict on application schedulability.

SA0103: Checkpoint <checkpoint>: OVERFLOW. Utilization is XX.YY%

Warning

Checkpoint <checkpoint> is not computed because deadline can not be met due to overutilization.

SA0104: Checkpoint <checkpoint>: response is XXX, deadline is YYY.

Information

Checkpoint <checkpoint> meets deadline.

SA0105: Checkpoint <checkpoint>: response is XXX, deadline is YYY. NOT SCHEDULABLE
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Warning
Checkpoint <checkpoint> misses deadline.

**SA0106:** Total utilization is XXX.YY%
Warning
Total utilization is 100% and above.

**SA0107:** Total utilization is XX.YY%
Information
Total utilization is below 100%.

**SA0108:** Analysis results: application is schedulable (all deadlines are met) though total utilization exceeds 1.
Warning
Effective utilization of CPU for the application exceeds 1, which may lead to occasional or permanent overflow (i.e. loss of activation request or interrupt occurrence). The user has to decide if this is acceptable and won't break overall system behavior, and has to take appropriate measures (if this is very undesirable) or just ignore it (if this leads, for instance, just to reasonable performance degradation).
OIL Language Quick Reference

This chapter consists of the following sections:

- **OS Object**
- **TASK Object**
- **ISR Object**
- **RESOURCE Object**
- **EVENT Object**
- **COUNTER Object**
- **ALARM Object**
- **MESSAGE Object**
- **APPMODE Object**
- **COM Object**
- **NM Object**

The lists of all OIL object parameters that are DS-Design Tool specific. Parameters with their possible values and short descriptions are provided here.

The value used by default is typed in boldface in the Possible Values cells.

---

**NOTE**
Standard parameters and OSEKturbo specific parameters are described in OSEKturbo Operating System documentation, and are duplicated here only if DS-Design Tool makes special use of the standard or OSEKturbo specific parameters.

**NOTE**
All DS-Design Tool specific parameters are also OSEKturbo specific as currently no standard OIL attributes are defined for schedulability analysis tools.

---
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# OS Object

The brief description of Operating System global parameters.

## Table C.1 OS Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object Parameters</th>
<th>Possible Values</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DS-Design Tool specific attributes</td>
<td></td>
<td>The attributes should be defined inside the scope of the OS object in accordance with the following syntax: saTimeUnit = &lt;ns / us / ms / s&gt;; saClockFrequency = &lt;integer&gt;; saMeasureClockFrequency = &lt;integer&gt;; saInvalidate = &lt;TRUE / FALSE&gt;; saOSOverhead = &lt;SET&gt; { &lt;OS Overhead Parameters&gt; }</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>saTimeUnit</td>
<td>enum of ns, us, ms</td>
<td>Units used by DS-Design Tool for all time measurement parameters: ns - nanoseconds, us - microseconds, ms - milliseconds, s - seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>saClockFrequency</td>
<td>integer</td>
<td>Current frequency of oscillator (same units as TargetMCU's ClockFrequency). If ClockFrequency is not set, then saClockFrequency should be set in kHz units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>saMeasureClockFrequency</td>
<td>integer</td>
<td>Frequency of oscillator used when measurement of timing parameters was done. This value should not be changed. If value of saClockFrequency is not equal to the value of saMeasureClockFrequency, then the values of frequency-dependent parameters (OS overheads and WCET) are proportionally adjusted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>saInvalidate</td>
<td>TRUE / FALSE</td>
<td>If set to TRUE, the warning is generated by DS-Design Tool if the values of saClockFrequency and saMeasureClockFrequency are not equal. This is to inform the user that automatic adjustment is performed, but processor complexity does not guarantee the adjustment is valid.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>saOSOverhead</td>
<td></td>
<td>Timing of Operating System services needed for proper calculation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEBUG_LEVEL</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Specifies ORTI support that includes trace capabilities for DS-V</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Operating System overhead parameters are dedicated to OSEKturbo developers, and are listed in Table C.2 for information only.

---

For More Information: [www.freescale.com](http://www.freescale.com)
The brief description of Operating System global parameters. Most parameters specify Operating System overhead for performing system services.

### Table C.2 OS Overhead Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object Parameters</th>
<th>Possible Values</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DS-Design Tool specific attributes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA_ACTIVATE_TASK_PREEMPT</td>
<td>integer, 0</td>
<td>OS overhead to perform system service <code>ActivateTask</code> to activate new task and to preempt running task (dispatching from the calling task)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA_ACTIVATE_TASK_NO_PREEMPT</td>
<td>integer, 0</td>
<td>OS overhead to perform system service <code>ActivateTask</code> to activate new task without the preemption of the running task (no dispatching from the calling task)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA_ISR_ACTIVATE_TASK</td>
<td>integer, 0</td>
<td>OS overhead to perform system service <code>ActivateTask</code> within an ISR to activate new task</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA_TERMINATE_TASK_DISPATCH</td>
<td>integer, 0</td>
<td>OS overhead to perform system service <code>TerminateTask</code> to terminate the running task and to dispatch to the ready task</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA_CHAIN_TASK_DISPATCH</td>
<td>integer, 0</td>
<td>OS overhead to perform system service <code>ChainTask</code> to chain the new task, to terminate the running task, and to dispatch to the ready task</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA_SCHEDULE_PREEMPT</td>
<td>integer, 0</td>
<td>OS overhead to perform system service <code>Schedule</code> to call scheduler and to preempt the running task (dispatching from the calling task)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA_SCHEDULE_NO_PREEMPT</td>
<td>integer, 0</td>
<td>OS overhead to perform system service <code>Schedule</code> to call scheduler without the preemption of the running task (no dispatching from the calling task)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA_DISABLE_ALL_INTERRUPTS</td>
<td>integer, 0</td>
<td>OS overhead to perform system service <code>DisableAllInterrupts</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Function</td>
<td>Parameter</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA_ENABLE_ALL_INTERRUPTS</td>
<td>integer, 0</td>
<td>OS overhead to perform system service EnableAllInterrupts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA_SUSPEND_ALL_INTERRUPTS</td>
<td>integer, 0</td>
<td>OS overhead to perform system service SuspendAllInterrupts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA_RESUME_ALL_INTERRUPTS</td>
<td>integer, 0</td>
<td>OS overhead to perform system service ResumeAllInterrupts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA_SUSPEND_OS_INTERRUPTS</td>
<td>integer, 0</td>
<td>OS overhead to perform system service SuspendOSInterrupts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA_RESUME_OS_INTERRUPTS</td>
<td>integer, 0</td>
<td>OS overhead to perform system service ResumeOSInterrupts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA_GET_RESOURCE</td>
<td>integer, 0</td>
<td>OS overhead to perform system service GetResource to acquire the resource</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA_ISR_GET_RESOURCE</td>
<td>integer, 0</td>
<td>OS overhead to perform system service GetResource within an ISR to acquire the resource</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA_RELEASERESOURCE_PREEMPT</td>
<td>integer, 0</td>
<td>OS overhead to perform system service ReleaseResource to release the resource and to preempt the running task (dispatching from the calling task)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA_RELEASERESOURCE_NO_PREEMPT</td>
<td>integer, 0</td>
<td>OS overhead to perform system service ReleaseResource to release the resource without preemption of the running task (no dispatching from the calling task)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA_ISR_RELEASE_RESOURCE</td>
<td>integer, 0</td>
<td>OS overhead to perform system service ReleaseResource within an ISR to release the resource</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA_SET_EVENT_PREEMPT</td>
<td>integer, 0</td>
<td>OS overhead to perform system service SetEvent to set the event(s) and to preempt the running task (dispatching from the calling task)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Table C.2  OS Overhead Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SA_SET_EVENT_NO_PREEMPT</td>
<td>integer, 0</td>
<td>OS overhead to perform system service SetEvent to set the event(s) without preemption of the running task (no dispatching from the calling task)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA_ISR_SET_EVENT</td>
<td>integer, 0</td>
<td>OS overhead to perform system service SetEvent within an ISR to set the event(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA_WAIT_EVENT_PREEMPT</td>
<td>integer, 0</td>
<td>OS overhead to perform system service WaitEvent to wait the event(s) and to preempt the running task (dispatching from the calling task)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA_WAIT_EVENT_NO_PREEMPT</td>
<td>integer, 0</td>
<td>OS overhead to perform system service WaitEvent to wait the event(s) without preemption of the running task (no dispatching from the calling task)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA_SEND_MESSAGE_ACTIVATE_TASK_PREEMPT</td>
<td>integer, 0</td>
<td>OS overhead to perform system service SendMessage to send the message, to activate the task, and to preempt the running task (dispatching from the calling task)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA_SEND_MESSAGE_ACTIVATE_TASK_NO_PREEMPT</td>
<td>integer, 0</td>
<td>OS overhead to perform system service SendMessage to send the message, to activate the task without preemption of the running task (no dispatching from the calling task)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA_SEND_MESSAGE_SET_EVENT_PREEMPT</td>
<td>integer, 0</td>
<td>OS overhead to perform system service SendMessage to send the message, to set the event, and to preempt the running task (dispatching from the calling task)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA_SEND_MESSAGE_SET_EVENT_NO_PREEMPT</td>
<td>integer, 0</td>
<td>OS overhead to perform system service SendMessage to send the message, to send the event without preemption of the running task (no dispatching from the calling task)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA_ISR_SEND_MESSAGE_ACTIVATE_TASK</td>
<td>integer, 0</td>
<td>OS overhead to perform system service SendMessage within an ISR to send the message and to activate the task</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For More Information: www.freescale.com
Table C.2  OS Overhead Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Possible Values</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SA_ISR_SEND_MESSAGE_SET_EVENT</td>
<td>integer, 0</td>
<td>OS overhead to perform system service SendMessage within an ISR to send the message and to set the event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA_ENTER_ISR</td>
<td>integer, 0</td>
<td>OS overhead to perform system service EnterISR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA_LEAVE_ISR</td>
<td>integer, 0</td>
<td>OS overhead to perform system service LeaveISR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA_ALARM_ACTIVATETASK</td>
<td>integer, 0</td>
<td>OS overhead to perform activation of the task when an alarm expires</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA_ALARM_SETEVENT</td>
<td>integer, 0</td>
<td>OS overhead to perform set of the event when an alarm expires</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA_TIMESCALE_ACTIVATE</td>
<td>integer, 0</td>
<td>Virtual OS overhead to perform task activation within the task scale</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TASK Object**

The brief description of task parameters.

Table C.3  TASK Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object Parameters</th>
<th>Possible Values</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| DS-Design Tool specific attributes |                | The attributes should be defined inside the scope of the TASK object in accordance with the following syntax: saInitial = <string>;
|                    | string, ““      | Name of first task section in the execution graph. If task consists of no more than one task section, the default value is empty. If task consists of more than one task sections, there is no default value |
| saTaskSection      | set             | Task section (see Table C.4)                                                |

For More Information: www.freescale.com
The brief description of task section parameters.

### Table C.4 saTaskSection Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object Parameters</th>
<th>Possible Values</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>DS-Design Tool specific attributes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The attributes should be defined inside the scope of the TASK object in accordance with the following syntax:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[saTaskSection = {&lt;SET&gt;} {</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\hspace{1em}ID = &lt;string&gt;; \hspace{1em}WCET = &lt;integer&gt;; \hspace{1em}DEADLINE{ \hspace{1em} &lt;deadline of task section&gt; \hspace{1em} }; \hspace{1em}ACTION{ \hspace{1em} &lt;action of task section&gt; \hspace{1em} }; \hspace{1em}}]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ID</strong></td>
<td>string</td>
<td>Name of this task section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WCET</strong></td>
<td>integer, 0</td>
<td>Worst-case execution time of task section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DEADLINE</strong></td>
<td>set</td>
<td>Specification of the deadline of the task section (see Table C.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ACTION</strong></td>
<td>ActivateTask, TerminateTask, ChainTask, Schedule, DisableAllInterrupts, EnableAllInterrupts, SuspendAllInterrupts, ResumeAllInterrupts, SuspendOSInterrupts, ResumeOSInterrupts, GetResource, ReleaseResource, SetEvent, WaitEvent, CheckEvent, SendMessage, GoTo</td>
<td>Action that ends the task section (see Table C.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **a.** Multiply instances of DEADLINE are allowed in one task section |
| **b.** Multiply instances of ACTION are allowed in one task section |

For More Information: www.freescale.com
The brief description of task section’s deadline parameters

### Table C.5  saTaskSection/DEADLINE Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object Parameters</th>
<th>Possible Values</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DS-Design Tool specific attributes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The attributes should be defined inside the scope of the saTaskSection of the TASK object in accordance with the following syntax:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEADLINE = &lt;SET&gt; {</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VALUE = &lt;integer&gt;;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATH = &lt;string&gt;;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>};</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VALUE</td>
<td>integer</td>
<td>Deadline value relative to the start of the subtransaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATH</td>
<td>string</td>
<td>Path to which this deadline of the task section belongs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The brief description of task section’s action parameters

### Table C.6  saTaskSection/ACTION Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object Parameters</th>
<th>Possible Values</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DS-Design Tool specific attributes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The attributes should be defined inside the scope of the saTaskSection of the TASK object in accordance with the following syntax:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTION = ActivateTask {</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEXT = &lt;string&gt;;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TASK = &lt;name of TASK&gt;;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATH = &lt;string&gt;;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>};</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTION = TerminateTask {</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATH = &lt;string&gt;;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>};</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTION = ChainTask {</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TASK = &lt;name of TASK&gt;;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATH = &lt;string&gt;;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>};</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTION = Schedule {</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEXT = &lt;string&gt;;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATH = &lt;string&gt;;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>};</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table C.6  saTaskSection/ACTION Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTION</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DisableAllInterrupts / EnableAllInterrupts</td>
<td>NEXT = &lt;string&gt;; PATH = &lt;string&gt;;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SuspendAllInterrupts / ResumeAllInterrupts</td>
<td>NEXT = &lt;string&gt;; PATH = &lt;string&gt;;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SuspendOSInterrupts / ResumeOSInterrupts</td>
<td>NEXT = &lt;string&gt;; PATH = &lt;string&gt;;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GetResource / ReleaseResource</td>
<td>NEXT = &lt;string&gt;; RESOURCE = &lt;name of RESOURCE&gt;; PATH = &lt;string&gt;;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SetEvent</td>
<td>NEXT = &lt;string&gt;; TASK = &lt;name of TASK&gt;; EVENT = &lt;name of EVENT&gt;; PATH = &lt;string&gt;;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WaitEvent</td>
<td>NEXT = &lt;string&gt;; EVENT = &lt;name of EVENT&gt;; PATH = &lt;string&gt;;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CheckEvent</td>
<td>EVENT = &lt;name of EVENT&gt;; SET = &lt;string&gt;; CLEARED = &lt;string&gt;; PATH = &lt;string&gt;;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table C.6** saTaskSection/ACTION Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTION</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ActivateTask</td>
<td>Task section calls OS service \textit{ActivateTask}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TerminateTask</td>
<td>Task section calls OS service \textit{TerminateTask}. This is default action.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChainTask</td>
<td>Task section calls OS service \textit{ChainTask}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule</td>
<td>Task section calls OS service \textit{Schedule}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DisableAllInterrupts</td>
<td>Task section calls OS service \textit{DisableAllInterrupts}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EnableAllInterrupts</td>
<td>Task section calls OS service \textit{EnableAllInterrupts}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SuspendAllInterrupts</td>
<td>Task section calls OS service \textit{SuspendAllInterrupts}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ResumeAllInterrupts</td>
<td>Task section calls OS service \textit{ResumeAllInterrupts}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SuspendOSInterrupts</td>
<td>Task section calls OS service \textit{SuspendOSInterrupts}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ResumeOSInterrupts</td>
<td>Task section calls OS service \textit{ResumeOSInterrupts}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GetResource</td>
<td>Task section calls OS service \textit{GetResource}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ReleaseResource</td>
<td>Task section calls OS service \textit{ReleaseResource}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SetEvent</td>
<td>Task section calls OS service \textit{SetEvent}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WaitEvent</td>
<td>Task section calls OS service \textit{WaitEvent}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CheckEvent</td>
<td>Task section checks the state of an event and jumps to the NEXT task section depending on the state of the event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SendMessage</td>
<td>Task section calls OS service \textit{SendMessage}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GoTo</td>
<td>Task section jumps to the NEXT task section</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PATH</th>
<th>string, &quot;&quot;</th>
<th>Path to which this action of the task section belong. This parameter is applicable for all actions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NEXT</td>
<td>string</td>
<td>Reference (link) to the next task section in the subtransaction. Section ID is used as reference. This parameter is applicable for all actions except TerminateTask, ChainTask, and CheckEvent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The brief description of interrupt service routine parameters.

### ISR Object

**Table C.6**  
**saTaskSection/ACTION Parameters**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TASK</th>
<th>name of TASK</th>
<th>Reference to the task that is used in this action. This parameter is applicable <strong>only</strong> for actions ActivateTask, ChainTask, and SetEvent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RESOURCE</td>
<td>name of RESOURCE</td>
<td>Reference to the resource that is used in this action. This parameter is applicable <strong>only</strong> for actions GetResource and ReleaseResource</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVENT</td>
<td>name of EVENT</td>
<td>Reference to the list of events that are used in this action. This parameter is applicable <strong>only</strong> for actions SetEvent and WaitEvent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVENT</td>
<td>name of EVENT</td>
<td>Reference to the event that is used in this action. This parameter is applicable <strong>only</strong> for action CheckEvent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SET</td>
<td>string</td>
<td>Reference (link) to the next task section in the subtransaction. The next task section is executed if the event is set. Section ID is used as reference. This parameter is applicable <strong>only</strong> for action CheckEvent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLEARED</td>
<td>string</td>
<td>Reference (link) to the next task section in the subtransaction. The next task section is executed if the event is cleared (event is not set). Section ID is used as reference. This parameter is applicable <strong>only</strong> for action CheckEvent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MESSAGE</td>
<td>name of MESSAGE</td>
<td>Reference to the message that is used in this action. This parameter is applicable <strong>only</strong> for actions SendMessage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table C.7**  
**ISR Parameters**

**Object Parameters**  
**Possible Values**  
**Description**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DS-Design Tool specific attributes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The attributes should be defined inside the scope of the ISR object in accordance with the following syntax:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>saInitial = &lt;string&gt;;</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>saMinIATime = &lt;integer&gt;;</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>saJitter = &lt;string&gt;;</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>saISRSection = &lt;SET&gt; {</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>&lt;ISR section&gt;</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>};</code></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>salInitial</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>string, “”</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name of first ISR section in the execution graph. If ISR consists of no more than one ISR section, the default value is empty. If ISR consists of more than one ISR sections, there is no default value</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For More Information: www.freescale.com
The brief description of interrupt service routine section parameters.

**Table C.7** ISR Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>saISRSection</strong></th>
<th><strong>set</strong></th>
<th><strong>ISR section (see Table C.8)</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>saMinIApTime</strong></td>
<td><strong>integer, 0</strong></td>
<td><strong>ISR minimal interarrival time</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>saJitter</strong></td>
<td><strong>integer, 0</strong></td>
<td><strong>ISR release jitter</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table C.8** saISRSection Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Object Parameters</strong></th>
<th><strong>Possible Values</strong></th>
<th><strong>Description</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ID</strong></td>
<td><strong>string</strong></td>
<td>Name of this ISR section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WCET</strong></td>
<td><strong>integer</strong></td>
<td>Worst-case execution time of the ISR section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DEADLINE</strong></td>
<td><strong>set</strong></td>
<td>Specification of deadline of the ISR section (see Table C.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ACTION</strong></td>
<td><strong>ActivateTask, DisableAllInterrupts, EnableAllInterrupts, SuspendAllInterrupts, ResumeAllInterrupts, SuspendOSInterrupts, ResumeOSInterrupts, GetResource, ReleaseResource, SetEvent, SendMessage, GoTo, LeaveISR</strong></td>
<td>Action that ends the ISR section (see Table C.10)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Multiply instances of DEADLINE are allowed in one ISR section
* Multiply instances of ACTION are allowed in one ISR section
The brief description of ISR section’s deadline parameters

### Table C.9  saISRSection/DEADLINE Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object Parameters</th>
<th>Possible Values</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DS-Design Tool specific attributes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| The attributes should be defined inside the scope of the saISRSection of the ISR object in accordance with the following syntax:  
  DEADLINE = <SET> {
   VALUE = <integer>;
   PATH = <string>;
  } | | |
| VALUE | integer | Deadline value relative to the start of the subtransaction |
| PATH | string | Path to which this deadline of the ISR section belongs |

The brief description of ISR section’s action parameters

### Table C.10  saISRSection/ACTION Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object Parameters</th>
<th>Possible Values</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DS-Design Tool specific attributes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| The attributes should be defined inside the scope of the saTaskSection of the TASK object in accordance with the following syntax:  
  ACTION = ActivateTask {
   NEXT = <string>;
   TASK = <name of TASK>;
   PATH = <string>;
  } | | |
| ACTION = DisableAllInterrupts / EnableAllInterrupts> {
   NEXT = <string>;
   PATH = <string>;
 } | | |
| ACTION = SuspendAllInterrupts / ResumeAllInterrupts> {
   NEXT = <string>;
   PATH = <string>;
 } | | |
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### Table C.10  saISRSection/ACTION Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTION</th>
<th>NEXT</th>
<th>PATH</th>
<th>RESOURCE</th>
<th>EVENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;SuspendOSInterrupts / ResumeOSInterrupts&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;string&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;string&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;GetResource / ReleaseResource&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;string&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;name of RESOURCE&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;SetEvent&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;string&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;name of TASK&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;name of EVENT&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;SendMessage&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;string&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;name of MESSAGE&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;GoTo&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;string&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;string&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;LeaveISR&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;string&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table C.10  saISRSection/ACTION Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTION</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ActivateTask</td>
<td>ISR section calls OS service <strong>ActivateTask</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DisableAllInterrupts</td>
<td>ISR section calls OS service <strong>DisableAllInterrupts</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EnableAllInterrupts</td>
<td>ISR section calls OS service <strong>EnableAllInterrupts</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SuspendAllInterrupts</td>
<td>ISR section calls OS service <strong>SuspendAllInterrupts</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ResumeAllInterrupts</td>
<td>ISR section calls OS service <strong>ResumeAllInterrupts</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SuspendOSInterrupts</td>
<td>ISR section calls OS service <strong>SuspendOSInterrupts</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ResumeOSInterrupts</td>
<td>ISR section calls OS service <strong>ResumeOSInterrupts</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GetResource</td>
<td>ISR section calls OS service <strong>GetResource</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ReleaseResource</td>
<td>ISR section calls OS service <strong>ReleaseResource</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SetEvent</td>
<td>ISR section calls OS service <strong>SetEvent</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SendMessage</td>
<td>ISR section calls OS service <strong>SendMessage</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GoTo</td>
<td>ISR section jumps to the NEXT ISR section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LeaveISR</td>
<td>ISR section calls OS service <strong>LeaveISR</strong>. This is default action.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| PATH            | String Path to which this action of the ISR section belongs               |
| NEXT            | String Reference (link) to the next ISR section in the subtransaction. Section ID is used as reference. This parameter is applicable for all actions except **LeaveISR** |
| TASK            | Name of TASK Reference to the task that is used in this action. This parameter is applicable only for action **ActivateTask** and **SetEvent** |
| RESOURCE        | Name of RESOURCE Reference to the resource that is used in this action. This parameter is applicable only for actions **GetResource** and **ReleaseResource** |
| EVENT           | Name of EVENT Reference to the list of events that are used in this action. This parameter is applicable only for action **SetEvent** |
| MESSAGE         | Name of MESSAGE Reference to the message that is used in this action. This parameter is applicable only for actions **SendMessage** |

### RESOURCE Object

There is no DS-Design Tool specific parameters.
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EVENT Object

There is no DS-Design Tool specific parameters.

COUNTER Object

The brief description of counter parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object Parameters</th>
<th>Possible Values</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DS-Design Tool specific attributes</td>
<td>The attributes should be defined inside the scope of the COUNTER object in accordance with the following syntax:</td>
<td>saPeriod = &lt;integer&gt;;&lt;br&gt;saPeriod</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ALARM Object

The brief description of alarm parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object Parameters</th>
<th>Possible Values</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DS-Design Tool specific attributes</td>
<td>The attributes should be defined inside the scope of the ALARM object in accordance with the following syntax:</td>
<td>saCyclic = &lt;TRUE / FALSE&gt; {&lt;br&gt;ALARMTIME = &lt;integer&gt;;&lt;br&gt;CYCLETIME = &lt;integer&gt;;&lt;br&gt;};&lt;br&gt;saCyclic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALARMTIME</td>
<td>integer, 0</td>
<td>Alarm offset (if alarm is cyclic). Measured in timer counter ticks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYCLETIME</td>
<td>integer</td>
<td>Alarm cycle (if alarm is cyclic). Measured in timer counter ticks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MESSAGE Object

There is no DS-Design Tool specific parameters.

APPMODE Object

There is no DS-Design Tool specific parameters.

COM Object

There is no DS-Design Tool specific parameters.

NM Object

There is no DS-Design Tool specific parameters.
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